hamine MARX WITHOUT MYTH, A Chronolog his Life and Work Uliri Sanximilien Rubel and Margaret Manale, 1975

A store 1

10

TEX-54

YAT

°{///

Loox

14470

1839-41: Marx began the research into the philosophy of Epicuris in 1839. From the outset Mara's work on 8 the dissertation took a most ("unRegelian) turn" through the simple choice of Epicurus, a moral philsoppher... the preliminary notes and remarks for this paper are contained if 7 notebooks. Included are excerpts from Epicurus, Diogenes Lacertrus, Plutarch, Gassendi, and Lucretiue, as well as commentaries on Flato, Aristotle, and Negel, and a schema of the Hegelian hilosophy of Nature." Marx under slines Epicurus' Katuralness n contrast with the philistinian Flutarch: Q KK Plutarch bability friviolities; he reasons like a craftman's apprentice ... Thutarch's syncratic, mindless treatment cannot holda candal to this. ordinary thought always has abstract predicates in hand which it separates from the subject. All philosophers have made predicates themselves as the subject." (pp. 17-18)

IN."...but just as Prometheus having stolen fire from heaven, began to build houses and to settle on the earth, so philosophy having expanded to world, turns. against the visible world is finds. Thus Hegelian philosophy today."

KM. "The Greeks will new always remain our teachers because of the grandiose objective naivery which permits each object, though its rays be dim, to shine forth without drapings in the pure light of its own nature. Our time particularly has brought forth sinful phenomenal even in philosophy, phenomena painted with the greatest sin, that against the mind and against truth. whereby a concealed

intention is lodged behind the perception and a concealed perception behind the thing itself." Khi's preface to his Ph D thesis: /p. 19-21: "Hegel had, to be sure, correctly determined the general characteristics of the systems mentioned ... Yet, for one, it was still impossible to delve into details; for another this grandiose thinker was hindered by his view of het termed. (speculative par excellence) from recognizing the deep purpose of these systems in the history of Greek philosophy and for the Greek mind in general ... I hope to have solved one problem in the history of Greek philosohy which has been left unexplained up til now. " Kk's thesis was called ( "The Difference between the Philosophies of Nature in Democratus and Epicurus" and of Democratus' empiricism KM writes: "Unsatisfied in philesophy, he throws himself into the arms of positive knowledge." The shot annin's Democratus' aim to fuse science and empirical life

2.

calling them philosophy becomes science, on which KM comments: "The knowledge he holds to be true is meaningless for his own life, while knowledge which gives him substance a is without truth, and so he rejects it."

W. : "While Defmocratus, finally dispairing of knowledge, puts out his eyes at last, Epicurus, as he feels the hour of death approaching, climbs into a warm tath, desires pure wine f and recommends to his friends that they be faithful to philosophy."

the fac

14 9 002

Ki: "Necessity is an evil, but there is no necessity to live under the control of necessity. Everywhere the paths to freedom are open, "are meny, short and simple" 14471 Ki: "Thilosomphical praxis is itself theoretical. It is the criticism which measures the individual existence. Against the essence and partucular reality against the idea. Yet this <u>immediate</u> realization of philosophy is burdened with <u>contradictions in its innermost essence</u> while this essence manifests itself in appearance, leaving its mark thereon."

3.

MR says Marx was then reading Spinoza, thinking that his article on the Jewish substitution stemming from Spinoza' <u>Fractatus</u> and indeed KM had 2 notebooks of excerpts from Spinoza's correspondence; evidently Spinoza's expression that g democracy is of all forms of government the most natural and the most constraint with individual liber ity is what KM accepted.

1842 Marx begins writing for the RZ, the first article being based on freedom of the press, and the 3rd being the debate on the law of punishing wood theft, which appeared in 5 issues during Oct. and Nov.

10-11/912 Wood Shall

Kie rejects leverbach's <u>Preliminary Thesis on the</u> <u>Reformation of Philosophy</u> (1842) on which he comments to Ruge on Warch 13, 1843; "I take exception to Feuerbach's aphorisms only in one connection: he referes to nature too often and min neglects politics. Yet the only way to transform contemporary philesophy into reality is through an alliance with rolitics."

