Some Aspects of Our Policies and Tasks

By Eugene Dennis

submit this report on behalf of rent discusions arises not only the National Board. For, despite because we are surveying the the positive estimation of my past and striving to overcome position made by Comrade Fosshare of the responsibility for the main errors and mistakes which the National Board of our Communist Political Association has

Generally speaking I have not been among those who considered that the objectives of Teheran and Crimea would be fulfilled automatically and without the and immediate tasks and ob-most active intervention of the jectives which we set ourselves most active intervention of the masses. I have not been one of those who have minimized the resolute struggle which must be waged against pro-fascist reaction, or who underestimated the independent role and activity of labor and the people.

Yet it is a fact that I have held and fostered certain opportunist illusions regarding the postwar role of the anti-Axis sections of monopoly capital. And in so doing I participated in, and contributed toward, the main errors which our national leadership has committed. Besides, on such specific questions as liquidating the CPA in the South, as well as in incorrectly estimating the significance of the Labor-Management Charter, I bear a particular responsibility.

Moreover, while I have taken issue with and opposed a number of individual and separate mistakes which the collective leadership of our Association committed, it should be recognized that I did not draw the full conchisions from these, nor did I fight as effectively and consistently as was required. Like most other have always endeavored to preserve the unity of our Communist errors and mistakes. We started ing and departing from the movement as the apple of our to depart from and revise certain agreement of Yalta in the fueye. But in this connection I Marxist theories and principles ture, on how to prevent divishave sometimes tended to overlook the essential fact that Communist unity must be forged without making any concessions on questions of principle, even "minor" or "temporary."

In view of this, I wish to re-

emphasize that I submit this report with a profound sense of humility and with a deep realization of my own responsibility ibility for our errors and mis for the errors which our National takes likewise rests upon our Board has made.

With these introductory remarks, I shall now proceed with my report. And I am sure all Those rev deep convictions, but likewise the general viewpoint of the majority of the National Board.

The political discussions which our CPA has now embarked upon is, in many respects, of historic significance. For our deliberations on the draft resolution terial before you indicate that of the National Board and the majority of the National article of Duclos will shape the Board now understands and is erations on the draft resolution course of our Communist movement for the next immediate riod. In so doing we shall effect the main line of development of broad sections of the labor and progressive movements for the period ahead. Thereby we shall help influence the future role of the U.S.A. in world of Comrade Foster who warned affairs.

Because of this, our discussions transcend the level of ordinary inner-Party matters. Our views and the conclusions we draw therefrom already command far wider attention than even the profound interest and concern displayed by the members of our Association. deliberations are being watched and studied by all segments of American public life, by friend and foe alike. Whether in the slanders of the New York World-Telegram, in the distortions of The New York Times, in the misconceptions of Mrs. Roosevelt, or in the varied comments of the progressive press, our views and judgments on policies and the changing world situation are being scrutinized and commented upon everywhere and in many ways.

It is with deep humility that I the great interest in our cur- ing the sound political line which is now being hammered out by ne National Board. For, despite because we are surveying the our National Board in consmall businessmen, professionals our former opportunist errors. ter, I realize that I bear a full Public interest is acute because we are rectifying our former erroneous postwar orientation and more dangerous course—a peculline in order to estimate concretely the present political situation and accurately determine perspectives. Public interest is widespread because we are mapping out correct policies and tac-tics to cope with those central yesterday, and which now have come more sharply to the fore since V-E Day. And these tasks which must be solved are: to insure the final destruction of fascism in Europe; to speed victory over Japanese imperialism; to guarantee the establishment of a long-term peace; to promote greater economic security; and, above all, to rout the American reactionaries and prevent fas-cism from coming to power in the United States.

> The main reports and docuare based are already before you. These are the draft resolution of the National Board adopted on June 2, the article of Comrade Duclos, Comrade Foster's report to this plenum, as well as the highly important political letter which Comrade Foster submitted to the State Department and the American delegation at San Francisco on a host of vital questions, as well as the slowness and its state Department and the American delegation at San Francisco on a host of vital questions, as well as the slowness and its Foster submitted to our National Committee in February 1944.

From these the following facts are self-evident:

First, during the course of the anti-Hitler war, in which we Communists made sterling concomrades in our leadership I tributions, our Association made a number of basic opportunist We tended to weaken and liqui-ions within the coalition, on how date the vanguard role of our Communist movement as the in-

dependent Marxist political party of the working class. The responsibility for this opportunism rests not only upon Comrade Browder, who bears the heaviest responsibilty for our notorious revisionism. The respons-

entire national leadership, and in the first place upon our Na-

Those revisionist departures comrades will appreciate the from Marxism which we were fact that this report embodies making up to recently, adversely not only my personal views and affected the application of our current policies for winning the war, and were disorienting our Communist organization and other anti-Fascists as regards coping with the new and complex problems which now arise as we enter the postwar period.

Secondly, the reports and maattempting to rectify its former errors and deviations. Aided by the wise and invaluable counsel of Comrade Duclos, learning from our own experiences and the latest international developments, and helped greatly by the esentially sound position us some 17 months ago of the dangerous opportunist path we were embarking upon, we have begun to overcome our mistakes and correctly to reorient ourselves and the Association. Already most of the National Committee and the overwhelming majority of our membership have expresed themselves decisively and enthusiastically in favor of the main viewpoint of Duclos' article and the main line of the resolution of the National Board.

However, the fact also remains that Comrade Browder as well as those relatively few comrades who continue to support his erroneous, non-Markist theories and policies, are resisting the rectification of our past mistakes. Comrade Browder stubbornly clings to a false post-war orientation. He is actively oppos-

junction with our membership. In so doing, Comrade Browder is compounding his previous errors and embarking on an even iar kind of non-Marxian "isolationism" and American excep-

Before analyzing the nature and basic source of Comrade Browder's errors and thus also the errors of our entire National leadership, as well as why these errors happened, it is worth while and enlightening to ex-amine the present position of Comrade Browder in respect to a series of key positions.

Take the cardinal question of American-Soviet relations and cooperation, which every antifascist and most patriotic Americans understand is the cornerstone of national and world security: Together with the National Board, Comrade Browder recognizes the paramount need and the great posibilities for maintaining and extending American-Soviet friendship and peacements upon which our discusions ful collaboration after the war, as part of and as the heart of the anti-Hitlerite coalition. Browder also agrees with the Board that the position of the State ency with which Washington and London moved to realize the concords of Yalta, create strains and fissures in the coalition, endanger the unity of the Big Three.

