

CORRECTION

We regret that, as a result of a printshop error, three paragraphs were omitted from yesterday's article by Eugene Dennis on "The Daily Worker and Hungary." We publish below the omitted section (in italics)—and the two paragraphs which preceded it:

If some columnists saw more clearly the realities of American life, they might have less difficulty in understanding what has happened in Hungary. Take, for example, the role of important sections of the Hungarian working class who were either active participants in or passive supporters of the movement whose leadership was temporarily seized by reactionary and pro-fascist forces.

Some commentators seem to think that the character of a movement is determined solely by whether or not it has a measure of working class support. Does this mean that, "coming back home," there should be progressive support for "back to work" movements in a hard-pressed strike—on the ground that, although inspired and led by employers, these scab movements have the "support of workers"? Does it also mean that militant workers should hesitate to use firm measures against lynch mobs organized by the White Citizens Councils—when or if substantial numbers of white Southern workers were misled into join-

ing the segregationists and the lynch mob?

These may seem like ridiculous and far-fetched questions. I am sure they are. But strange things have been happening in the pages of the Daily Worker where letters advocating "the right to overthrow socialism" go unchallenged by the editors. But still stranger things could happen unless it is constantly borne in mind that, whatever else may have changed, American monopoly remains the Enemy Number One of the American working class and people, of world peace and democratic advance.

If some of the Daily's editors had "remembered" this cardinal fact, they might not have been so slow to denounce Lodge's provocative demand that the UN condemn the Soviet Union's enforced action in Hungary; nor would they have inferentially equated the Soviet Union's use of troops on Nov. 4th with the British-French Israeli aggression against Egypt.

And when American Big Business is the main enemy of peace, national liberation, democracy and progress everywhere—how can the Daily's foreign editor hail the "democratic advance" in Bonn Germany, Japan, and Finland? Did not his typewriter write off the danger of the military revanchists and the neo-fascist movement in Adenauer's section of Germany—at the same time that it wrote off the danger of a fascist putsch in Hungary?