

DISSENTS OF REDS SHOW SIMILARITY

Communist Reaction in West on Anti-Stalinism Brings Speculation on Source

Special to The New York Times.

PARIS, June 27—The remarkably similar dissents by Western Communists on Moscow's anti-Stalin campaign has aroused speculation here as to who leads the orchestra.

Beyond the similarity of views of the Communist leaders, their texts reveal striking similarities of wording as well.

This has roused the suspicion here that the "defiance" of Moscow by the Western Communists has about the same degree of real antagonism and spontaneity as a television wrestling match.

There are many others here, however, who share the view expressed by Secretary of State Dulles today that recent events demonstrate a slackening of Kremlin control over the Communist parties outside the Soviet Union.

Similarities Outlined

Some of the more striking examples of similar wording follow:

¶On the need for further study of the Stalin affair:

Palmiro Togliatti, Italian Communist leader: "What is necessary is to furnish an explanation based on Marxist criteria showing how such errors were able to slip into the evolution of the Socialist society."

The French Politburo: "A profound Marxist analysis is necessary to determine the over-all circumstances in which the personal power of Stalin could be exercised."

The British Communist Political Committee: "A profound Marxist analysis of the causes of degeneration in the functioning of Soviet democracy and party democracy."

The United States Communist party: "A basic analysis of how such perversions of Socialist democracy, justice and internationalism were permitted to develop and continue unchecked for twenty years must still be made by the leadership of the C. P. S. U [Communist Party of the Soviet Union]."

¶On the Soviet idolatry of Stalin alive and muck-raking of Stalin dead:

Signor Togliatti: "First we were told that all that was good was the work of one man endowed with superhuman faculties. But [now] one attributes all that goes badly to his equally astonishing faults."

The French Politburo: "It was mistaken, during Stalin's life, to address him with dithyrambic eulogies and to attribute to him the exclusive merit for all the successes scored in the Soviet Union. * * * Today it is not just to attribute to Stalin alone all that was negative in the activity of the Communist party of the Soviet Union."

The United States Communist party: "It is just as wrong to ascribe all the mistakes and violations of Socialist principle to a single individual as it was to ascribe to him all the achievements and grandeur of Socialist progress in the U. S. S. R."

The New York Times

Published: June 28, 1956

Copyright © The New York Times