But Comrade Browder differs fundamentally from the position of the National Board on how to prevent America from vacillatto consolidate and strengthen American-Soviet unity. Browder considers that the "coincidence of interests" of capitalist America and the Soviet Union—the joint interests of our nations an increasingly aggressive role arising from the common need of establishing a durable peace and prosperous and mutually beneficial trade-predetermines the future role and position of the decisive sections of American monopoly capital in respect to future American-Soviet relations. Browder counts first of all on the "intelligence" of the most "far-sighted" sections of the bourgeoisie as the main 'guarantee" that the crucial necessity of American-Soviet amity and cooperation will more or less automatically overcome and surmount existing difficulties and differences and will suffice to curb the aggressive imperialist aims and policies, including the anti-Soviet hostility, of powerful sections of American finance

capital. The National Board believes that the common interests of the United States and the USSR are real, and are strong and compelling factors, without which there could be no basis for longterm and friendly cooperation and peace. At the same time, the Board also believes that these interests in themselves, that these favorable objective conditions, neither can nor will automatically determine America's policies. We cannot overlook the contradictions between the capitalist and Socialist system. We cannot forget the lessons of the League of Nations, Munich, or World War II.

This is why we consider that American-Soviet friendship and cooperation, and the task of completing the destruction of fas-cism in Europe and everywhere, will not and cannot depend up-on the "intelligence" of this or that section of monopoly capital. It will depend above all and to a large extent upon the welding of a firmer and more solid national democratic coalition within our country-a coalition resting upon the power and unity of and intelectuals.

In this connection, we also take into account the fact that there still are certain influential capitalist groupings which, for one or another reason, support the Crimean decisions, and we consider that it is possible and necessary for labor and the popular forces to fight for these objectives together with such capitalists. But equally, we believe that labor and the people can-not rely on any capitalist group or elements to maintain a steadfast position or to struggle against fascism to the end. believe, now more than ever, that within the camp of national unity, within the national democratic coalition, labor, together with other progressive forces, must not only be the backbone and the main driving force, but

must play the leading role. Further, in respect to the decisive question of American-Sorelations, the National viet Board likewise differs from Comrade Browder in two other important respects. Unlike Browder, we do not believe that the USA follows at the tailend or is the naive pawn of British imperialism. We consider, while world capitalism has emerged from this war weakened by the defeat of Hitler Germany and will be further weakened by the defeat of imperialist Japan, that the USA is emerging from the war as the strongest imperialist power-economically, militarily

and politically.

The U. S. is not the servile and junior partner of the Britist Lion. Quite the contrary. Furthermore, in the postwar period, U. S. and British economic and political rivalries are bound to sharpen and become more acute. In fact, after the war, Anglo-American rivalry and contradictions will constitute a main source of conflicts endangering world peace and stability. And in the struggle against its chief imperialist rival, the U.S. will play

However, we do not conclude from this that all is hopeless, that America inevitably and irrevocably will come forward only in a reactionary and pro-Fascist role in the world of tomorrow. The relationships of international forces, and the strong progressive currents within our country are such that it is possible for labor and all democratic forces—if they are united on an anti-Fascist program and wage a resolute struggle-to influence effectively the course of America, at home and abroad.

The point is, that neither American nor British imperial-ism will be weakened, nor their reactionary conflicts and aims thwarted, by Browder's appeal to their "intelligence" and "true" class interests; nor by his fantastic blueprints designed to soften their antagonisms, to divide up peacefully the world market, or to arrive at arrangements whereby Downing Street would voluntarily liberate the British Empire. American, just as British, imperialism, will be weakened and curbed, particularly when the American working class and people, by their unity and struggle, weaken and undermine the position of the most reactionary and aggressive forces of finance capital, and establish closer and firmer unity of action with the freedom-loving peoples of all lands.

Moreover, we of the National Board cannot agree with Browder's fatalistic position and his arbitrarily chosen alternatives of the future course of world development. For instance, we do believe that if the imperialist bourgeoisie of the U.S. and Britain reneged on Crimea and were to force a rupture in American-Soviet-British relations that this would engender new aggressions, great suffering, damage and untold hardship for the world, and not least of all

Report to the National Committee, CPA, June 18-20

Yet we cannot agree that the only alternative to Browder's concept of the Grand Alliance is chaos, anarchy and the end of civilization. Browder has not yet drawn all the necessary conclusions from this war of national liberation in which there has emerged a stronger and a more influential Soviet Union, a new and democratic Europe and a stronger world labor movement. These historic developments certainly are an indispensable part of the world of reality; they are an essential basis and an organic part of the anti-Hitlerite coalition—a part and basis which has already created an entirely new relationship of world forces, irrevocably strengthening the cause of world democracy and national freedom.

In this connection, it should not be forgotten that out of this war there has also emerged a stronger and more influential American labor movement. The supreme task now is to forge labor's unity of action, locally and nationally, and to make American labor fully conscious of its vanguard role and its immediate historic task to complete the destruction of fascism, and toward this end to affect decisively, in alliance with all democratic forces, America's policies, both foreign and domestic. This, admittedly, is no easy task. For the labor movement is sharply divided and the Greens, Wolls, Dubinskys, Hutchesons and Lewises still retain positions of great power. However, the conditions are ripe, and the need is so great, that it is now possible to make new advances in welding labor's anti - Fascist unity. This can and must be

Next, take the question of the slogans of action set forth in Part I of the Draft Resolution of the Board. With certain reservations and one basic differ-ence, Browder alleges that he could agree with this immediate program of action.

Leaving aside the "reservations," what is Comrade Browder's stated and most basic disagreement with the slogans of action? Browder claims that the National Board has omitted what he terms, the decisive question of any national unity program for the postwar period, namely, the question of "markets." Browder still contends that the solution of postwar markets, especially of foreign markets, on a scale capable of absorbing the entire productive output of U. S. wartime industry and production levels, remains the key and heart of any sound reconversion and postwar plan for securing economic pros-

Obviously, the question of markets, both foreign and domestic, is not unimportant, especially as these will have a pronounced effect upon the postwar levels of production and employment in the USA.

What then, let us ask, are the prospects for expanding Ameri-can postwar trade in the immediate postwar period?

For one thing, the defeat of German, and subsequently of Japanese imperialism, as well as the vast destruction of property, plant capacity and capital goods during the war, will enable both the U. S. and Great Britain to increase their share of the world market, in comparison with prewar levels, particularly during the first period of rehabilitation and reconstruction — though it must be emphasized this will take place under conditions of sharpened Anglo-American rivalry, and sooner or later under circumstances of a "depression" and a cyclical crisis.

However, to realize the great possibilities which are now open for considerably extending American foreign trade—possibly from \$7,000,000,000 to \$10,000,-000,000 annually - during the labor and all other democratic for the American people. This is early postwar years, as well as

a longer period of time considerably above the prewar level of 1939-it is necessary, as the Reselution of the Board proposes, to achieve the following conditions:

(a) To ensure a stable and long-term peace.

(b) To guarantee that liberated Europe develops further along democratic, anti-Fascist lines.

(c) To promote a free and democratic Asia.

(d) To extend American longterm and low-interest credits and loans to all democratic nations for purposes of economic reconstruction and industrialization-and on the basis of non-interference in the internal affairs

of these nations. Undoubtedly the various pro-posals in the Resolution which are designed to promote inter-national economic cooperation and world trade, can and should be implemented. Equally, it may necessary to augment that section of the resolution dealing with reconversion and how to promote the fight for 60,000,000

But, it is the firm opinion of the Board that on the question of markets we cannot adopt the "amendments" and alternative economic proposals and concepts which Comrade Browder now advances and which are essentially the same as those he set forth in his book Teheran.

