

# Speak Your Piece

## DAILY WORKER MUST GO ON, SAY BRONXITES; SEND \$148

NEW YORK.

Editor, Daily Worker:

In response to Steve Nelson's appeal a group of friends of the Daily Worker in Northwest Bronx raised the enclosed \$148 and we expect to raise more.

Everyone of us feels that the Daily Worker must go on. We believe that if all friends and supporters of the paper would follow our example, the Daily Worker would be well on its way.

AL.

### Communist Party View On Pending Discussion

NEW YORK.

Editor, Daily Worker:

The December issue of "Party Affairs," the Communist Party discussion bulletin carries the discussion of the National Committee on the drafting of a party program.

In the discussion divergent views were expressed on the approach to the program. These divergencies were resolved by the adoption of a motion which carried by almost unanimous vote, only a few comrades abstaining.

Unfortunately, through an oversight, the motion was not printed in "Party Affairs."

Would you please reprint the text of the motion so as to make clear to your many interested readers that the N. C. concluded the discussion by a definite motion on the approach to a party program? The motion:

"The National Committee is critical of the delay in submission of the party program, a task mandated by the 16th national convention, for completion within one year. Whatever reasons for the political hesitation in advancing the work on a new party program, the national committee insists upon a qualitative change in the tempo of work in the program. It instructs the NAC to submit a detailed set of working papers to the national committee by its next meeting. It further declares that the work on the new program can only go forward in an ideological atmosphere in which all theoretical propositions are subject to free and comradely discussion, in the light of the conditions of struggle of the American working class and its allies."

SID STEIN

National Committee  
Communist Party.

### More About Forums

Editor, Daily Worker:

I was surprised and not a little shocked at Harold Collins' letter criticizing the Dec. 8 conference of the American Forum for Socialist Education.

Collins states that "in the afternoon panel on 'Socialism and Democracy' the dominant and almost unrelieved note was one of rejection of the Soviet Union and repudiation of the Communist parties as necessary conditions of an American Socialism."

Now, if Mr. Collins wants to convince those people who reject the Soviet Union and repudiate the Communist Party that they are wrong he should welcome the opportunity to present the Communist viewpoint and to debate and discuss issues with all socialist-minded persons.

Collins mentions that some of the views presented were sec-

tarian. What could be less sectarian than the fact that Steve Nelson, Frederick Shuman, Dave Dellinger and Farrell Dobbs were speaking together from the same platform?

Collins concludes his letter by asking whether the American Forum warrants the attention and publicity the Daily Worker has been giving it in view of our "own crucial problems." Isn't it possible that our "own crucial problems exist just because of the dogmatism and sectarianism displayed in Collins' attitude towards the American Forum for Socialist Education—an attitude which negates the 16th Communist Party resolution on new approaches to socialists and social-democracy. ROSE

### Employment Agencies

Editor, Daily Worker:

I was looking last week in the "Classified Ads" and saw an ad for a Singer sewing machine operator with little experience. It attracted my attention, as I am a low-paid worker, I would like to earn more money and have a skill. This ad referred to an agency.

When I applied at the agency, I was told that I would qualify because of my trade school experience, and my experience in sewing some dresses. I was told by the agency that my salary would be \$40 for 37 hours in a union garment shop and that I would have to join the union. But before I get the job the agency asked me to pay \$17.30.

When I told the man that I didn't have that much money, he said he couldn't give me the job unless I give him \$10, which I did.

The people I saw in the agency were Puerto Ricans.

When I told the man that I boss asked me how much experience I had, which I told him. He told me that I didn't have enough experience and that the union scale was \$40, for experienced workers that he needed the work therefore he could not hire me, and if he did hire me, he would pay me less. As I left I looked around the factory and the majority of the workers were Puerto Ricans and Negroes. I got my \$10 back.

A friend of mine also told me of some hair-raising experience she had as an office worker. She was looking for a job and found that the majority of classified ads are ads from agencies. She gets a job through an agency and pays a week salary in three weeks. Her job lasts no more than four weeks. In order to get a job she constantly has to pay the agency. In view of the growing unemployment situation, I would like to propose that "The Worker" investigate this outrageous practices in New York City and carry some articles exposing these practices. IDA.

Classified Ad