Gets married 6/19/43 in Kreuznach where he undertook a critical revision of Herel's philosophy, in which Marx'<sup>5</sup> definitive break with Hegel on the question of the state:

14472

"Man does not exist for the benefit of the law, the law exists for the benefit of man; law is human existence, whereas in all other political forms man has only injuridical existence. This is the main distinction of democracy... Recent French thinkers have i understood this as meaning that the political state disappears in a true democracy. This is correct. insofar as the state <u>qua</u> political state. <u>qua</u> political constitution is no longer valid for the whole."

KM: (Arainst the state) "The aims of the state transform themselves into the aims of the baurear, or the aims of the bureauxinto those of the state. The bareaucracy is a circle from which not can escape. Its hierarchy is a hierarchy of knowledge....The examination is nothing other than the bureaucratic baptism of knowledge, the official recognition of the trans-substantiation of profane knowledge into sacred (it goes without saying that for every examination the examinar knows all)." (NEW 1:253)

Skipping to last decade 1873-1883

14473

ų

METER

Engels had taken great part in the correspondence at this point, especially against the LaSalleanst: "We must not let ourselves be fooled by the cries for unity. Those who use this word these most are the greatest troublemakers, such as the Swiss Jura-Backunists..." whereupon Engels quotes degel. A party proves itself victorious by being able to tolerate divisison."

Marx to Sorge, Sept. 27, 1973, "Events and the unavoidable development and complication of things will spontaneously assure the repirth of the International in an improved form. Meanwhile it will suffice to keep the ties with the most useful elements in the different countries from slipping out of one's hands, but otherwise not to care a whit about Geneva's local decisions and simply ignore them."

14474

(Then the super-scholar ideot repeats this late @ (1975) the nonsense about Darwin and Capital. The best and most schoarly analysis of "Marx and 🎕 Darwin: A Literary Detective story" by Margaret A. Fay, appeared in Monthly Reveiw, March 1980. Even Adoratsky's Chroik, p. 359. repeats the nonsense about Mary asking Darwin if he may dedicate Vol. II to him in 1923 David McClelland hypothe-sized the same and as Fay expresses it, gave "this hypothesis the blessing of historical fact." And again the WIR 11/12/73 repeated this "historical fact." Fey says that this "scholarly concensus" kept on its own path despite the fact and that Engels said that NN had constantly repeated to him that he wanted wal. II dedicated to his wife. When she became interested in (1974) there was only one thing available which at least cast suspicion on the "fact", i.e. Sholmo Avineri, "From Hopes to Dogma: A Footnote on Marx and Darwin," Encounter, March 19612, but it wasn't that he found new facts, he argued on logical grounds, so that at the end he did resign himself to the "fact" if not the spirit. She had completed her work in the fall of 1974 and it was rejected by the NLR. Manager in 1974 and by the Journal of the History of Ideas in Feb. (1975) with the most pretentious lester, Supposedly, C.e., (4 months later) it was "scooped" by Louis S. Feuer, who had submitted his paper to the Annels of Science, Vec. 1974. But since leurer's whole point was to attack Aveling as a scoundrel and a forger, he thereby cast doubts about the authenticity of the first letter from Marx to Darwin, when he sent him a copy of Vol. I.)

Sector States

14475

- 18734

si Enner

1874-15

IM resumes his studies for Vol. III, especially the agricultural section. AN against Kubelmann and for his wife, writes to Engels, Sppt. 18, 1874. "This arch-pedantic, bourgeois, narrow-minded fhilistine deludes himself, namely in imagining that his wife is unable to understand, to comprehend his Faust-lift nature, full of higher Weltanschaung and he torments the little lady in a most abominable fashion, although actually she is superior to him in & every way." (1875 On (an. 23) he and Engels participate in a meeting

held in commemoration of the rolish uprising of 1863-4. "Poland is not only the **sole** Slavic race, but also the only European people which has fought and is still fighting as a <u>cosmopolitan</u> soldier of the revolution." (MEW 18:574) April 3, KM wrote an epilogue to the last installment of the French edition of <u>Capital</u>, which was published May 1875,

On (May 5, 1875). KN writes "The Critique of the Gotha Frogram".

1876: The vast readings of Marx centered around agriculture, primitive commune and property relations, and Russian economic relations. For <u>Capital</u> he wrote the section **Capital** he wrote the section dealing with differential **sector** rent and rent as the simple interest on capital incorporated into land, Vol. III.