This is our considered opinion because Browder's postwar ecoviews contain, among other things, two basic flaws:

Firstly, Browder remains obsessed with the idea that the way to improve the wage and living standards of the American people is to try and solve all the market and profit problems of monopoly capitalism, that is, to try and make capitalism work. This is why Browder puts forward an economic program for monopoly capital, for trying to overcome the anarchy and contradictions of capitalist production, for trying to bring about a super - organized "free" from crises. capitalism

Browder is also obsessed with the idea that in the postwar period the workers will not have to depend, first of all, upon their organized strength and struggles; rather, he believes that they can advance their interests by making one after another concession to the monop-

However, the National Board believes that the way to promote jobs and social security and to raise the purchasing power of the working people—which we consider vital for the expansion of the domestic market - de-pends, among other things, upon how we rally and unify labor and all anti-Fascists to struggle vigorously against the efforts of most employers to utilize the reconversion period to lower wages and living standards, and to unthe trade union movement. This is why we stress the need for mobilizing the masses today to fight for improved federal and state emergency unemployment insurance; to enact the Murray Full Employment Bill and the Wagner-Murray-Dingell Bill; to start a gigantic federal and state public works and housing program, etc.

This, too, is why we place such great emphasis upon the urgent need of protecting the trade unions, organizing the unorganized, and developing labor's unity and independent political action. But these key questions, which are organically connected with the problem of markets, are seldom mentioned or stressed by Brow-

Secondly, on the question of postwar markets and economic perspectives, Browder refuses to entertain any notion of the embryonic or forthcoming economic erises in the U.S., whose clouds are beginning even now to loom on the horizon. Browder is still hypnotized by his original opportunist illusions regarding the postwar possibility of a longterm period of expanding production and full employment taking place under present social conditions without conflicts, crises or mass unemployment.

But what are the facts? Present internal conditions in the USA are now featured, in part, by the present transition oration policy.

stances of a greater concentration and centralization of big capital, is accompanied by vast dislocations in the economy and a marked increase of unemployment. This difficult period of reconversion may last one to two years. It probably will merge with, or be followed by a postwar economic "boom."

But this "boom," too, will be a boom of a special kind. Whatever its length, two, three or five years, it will develop unevenly, advantageous primarily to the most powerful trusts, under conditions in which there will be large-scale and chronic unemployment and serious internal struggles. Further, this postwar economic "boom," due to the in-herent contradictions of capitalism, will inevitably give rise to a cyclical crisis, to a severe economic crisis-and this will take place despite the prospective exof America's foreign pansion trade in the immediate postwar period. And if we do not see this. and do not map out a program of action to protect the interests of the people along the lines set forth in the Board's Resolution, then the monopolies, headed by their most reactionary groups, may succeed in utilizing that crisis for their own reactionary ends, and along Fascist lines.

There is also another aspect of the slogan of action embodied in Part I of the Resolution that Comrade Browder objects to. He considers that our proposals to curb the powers of the monopolies are sectarian, if not utopian. But the contrary is true. Only by resolutely mobilizing the people to curb the powers of the trusts and cartels will it be possible greatly to expand both the foreign and domestic markets under conditions more favorable to the peoples. Only by curbing the monopolies can we seriously check and defeat those American imperialists who advocate a soft or compromise peace with feudal-Fascist Japan. Only by such measures can we best promote today the fullest rallying and unification of the national liberation forces in China, Indonesia, the Philippines and elsewhere, thereby hastening victory over Japan and the free and democratic advance of the peoples and nations of Asia. Only by curbing the economic royalists now can we most effectively prevent the restoration or unbridled predatory activity of the Anglo-American-German cartels, the scuttling of the Crimean decisions and the coming peace settlement, and help put a halt to those who want to plunder, rob and oppress the liberated peoples.

On the question of the Labors Management charter, Browder was and still remains in error. Browder still considers, as I and others formerly did, that this charter could be an instrument for dividing the ranks of the bourgeoisie and for promoting cooperation between the AFL and CIO nationally. Browder still accepts the charter at face value. He refuses to draw any conclusions from the fact that Eric Johnston and Wm. Green already have violated the charter and will now seek amendments to the Wagner Labor Relations Act. Browder ignores the fact that the Chamber of Commerce and the AFL, just as the NAM, still refuses to use the Charter to launch future, whether we retain the a concerted national campaign status of the CPA, as a noncreased purchasing power, or an improved social security program.

Of course, it is not excluded that under the pressure of labor and because of the exigencies of the reconversion period, some employers may cooperate with labor nationally and in certain communities in behalf of specific measures that may be mutually advantageous and in the national welfare. Possibly some of the sponsors of the Charter may yet do this. However, Browder's illusions concerning the Charter can only play into the hands of the Winthrop, Aldrich and Rockefeller crowd who aim to use the Charter to launch a nationwide public relations campaign to dull the vigilance and sap the strength of the progressive labor movement and to promote a streamlined version of Gompers' infamous class collab-

peacetime economy. This process, peacetime economy. This process, which is taking place in the war of the Communist Party. Brow-

nothing to worry about in regard to this action. He even has the audacity to state nowwhich he didn't do some 14 months ago-that he realized when the decision to establish insure that now as never before, the CPA was taken that this for us Communists, theory must might cause difficulties for a number of Communist Parties in other lands in the trying days of their national liberation struggle. At the same time, Browder insists that the dissolution of the CP was an indispensable act necessary to ensure victory in the 1944 elections and advisable from the viewpoint of the future role of the American Communists.

However, what are the facts? The dissolution of the CP in May 1944, as Comrade Duclos indicates, caused serious difficulties for a number of Communist Parties in Europe. This in itself makes clear that we had no right to dissolve the CP. For the interests of the world working class, and therefore of its vanguard parties, are basically identical, so that an injury to one can never be anything but an injury to the others.

Moreover, the dissolution of our Party, as we can see, accelerated previous and all tendencies to put an end to the independent role and position of the American Communist organization as an independent Marxist political movement and entity. The dissolution of the CP accentuated the tendency to weaken our base among the workers in the decisive sections of industry. promoted all opportunist views, including the tendencies to limit and negate the independent role of the Communists in the elections, as well as in other progressive and broad united front political-legislative movements

Insofar as the 1944 elections are concerned, the facts are: the dissolution of the CP aided the enemies of the camp of national unity. We Communists, as well as those whom we supported in the elections, were charged or castigated with dissolving our indedependent party organization in order to "capture" or "dominate" the ALP and the Democratic Party. And it must be admitted that this line of Red-baiting attack was used by the Hoover-Dewey Republicans and the Socialist-Democrats with marked and harmful effect. Furthermore, while the CPA did play a key role in the elections, the dissolution of the CP tended to reduce the power and activity of our Communist movement precisely during the course of the elections, and prevented us from exerting our full strength.

Clearly, we cannot perpetuate this state of affairs. Irrespective of what name or form we may now choose, we Communists now, from this day on, must augment and expand our independent Communist vanguard role. Pending future changes in our name or formal electoral status, we can and must build our Communist Association among the basic industrial workers, expand our independent mass activities, including our own independent electoral tickets and campaigns. We will do this, of course, in conjunction with organizing the broadest unity of action together with all other anti-Fascist and democratic forces. But now and in the unemployment insurance, in- party organization in the accepted electoral sense, or reorganize into the CP, we must develop our organization as the Marxist Party of the American working class.

> The final point I wish to mention regarding Browder's present position is his non-Marxist views concerning theory. When pressed to the wall, Browder admits that 'perhaps" some of his theories were and are untenable, or at least, that they don't square with realities and with our practice. Further, Browder claims that even if we made mistakes on the theoretical front, these were not so serious because we American Communists performed virtual miracles in our mass work, such as during the 1944 elections. Also, Browder contends that it doesn't matter if we erred as regards theory because, according to him, we have been reacting correctly, especially since V-E Day, to many of the current political developments, international-

al Board and membership are concerned, it is our deep conviction and determined purpose to serve as a guide to action. Our Marxist-Leninist theory practice must be inseparable. They must confirm and reinforce each other.

Precisely because under Browder's leadership we were, until recently, revising Marxism, our 'new theory" took us into strange pastures; we were tending to slide into the swamp of Bernsteinism and Kautskyism. And naturally, our "new theory" failed to equip our Association and our friends to foresee and to meet in time the new changes in world and national affairs. We were not forearmed for the new tasks with which we are now confronted. We were reacting to certain events, such as the San Francisco Conference, etc., piecemeal, in an isolated and limited way, and without vision and allround clarity, without displaying the required political initiative. Because of our erroneous theoretical conclusions, we did not adequately arm politically the American working class and all anti-Fascists for the new tasks now arising.

Some say, however, that despite our opportunist errors, possibly we could meet and correctly adjust ourselves to many aspects of the present changing situation, and that our practice would inevitably have led us to correct our theoretical mistakes. But, the fact remains, because of an incorrect theoretical position, we were lagging behind events, we were acting spontaneously and without that essential Communist compass: Marxist foresight and insight.

But to continue: What we should ask, was the basis of the opportunist errors, not only of Comrade Browder but also of the entire national leadership?

The root of our revision of Marxism arose, essentially, from an erroneous estimate of and attitude towards monopoly capital, especially towards those sections of Big Business which supported

the military defeat of Germany. Did our mistakes consist in cooperating with the win-the-war sections of Big Capital to speed the military defeat of Nazi Ger-many and the Axis? Did they arise from collaborating in a broad national electoral coalition with those capitalists who wished to reelect Roosevelt? Did our errors flow from our efforts to utilize and sharpen the divisions and contradictions within the ranks of capital, so as to try and isolate and defeat the pro-Fascist cliques headed by du Pont, Hearst and McCormack? Obvi-

ously the answer is-no! Then, from what did our fundamental mistakes arise? Our errors arose, for one thing, because we tended to forget why the main sections of American finance capital entered the war. We forgot that the monopolists did not participate in the war against Hitler completely to destroy fascism, to eradicate its social and economic roots. Rather, they came into the war against the Nazis in order to prevent German world domination, and also to eliminate or weaken an he immediate interests of the American people, even though there was no reason to assume that these big capitalists would fight consistently against Hitler or to the end against Hitlerism.

Our opportunist errors arose because we lost sight of the fact why the Roosevelt and Churchill governments, and the bourgeoisie supporting them, entered into the agreements of Teheran and Cri-We forgot that the USA mea. and Britain became partners of these accords primarily because of military necessity, because of the given relationship of world forces and because of the strong and powerful anti-Fascist sentiments, objectives and determination of the American and British peoples.

Similarly, we did not take into account the fact that the concords of Teheran and Yalta were, as Comrade Duclos has pointed out, diplomatic agreements. Clearly, these diplomatic agreements were political events and

to achieve a level of exports for [against Japan and under circum- | der still believes that here is | for Marxian theory is dangerous | what we overlooked was the fact and has nothing in common with that while the USSR would honor Marxism. Insofar as our Nation- and fulfill its pledge to the letter, and fulfill its pledge to the letter, as well as in the spirit, the ruling circles of America and Britain would carry out these agreements only to the extent that labor and the people - the true democratic and anti-Fascist forces-spoke out and waged a resolute and an effective struggle; as well as to the extent that the relationship of international forces compelled this.

Our revisionist mistakes con-sisted further in the fact that, even during the wartime period, while correctly cooperating for victory with the win-the-war sections of capital, we often tended to rely upon these sections of capital; we did not adequately criticize or counteract their vacillations; we did not systematically and sharply enough oppose their concessions to pro-Fascist reaction; we did not maintain at all times our own independent position. We were influenced negatively, by illusions regarding the "intelligence" and "far-sightedness" of the so-called pro-Roosevelt sections of capital. And, in retrospect we must admit that our recent opportunist mistakes have a long history and were influenced no little by the "Roosevelt decade," by the liberal-bourgeois influence which the Roosevelt "era" exerted upon the American labor and progressive movements.

Finally, and most important, because of illusions concerning the wartime and future role of the anti-Axis sections of monopoly capital, we tended to forget that American finance capital, American imperialism, itself breeds and engenders fascism and wars: and that after the war, American capitalism would seek and foster imperialist aggrandizement - that it would strive, either by force or by using the "dry" method, to attain world hegemony.

Because of this we Communists inadvertently tended to obscure and weaken the independent and leading role of the working class and consequently the vanguard role of our Communist organization. Because of this we did not sufficiently forewarn and prepare labor and the people to mobilize all their strength and force for the new and complex postwar problems. And this we must do now, at great speed and despite all difficulties, so as-for one thingto prevent, in time, the growth of fascism within our own country.

The question inevitably arises as to why this basic opportunist error, as well as other rightist mistakes, was allowed to penetrate into our wartime work and influenced our main political line and approach for the postwar period.

Did this take place because the leading cadres of our Communist movement are organically inclined towards revisionism, or are incurable opportunists? Did this take place because our leadership is bankrupt and has made little or no contributions to the struggle against fascism and reaction, or because we are devoid of Bolshevik honesty, integrity and devotion?

To ask these questions is to answer them. And the answer is, imperialist rival. Of course, their No! The membership and the vast pro-war position coincided with majority of our leadership are the immediate interests of the adherents of Marxism, are staunch proletarian anti-Fascists, who champion the immediate, as well as the ultimate interests of the working classsocialism. We have made vital contributions to victory in the war, to advancing the cause of democracy, peace, freedom and social progress. We have proved ourselves capable, albeit in varying degrees, of defending and promoting the interests of the working class, of our people and nation.