(1877) MR does not mention **citizen** the St. Louis strike, but he does mention one railroad workers' stike in the U.S. and the same 1877 year is important for the Russia-Turkish war, and in Jan. 1876, Vera Zasulich who, at 27, already had ten years of revolutionary experience, Shot and seriously wounded Trepid. (1877) was the year of the publication of Morgan. 14476 Jul. 25, 1877, KM writes to FE about the great news of a movement in America, and that while he expects this rebellion to be defeated, it nevertheless could manlead to establishing "a serious workingmen's sparty."

In Nov. KM writes Chapt. 1 of Book II and draft chapts 19 and 20--about 70 pages of Vol. II, and requested from Sorge "a blue book on the <u>Pennewlvania miners</u>."

On Nov. 3 KM writes to Sigmund Schott on the procedure follow in writing <u>Capital</u>: "Confidentially speaking. I in fact began <u>Capital</u> in just the reverse (starting with the of third, the historic part)/the order in which it is presented to the public, except that the first we volume, the one begun last, was immediately prepared for publication, while the two others remained in that primitive stage of all research at the outset."

KM corresponds with Blos because he wants Lissagaray book on the Paris Commune, and he explains also relationship to any slanders against him for being "authoritarian", that he for refused to for join the Communist League until the passage favorable to "cult of authority" was removed from the statutes. 1878 consumed some more of this material, this time on money, and a lot on Russian peasant communes.

Jan. 15. 1879 KM **Sector** interview published; he says U.S. needs independent working class party, separate fom trade union infulence: "They can no longer trust politicians. Rings and cliques have solved upon the legislature and politics has been made a trade. But America is not alone in this, only its people are more decisive than in Europe. Things come to the surface quicker. There is less cant and hypocrify than there is on this side of the ocean." 14477 1879-he reads Kovalesky's work on Russian communal property, studies Russian fiscal questions that Daniels had sent him and puts together an extensive hibliography on matriarchal law--Johann Jakob Bachofen, Linkis Henry Morgan. Then he took up the history of ancient Rome and Greece, as well as English colonial policy and faits and Stories of the Irish Peasantar (1830).

F. 313. Sir Mountstuart E. Grant-Duff evidently sent by Empereds Frederick of Germany to visit KM, and this. in meeting with KM, the **star** Times Literary Supplement Jul. 15, **19** Super quotes KM after the question what would become of the revolution if the rulers all agreed to disarmnament; the revolution if the rulers all agreed to disarmnament; was his answer, they can't do that. All sorts of fears and jealousies will make that impossible. The burden will grow worse and worse as science advances for the improvements in the Art of Destruction will keep pace with its advance and every year more and more will have to be devoted to costly engines of war. It's a vicious circle--there is no egcape from it."

1880 is even less responsible than some of the other, since if does know of KM's EN the (That KM had considered of the other, the since the does works), but there is no reference wat the side the even to Krager publishing them. What he does say on p. 316 is that KM had written 98 pages of notes on Morgan's Ancient Society in 1880 and had inst also studied Maine, Phear, Dawkins, and in German, Rudolf Sohn. KM also works and the French Socialist Party,

drew up a questionaire for workers == 101 questions into the

matter of saftey procentions in the factories, undmployment in times of crises, use of leisure time, strikes and their 14478 effects, trade unions and cooperative organizations within the given industrial situation. He dictated to Guesde a theoretical introduction to the program based upon KM's view of proletarian emancipation. Here is how the introduction began: "Considering that the working class, without distinction as to race and sex, can be free only when it is in collective possession of the means of production, the emancipatory endeavor must be undertaken through the action of an independent political party of the working masses, using all means at their disposed." Then it had a minimum program for organization and struggle. Between 1879 and the end of 1830, he also wrote that magnificent commentary on Adolf Wagner.

1881 In addition to continuing his work on the Russian economy since the emancipation of the serfs, he read Lloyd's The Story of the Great Monopoly, the 1881. expose of Standard Oik.

In Feb. 18. KM received a letter from Vera Zasulich and he makes 3 drafts before answering her. **Construction** Feb. 22 The he received a letter from F. Donela Nieuwenhuis, regarding the measures a socialist government would take after **Me**victory, to which KM answered that it was impossible to answer: "WE cannot solve any equation which does not have the elements of its solution within the siven data."

his sent his daughter Jenny April 29, 1381 congratulations on the birth of her son, since children born today face "the most revolutionary period that human beings have ever had to live through. It is no good to be so old that one is capable only of foreseeing, and not of seeing." 14479