Then why and how can we account for the disorienting and paralyzing opportunist influences and errors which adversely influenced our policies and mass work in the recent period? These can be explained by the following reasons and factors:

1.-During and in the midst of mobilizing everything to defeat Hitler-Germany and the Axis, we tended to become careless and dizzy with success. We correctly devoted ourselves to achieving

one-sided. While correctly subordinating everything to the great objective of smashing Hitlerism, and working effectively to achieve this goal we carried on a relentless struggle to root out all sectarianism in our work; but we completely neglected to combine this with an equally vigilant struggle against opportunism. We forgot the sound advice of Comrade George Dimitrov, who, in 1935, warned the Communists of all lands that to apply successfully the policy of the united and people's anti - Fascist front, we must

eradicate from our ranks all self-satisfied sectarianism, which above all blocks our road to the masses and impedes the carrying out of a truly Bolshevik mass policy. We want to intensify in every way the struggle against all concrete manifestations of Right opportunism, realizing that the danger from this side will increase precisely in the practice of carrying out our mass policy and struggle."

2. In the course of our winthe-war and general anti-Fascist activity, while participating in the broad camp of national unity, we adopted, in practice, a nonvigilant attitude towards our non-labor allies. We unconsciously allowed ourselves to be influenced by the anti-Axis sections of the bourgeoisie. This was particularly true in respect to President Roosevelt and those sections of capital aligned with Thus, we frequently dragged at the tail-end of Roosevelt, were slow in criticizing his mistakes, weaknesses and hesitations. Consequently, we did not develop a sufficiently independent position. We did not adequately maintain our own Communist identity and vanguard role. Moreover, because of this, we tended to gloss over many of the pressing grievances of the workers and the Negro people, and sometimes even put a damper on their struggles.

3.-Then, too, our opportunism was abetted by the fact that our national leadership has not yet fully mastered Marxism, that in the midst of energetically supporting and waging this national liberation war, we often con-fused the woods for the trees, and tended to evaluate or raise short-term tactics and transitory

By John Williamson

and Revisionism":

"To determine its conduct from case to case, to adapt itself to the events of the day and to the windings of political trivialities, to forget the basic structive criticism with the interests of the proletariat and maximum self-criticism and corthe main features of the entire capitalist system as well as the whole capitalist evolution, to sacrifice these basic interests for the sake of real or would-be advantages of the moment—such is the policy of revisionism. And it obviously follows from the very essence of such a policy that it may assume an infinite variety of forms and will give rise to one or other variety of revisionism, each time when there is some 'new' question, or when there is more or less unexpected and unforeseen turn of events, even though this turn changed to the basic line of development to but an insignificant degree and for but the shortest period of time."

(V. I. Lenin: Marx, Engels, Marxism, International Publishers, p. 77.)

-Lastly, though not to exhaust the subject, our errors arose because in our leading committees and methods of work we have not yet established genuine democracy and collective work. We have tended to fall into the trap of formal democracy and self-adulation. We have confused the forging of firm, un-breakable Communist unity with the creation of a synthetic unity which curtailed criticism and self-criticism, which separated the leadership from the membership, and which failed to draw most of our trade union cadres and the entire membership into the fullest and most active participation in formulating and executing policies. This has played no small role in feeding and prolonging opportunism and bureaucratic methods of leadership and . . .

What must be done now to rectify our errors, most speedily, to help prevent the recurrence of

such a situation within the lead-ership of our Communist movement and to enable our organization to fulfill its new tasks and responsibilities with dispatch and

Obviously, there are a number

1.-It is necessary to deepen and extend the democratic discussion now taking place within our Association, and to combine continuous, searching and con-structive criticism with the rection. We must guard against excesses and distortions, whether of a rightist or leftist tendency. And, in so doing, we must reso-lutely preserve and strengthen the basic unity of our Communist organization.

2.-It is essential to institute everywhere, full inner-party democracy, based upon the principle of democratic centralism. For one thing, it is necessary to put an tend to that practice where new and major policies are suddenly, and without consultation, thrust upon our membership and often upon the National Committee and the Board, as the line and settled decisions of our Association. For another, it is necessary to convert the National Committee, as well as each State Committee, into a functioning and responsible policymaking and leading body:

3.-It is essential to refresh and strengthen our national and state leadership with the most tested working-class cadres, with the most devoted and competent Marxists. This will require a bold policy of promotion, as well as the amalgamation of the healthiest Marxist core of the existing leadership with a new and large circle of loyal and able proletar-ian cadres. This will require the establishment of far closer ties between our entire leadership, the membership and the masses. This will also require that our entire membership and all leading committees exercise the greatest political vigilance and judge each and every leader and member not alone by his or her vote or political declaration, but by deeds, by performances.

4.—It is imperative that we build and strengthen our CPA as a Marxist organization, as an independent working class party. Whatever final judgment our membership may render as to the name and form of our Communist organization, we must immediately fortify our organized working class base in the most decisive industries, and effect a new disposition of our of steps and measures which leading cadres to help affect mobilization of all our resources final approval at a special na-

towards this end. Yet, in the der over the penetrating remarks tional convention. Among these organizational initiative on all gressives, rapidly to implement process of doing this, we became of Lenin in his article "Marxism are the following: fronts—on the industrial, electron the program of action outlined fronts—on the industrial, electoral and legislative fronts, and in our Resolution. particularly on the ideological and theoretical fronts.

5.—It is also especially urgent now that we shall organize the most systematic study and mastery of Marxism - Leninism throughout our Association, from top to bottom. Starting with the planned study and application of the main line of the draft resolution of our National Board, with the Duclos article, as well as with Comrade Foster's letter of January 20, 1944, we must encourage and develop the widest and most profound study of the Marxist-Leninist classics, especially the reports and writings of Comrade Dimitrov; the History of the CPSU; Lenin's Imperialism and Left-Wing Communism, Marx, Engels—Marxism, The Renegade Rautsky and the Collapse of Il International; and not least of all The Foundations of Leninism and the Mastery of Bolshevism by Stalin.

6.-It is necessary that we immediately commence to examine, in the light of our discussion and Resolution, all fields of work, all press, publications and institutions led or influenced by the CPA; that it, it is essential to examine our theory and practice, as well as our cadres, in all spheres of work and in all mass organizations.

7.—It is urgently necessary that we raise the vigilance of that already, prior to any of-our entire movement, root out ficial decisions, we have, in a and prevent factionalism, as well as smoke out and expel all Trotskyite and other enemy

agents.

8.—It is equally imperative, particularly now during our inner-discussion period, that we reach and clarify the broadest sections of the masses regarding our policy and tactics. We must react immediately to all slanders, distortions and misrepresentations of our position which appear in the press, such as have been recently expressed in the columns and editorials of The New York World-Telegram and The New York Times. We must utilize every avenue of public information to explain and popularize our position.

9.—And, finally, it is essential that our CPA resolutely combine our present discussions and deliberations with the maximum

Everything must be done without delay to rally and unify labor and all democratic forces to consistently support a progressive foreign policy, a sound reconversion program, and in preparation for the current municipal and the fateful 1946 Congressional elections. Everything must be done now, in time, to weld the broadest anti-Fascist and democratic unity of the nation and to reinforce the friendship and concerted action of the Anglo-Soviet-American coalition.

Everything should be done to help determine the course of the Truman Administration, which despite its recent vacillations and inconsistencies in the sphere of foreign policy, is still subject to mass pressure and can be influenced in a progressive direction, along the lines of Yalta, especially—if labor and the people are organized, united and active.

I am confident as is the National Board, that our discussions and deliberations will result in a great strengthening of our Communist movement, in the achievement of greater independent Marxist thinking, genuine democracy and collective work and a higher type of inner-Communist unity. This is already borne out by the first results of our discussions and by the fact basic sense, begun to reconstitute and convert our CPA into the Marxist Party of the American working class. This is all to the good. This augurs well for the future.

Insofar as the Resolution of the National Board is concerned, I would like to venture the following opinion: the main line and approach of the Resolution is correct. Yet there is much ground for additions, changes and improvement. Therefore, I should like to suggest that we approve the main line of the Resolution, accept it as a draft and elect a small committee to incorporate in the Resolution, all amendments advanced by the National Committee and our membership. Then, on this basis, we should submit the improved draft resolution for the consideration of our membership and phenomena to the level of stra-tegy or a "new theory." And in preparation for convening at the connection we should pon-this connection we should pon-

The Reestablishment of Our Marxist Vanguard Role

be raised here. My effort will be mass movements and strongly to draw certain lessons from our argued against those who wished recent experiences to indicate the to limit the organization only to direction of solving some of the educational activity, the fact reproblems connected with the mains that in both theory and functioning of the CPA as a practice we tended to minimize Marxist political party of the the independent Marxist vanworking class. We do not come guard role of our organization. with finalized or "last word" pro- Predicating our thinking on the posals. It is up to this National premise of an identity of interests Committee to discuss these prob- between ourselves and all class lems seriously. In fact, it would forces represented in the war seem best to finall; settle the coalition for a long time to come, bership, giving all of us greater basic Marxist concept, fundaopportunity to dig into these questions and arrive at sound ing class Marxist party, that we conclusions.

In my speech in the general discussion, I tried to show the relationship between our incorrect theory and the organizational conclusions we reached. No one vigilantly criticizing and overcan deny that we faced serious liquidationist tendencies in our organization. Some of us were conscious of them. We tried to combat and overcome them. But we were unsuccessful because we failed to recognize the roots of liquidationism in our revisionist errors. Despite everything we said and wrote about the question of liquidationism these tendencies flourished and reached full bloom during the life of the CPA.

Let us review briefly some expressions of this liquidationism:

coalition: While we conducted a pre-condition for strengthening, demonstrated this by staying out struggle to achieve clarity and not weakening, national unity. of our organization in large num- to convince the trade union move- exclude myself and a few other

mental to the existence of a workmust at all times maintain an independent position aimed at influencing in the first place the most decisive force within the nation, the working class, while coming hesitations and vacillations within the camp of our allies. Too often our position was determined by the concept that 'we must not and cannot do anything to endanger the coalition.' This was falsely interpreted to mean that criticism of errors and mistakes of the Roosevelt administration and the sections of the bourgeosie which supported the defeat of Hitler Germany, would 'weaken the coalition." We tended to submerge our identity in the general democratic movement, instead of firmly maintain-

sion we established the approach sability of the CPA, its role and contradiction that constantly both politically and organiza- effectiveness as an organized found expression in our organizationally-to all the problems to force in stimulating and leading tion - the contradiction between our collaboration with the democratic forces and the maintenance of our own political and organizational identity.

During the election campaign we called off a series of mass meetings and radio talks, stopped issuing leaflets in our own name, reached a new low in literature distribution and in our press circulation, etc. In some districts clusive answer for them, since our policies—our Marxist think-and in numerous clubs, not a sin-many problems were raised time ing. Yet, we see a weakening of gle piece of literature had been and time again in the recent our working class base in a numseem best to finally settle the coalition for a long time to compose the function of prepared and issued, reacting to months.

Secondly, we have seen a department of this coalitions. We negated a CPA thinking and proposed line terioration of the industrial and dustrial and trade union composition of our sition for the first time in six past 6 to 9 months. We could not membership since the CPA was effectively meet and eliminate a growing underestimation of our own independent role, the absence of adequate club initiative in organizing and developing independent activities while collaborating with the democratic forces in the community. We were unable to effectively combat the "discussion centers"—instead of struggle around the key issues of the day. And we could not give a satisfactory answer to the question raised persistently within our ranks and among many workers: "How does the CPA differ from other win-the-war progressive organizations?"

What we didn't see, namely the intimate conection of these types of problems and their political Firstly, on the role of the CPA ing the independent position of roots, the workers instinctively in relation to the democratic the Communists and labor as a understood better than we. They

and on many other occasions.

Report to the National Committee, CPA, June 18-20

want to identify myself with or organized strength that we can those who attempt to excuse all influence and continue to lead deour organizational weaknesses by bisive sections of the labor movewe committed. The contradictions leader inside or outside the trade engendered by our position cre- union movement may do at a ated certain additional obstacles critical moment. to the solution of many of our organizational problems, but cannot be used now as the all-in-

organized. We know by definition that a Communist organization, to fulfill its vanguard role "must absorb all the best elements of the working class, their experience, their revolutionary spirit and their unbounded devotion to the cause of the working class." Can we honestly say, despite all 82 per cent of our membership trend to transform our clubs into our positive achievements (and (not counting those in the armed we don't want to detract one iota centers for organizing mass from them) that our organization embraces all the advanced workers available and ready to join? I am not talking idealistically. The fact remains that today we only have 316 coal miners although 10 years ago we had sevand 840 marine workers. I could selves, in line with our general go on and on, with examples from other industries, states and scale recruiting this year.

Equally decisive as these fig-

In the main reports and discus- understanding as to the indispen- Thus we could not resolve the | bers, by not giving us sustained | ment, including the CIO, of the support in local union elections, correctness of our policies is far from fully established. We do not In stressing the above, I do not have so deep-rooted an influence pointing to the revisionist errors ment, irrespective of what any

> We know that workers, particularly workers in basic industries, should more readily understand sition for the first time in six years. It is clear, that we should have attracted certain working class forces from key industries and industrial areas, but did not in this period.

Obviously, the CPA did not have the recruiting capacity of the CP. While we enrolled 63,000 CP members into the CPA - or forces) and showed a growth of 25 per cent, that was due primarily to the big recruiting campaign prior to the change of name. We had an average monthly recruiting during the first half of 1943 of 3615 members; during though 10 years ago we had several times that many; or that we only have 1427 steel workers is only fair to add that we ourpolicy, decided against any large-

Another example of this, was our attitude to the press. This is ures, is the fact that our ability one mistake from which I must

members. For months we con- the present-day functioning of of the CPA on all current issues the Club; attendance at meetings tional Committee meeting are editorial concept of The Daily Worker, To some the tabloid form was to be the cure-all answer. Then we were told we need a broad popular newspaper-a sort of Communist PM. We had similar expressions in the field of press circulation. First, was the liquidation of the Browder Brigades in New York. Then a folding up of the home delivery system. Then a theory that responsibility for the distribution of The Daily Worker and The Worker should not be placed on the membership and clubs. Let the newsstands and mail subs answer the problem. This sprang from the illusions we ourselves were creating. It resulted in negating the active role of Communist members and clubs with reference to the press. It further resulted in practically wiping out our bundle sales. It made us exclusively dependent upon bourgeois forms of distribution, such as distribution agencies and the U.S. mail, to reach the masses with our message and leadership.

Fourthly, the concept of membership in a Communist organization. We developed concepts of Communist membership which said that attending club meetings was not important; that collecting dues was a routine and thankless job, consequently let us have yearly dues; that monthly Club meetings were sufficient. Thus, during the past period the CPA has not had organized contact with at least 50 per cent of its membership. Add to this, theories that we were harassing the members, that we were overburdening them and could not expect a new membership to come to meetings and fulfill so many activities. All these concepts were essentially incorrect. I do not want to infer here that there are no new methods of work that can and should be adopted, or that there are no new things we must all learn. Of course there are, but new methods of work must not weaken the Communist concept of membership in our organization, nor destroy that which distinguishes us from others. The central question is correct policy but, we need an organization with Communist characteristics to carry out such policy. This demands that we combat all attempts to transform our Clubs into Democratic or Republican Party type of clubs, or merely into nighborhood ping pong and forum centers. We must quickly overcome all organizational looseness and reject all theories developed to justify it. Fifthly, is the serious decline

in dues payments. Years ago dues payments averaged 85 per cent for the entire country with some districts reaching a higher level. However, during the last six months of 1944 dues in the CPA averaged 71 per cent and for the first five months of 1945 they have further declined to 58 per cent. Even more alarming is the status for the first quarter of this year in such key industrial districts as Ohio-44 per cent; Michigan-32 per cent; Illinois-45 per cent, and Pittsburgh-58 per cent.

Sixthly, is the serious decline in the sale of theoretical literature by Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin. I only have figures for the country excluding New York. fully equipped educational de-These show that for the six years partment in the national office between 1938 to 1943 we sold a and in the larger districts. yearly average of 34,000 copies of Marxist - Leninist classics. However, for 1944 this had declined to 19,000 copies and this included 5000 volumes of the Lenin Home Library. I do not have the figures for the New York district, but I am told that the decline is proportionately the

Given such conditions, a critical situation was inevitable.

I now want to deal specifically

with four questions: 1.—Problems connected with the immediate present day functioning of the CPA as a Marxist political party of the working class.

2.—Questions of inner party democracy and methods of leadership.
3.—Immediate responsibilities

concerning press and literature circulation.

4.—Perspectives for increased activity of membership and large scale recruiting. The first task connected with

party, is that of organizing the most thorough study of Markism-Leninism by the membership and all our cadres. Clearly the present discussion is only the beginning. Let us remember that a large section of our membership have had as their exclusive guide the books of Comrade Browder, and related material by our national leadership. Our approach therefore shall be to use the classics to deepen the understanding of Marxism - Leninst fundamentals, with reference to the science of society, the laws of capitalist development, the laws of proletarian revolution, the laws of development of socialist construction, the foundation and unfolding of strategy and tactics and the role of the Marxist vanguard party. This, however, should be combined with the accumulated experience of the American and international Communist movement, including specifically our present resolution, studying the present day situation and problems in the light of our Marxist-Leninist principles.

In carrying through this intensive study of Marxism the following shall be kept in mind:

1.—Because of the recent underestimation of the vanguard role of the Marxist party, this shall be integrated and emphasized in all discussions and studies.

2.-The aim of all study shall be to train Communists for effective participation in, and leadership of, the struggles of labor and the people.
3.—We must burn into the con-

sciousness of every Communist that the higher the political level and the Marxist-Leninist knowledge of our members and cadres, irrespective of whether they be party functionaries, active in trade unions or other fields of mass work, the more effective the results of their work and

We recommend for consideration the following activities between now and the National Convention:

1.-While continuing and deepening the present discussion, it shall be given more specific guidance in the light of the decisions of the National Committee meet-

ing.
2.—That during the summer, and in preparation for the reopening of schools in the fall, there shall be instituted an examination of the curricula, outlines, textbooks, methods of teaching and personnel of all schools. This shall be combined with an intensive course of reeducating the educators.

3.—That there be an extension of all existing forms of education.

4.—That we make available a compilation of appropriate readings from the writings of Dimitrov, material on revisionism and American monopoly capitalism and relate each to present day American conditions and experiences.

After the National Convention plans shall be realized to:

1.—Fulfill the national gram of schools originally scheduled for this summer, covering CPA functionaries, trade union actives, national group leaders and Communist youth.

2.—That there be established a

3.—That we overhaul and strengthen the editorial staffs of all our papers.

4.—That we shall organize the most thorough and sustained political struggle against all manifestations of Trotskyism and social democratism in the labor movement.

The second problem connected with the immediate functioning of the CPA as a Marxist political party of the working class is strengthening the independent activities of the CPA. While learning to cement our ties with the broad labor and progressive democratic movements, being among the most active participants in these mass movements, and striving at all times to strengthen national unity for the program of action contained in our resolution, we shall simultaneously develop boldly and energetically our own independent Communist role and activities.

In the field of public relations we should speak out in the name

and not just depend upon The Daily Worker editorials. We should appear at all hearingsmunicipal, state or congressional and present our position. In the electoral field, wherever conditions permit. Communists should be included as candidates on common anti-Fascist and democratic slates, with the CPA conducting its own campaign in support of the ticket. The question of running Communist candidates for a specific office in the coming elections shall be given careful consideration. This in no way should be considered as narrowing down the broadest democratic people's coalition around the main candidates.

As regards mass campaigns, the CPA should develop statewide and nationwide campaigns on specific issues in its own name, and the clubs should do likewise in the communities. This may be in the form of support to vital campaigns initiated by other organizations, or by a coalition of organizations advancing an anti-Fascist program. However, it is also correct for us to take those issues that others are not ready to support, or merely pay lip service to, and develop the broadest mass campaigns, on the initiative of the Communists. We shall also develop the practice of organizing many more large mass meetings and other demonstrative actions, as well as issuing more leaflets giving our analyses of events and slogans of action for the masses. In this connection we must really develop a corps of effective speakers, and all national leaders shall be considered as national speakers.

The third problem under this heading, is a series of measures to bring about a sharp correction in the decline of our industrial and trade union composition. Here is a brief picture:

1943 1944 Industry 42% 46% Basic industry 23 25 Trade union.. 52 52 47 Housewife ... 18 19 Professional .. 29 25 Business

This 1945 decline in composition is the first downward trend in six years. No doubt this is connected with our basic errors; with our failure to assume the initiative in helping the workers to find new forms of struggle in defense of their burning griev-ances; with our neglect of sufficient political education among our trade union members and the establishment of effective organizational forms for trade unionists; with the reflection in our ranks of the backward ideologies of many of the new elements who have entered the working class during the war.

To meet and answer this situation, I recommend:

1.—That we revive the policy of concentration on specific districts and industries.

2.—That we shift our forces, including key leading forces, into these concentration districts and industries

3.—That we institute a policy of shop concentration in our dayto-day mass work and find appropriate forms of organization. During the time this question is being further studied we shall organize meetings of Communists, according to industry and trade unions, for general political discussions.

-That we reinstitute Workers Correspondence as a regular feature in all papers that we in-

5.-That we recommend that the New York district, where the decline in the industrial and trade union composition is even sharper than nationally, shall carry through a review of this entire problem and adopt measures to correct it.

The fourth problem under this heading is the improvement in the functioning of the Clubs. The activity and education of the Clubs must have a Marxist content. We shall aim at involving all members in the life, discussions and activities of the Clubs, guaranteeing that adequate guidance and assistance is given to their mass work.

We must overcome the present loose concept of Communist membership and undertake a real campaign to reestablish the understanding of the conditions of membership in the CPA, including: activity under direction of

and participation in the working out of policies; payment of dues; reading and distributing our press and literature; and within the democratic practices of the organization, fulfillment of discipline. While steering away from any old time sectarian approach, our members should understand that more is expected from a Communist than anyone else. The size of the Club shall be determined primarily on the ability to effectively fulfill the role of a Marxist vanguard political organization. The Clubs should meet regularly at least twice a month.

· I would now like to deal with another group of problems under the general heading of democracy and methods of Party leadership. These problems are not new, but we all erred in connection with most of them. It is therefore advisable to restate briefly certain fundamentals of Communist organizational procedure.

We must really practice democratic centralism in our organization. To many members and even functionaries we have to make clear what and what is not democratic centralism.

Stated briefly, democratic centralism is the method of functioning of the Communist organization which combines the maximum democracy in the shaping of policy and the election of all leadership with sufficient centralization of committee authority to guarantee immediate reaction to problems and speedy mobilization of the entire membership and organization around the fulfillment of key tasks. Thus democratic centralism guarantees that all leading committees are elected by the membership and all basic problems are discussed and shaped by the membership. It is the responsibility of the elected leadership to systematically report to the membership on the actions and decisions taken by the higher committee.

Let us examine the shortcomings in how we are functioning. From top to bottom there was too much centralization of thinking as well as authority in individuals, instead of in committees. Policies were brought to mass leaders to carry out, without hammering out such policies in consultation with them. No real effort was made to check the correctness of our policies in

the crucible of life and struggle. New political lines (such as Teheran line of January, 1944) must not be sprung upon our membership at Madison Square Garden meetings, but must be preceded by a period of discussion with the membership participating in the hammering out of policy. When questions are raised by the people at the bottom, the leadership has a responsibility to recheck its policies and reassure itself of their correctness, or make such modifications as an examination may make necessary. These are some of the things we must correct and never forget again.

At the same time, because we have many new workers in our ranks, who know little about the experiences and traditions of the Communist movement, and who use trade union practices as their yardstick of judgment, we must correct certain wrong ideas about democratic centralism. There are those who want to wipe out the authority of committees to function and make decisions, or, want all decisions to be first submitted to a referendum vote. We must explain how such procedure would hinder the effective functions and contribution of the CPA to the labor and people's movement. We must really dramatize in a simple and living way, by everything we do, that democracy is a two-way street-from top to bottom and

bottom to top.

All this will be made easier if our leading comrades will establish the practice of, maintaining contact with the membership and the Clubs. In the past only a few of us did this to any extent and in the future this must become the practice of all leaders. Our National Committee should meet more often in full session. A committee system of work should be established in our National Committee which should function under the leadership of the Board

and the National Committee. On the question of cadres, the tasks outlined at the last Na-

still essentially correct and should receive sustained attention. It becomes clear, in accord with our resolution and the lessons the membership is drawing from the discussion, that there must be an early promotion of new and active forces into the leadership of all state committees, as well as the national committee. When that time comes, greater attention should be given to the incorporation of more shop comrades and trade union leaders into our leading committees. Here it is necessary to reemphasize the need for swifter training and promotion of Negro comrades. In the future attention also should be given to the time of committee meetings, to make it possible for workers, and not only full-time functionaries, to attend.

In the period ahead, when thousands of Communists who have participated in the armed forces return, these comrades must be given the necessary cooperation that will make it possible for them to acquaint themselves with our experiences of the past period. Among them will be found many new forces available for promotion to leadership, together with many old functionaries who will be better equipped to occupy places of leadership.

Under this general heading, it is well to call attention to the need of greater vigilance. Even in the course of this discussion, we should be alert to those who resort to stereotyped phrases that make reference to Trotskyism, or who make leftist provocative proposals. This, of course, must not be confused with the questions asked by honest memberes for clarification purposes.

We now come to the third general question we wish to place before you for consideration. It seems to us that there is already present in the thinking of our membership the first prerequisites for real organized activity in promoting and extending the circulation of The Daily Worker and The Worker, without any official campaign. First of all the membership in the recent weeks has learned as never before to look to The Daily Worker as an educator through the discussion columns, and a guide to daily mass work, through the editorials and articles.

With the many mass activities in which every Communist must be involved, with the need of influencing the masses of workers on the adoption of correct policies and actions, every member, Club and committee must recognize the indispensability of both The Daily Worker and The Worker. We would therefore recommend, without setting any goals or launching an organized national campaign, that we strive to increase The Daily Worker circulation. Out of town, this means reexamining the entire club-sub activity and carrying through our previous directives. The key to increasing The Worker eirculation still rests with a further solution of the renewal problem, and all the districts, including New York, should seriously set about increasing their bundle circulation, on the basis of the immediate activization of our clubs and mem-

In connection with our literature distribution we would recommend that durbe given to securing real mass dis-tribution of certain current pamphlets examining and explaining the present developments in the light of our resolution. Systematic attention should be given to V. J. Jerome's booklet. Lastly, there should be greater attention to a mass distribution or all theoretical literature, with special reference to the Lenin Home Library; Socialism; Utopian and Scientific; the Little Lenin Li-brary; History of the CPSU; the writings of George Dimitrov and other re-lated material. This theoretical literature should be utilized for self study and or-ganized classes.

ganized classes.

As a result of this intensive educational campaign and the clarification of polley that will necessarily take place, we can look forward to the perspectives of far greater activity on the part of our membership in the many important fields of mass work and struggle, and the entry into our ranks of many thousands of new members, who thus demonstrate their agreement with the correction of our recent errors.

However, it seems to me, that the

strate their agreement with the correction of our recent errors.

However, it seems to me, that the working out of any particular campaign related to the building of our organization should await the convening of our National Convention and be planned for fall and winter.

While the question of when we return to the name Communist Party still must be settled. I think we all agree that it must be done, Furthermore, we agree that the CPA must start functioning now as the Marxist vanguard party of the working class. At this time, however, we must declare ourselves as favoring the reorganization of the Communist movement in the southern states.