

14 Charles Lane
New York, New York 10014

June 8, 1974

TO LENINIST-TROTSKYIST FACTION COORDINATORS:

Dear Comrades,

Enclosed are copies of several items received in the SWP National Office that relate to the views and activities of the Internationalist Tendency. They are:

1. A letter from Barry Sheppard, Los Angeles City Local organizer.
2. A letter from Doug Jenness, New York City Local organizer.
3. A letter from Pearl Chertov, Chicago Branch organizer, with an attached excerpt from the minutes of the Chicago branch executive committee, May 12, 1974.
4. A letter from Bitsy Myers, Washington D.C. Branch organizer, with an attached copy of a leaflet distributed by a group calling itself "Chile Resistance Committee."
5. A statement published by a group called "Revolutionary Marxist Collective (San Francisco-Berkeley)". The statement introduces a pamphlet on Chile published by this group.
6. A letter from Mary Hillery, Twin Cities branch organizer.
7. A letter to Fred Feldman of the SWP National Education Department from Frank Manning, a member of the IT and the Lower Manhattan branch of the SWP.
8. A letter from John Barzman to Jack Barnes and an acknowledgement from Bev Scott.
9. A letter from John Barzman and Bill Massey and an answer from Lew Jones.

Comradely,

Ed Shaw

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Los Angeles, California
May 20, 1974

SWP National Office

Dear Comrades,

This letter is to inform you of violations of discipline on the part of members of the Internationalist Tendency in the Los Angeles Local.

The first instance occurred during the May 11 demonstration demanding freedom for the political prisoners in Chile. Prior to the demonstration, there were extensive discussions in both branches (Central-East and Westside) and in the Local Executive Committee concerning our intervention. The reason why we had rather lengthy discussions was because we were in a difficult fight with a whole range of our opponents, from the Stalinists to the Spartacists, to build a non-exclusionary demonstration.

Reports in both branches and the Local Executive Committee outlining our intervention were approved as the situation developed. Our basic orientation was to build a united-front as best we could, around the demands to "Cut. U.S. aid to the junta" and to "Free all the Chilean political prisoners"--concentrating on the six prisoners singled out by USLA. Olga Rodriguez, our candidate for governor, would speak at the demonstration for the party, and present our views on the Unidad Popular, as well as support the demands of the action. (A section of the rally was set aside for discussion of issues that went beyond defense of the prisoners.) The USLA also had a speaker, and the party was to support the USLA intervention through leafleting and carrying USLA signs. In addition, we were distributing leaflets for our election campaign banquet to be held that evening, and selling The Militant. We also had important responsibility for defense of the demonstration, which was necessary to ensure the united front character of it.

This general orientation was approved in the Local Executive Committee held prior to the action. A member of the IT, Comrade Gene, is on the Local Executive Committee, although he failed to attend this meeting. At the Central-East branch meeting held May 6, this orientation was approved, with no opposition on the part of IT members of that branch. At the May 6 meeting of the Westside branch, however, members of the IT made a motion that the party distribute the September 1973 statement of the United Secretariat on the coup in Chile. Comrade Massey, who was visiting Los Angeles, attended this meeting as a guest. After discussion, the branch voted unanimously, with the exception of the members of the IT present, against this motion. The majority of the branch felt that this statement was dated and inappropriate for this demonstration, and that the orientation decided on was in full consonance with the positions of the SWP and the Fourth International.

On the basis of these decisions, the organizers made assignments for the demonstration. All members of the IT were given assignments.

At the demonstration itself, the IT members from both branches showed up with copies of the September 1973 United Secretariat statement, with a leaflet stapled to it. I, as the city organizer,

instructed the IT members to carry out their assignments as decided by the branches rather than distribute this statement. The IT members refused to do this, and proceeded to distribute the statement.

On May 18, some comrades of the IT participated in a demonstration in support of Mexican political prisoners, but on their own, with no consultation with the party. Comrade Gene had asked to be excused from an assignment to sell Militants that day, because of work. However, he showed up at this demonstration, but did not sell Militants.

On May 19, at a Gary Lawton defense rally held in Riverside, Comrade Judy W. of the IT sold the Canadian paper, Old Mole. No decision by the party was made to sell this paper. In fact, we are on a campaign to sell The Militant.

Comradely,

s/Barry Sheppard
Los Angeles City Organizer

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

New York
May 15, 1974

SWP National Office

Dear Comrades,

The following facts about the activities of party members who belong to the Internationalist Tendency have been reported to the New York Local Executive Committee at its meetings on April 28 and May 12:

1) At a Haitian antideportation demonstration in Brooklyn on April 27 Comrade Frank Manning, a member of the Lower Manhattan branch, carried a sign that was not part of the intervention decided upon by the Brooklyn branch. He had been informed two days prior to the action that the party and YSA interventions were being organized by the Brooklyn branch and YSA local, including the preparation of signs and the organization of sales. He was asked to sell The Militant or the Young Socialist.

Instead of participating in the intervention along the lines determined by the Brooklyn branch and YSA, Manning, along with Dan Cahill, a non-party member of the Long Island YSA, carried out their own intervention. They carried YSA signs with a hammer, sickle, and a "4" on them. When Comrade Geoff Mirelowitz, the YSA city organizer and a member of the party's Local Executive Committee, asked them to put down their signs and sell The Militant or the YS they refused. They indicated they would participate in the party and YSA's intervention only if Mirelowitz instructed them to do so in writing. Mirelowitz told them that a demonstration was not the place to negotiate and draw up contracts.

2) In New York City on May 11, at a united front demonstration initiated by USIA in defense of Chilean political prisoners, members of the IT refused to carry out their assignments. They are: Hedda Garza, Rich Mitten, Frank Manning, Karen Chaplin, Chris Chaplin, from the Lower Manhattan branch and John Singletary from the Brooklyn branch.

The Local Executive Committee had voted at its April 28 meeting that the branches should prepare their own signs and banners and that only three slogans would be carried: "Free All Chilean Political Prisoners," "End U.S. Aid to the Military Junta," and a slogan demanding freedom for the six imprisoned Chileans selected by the organizers of the demonstration for particular focus. Each branch was asked to assign at least 20 comrades to participate in the action, in addition to the comrades in our Chile defense work fraction. Some of these comrades were assigned as marshalls. The rest were assigned to Militant, YS, ISR, and pamphlet sales, and one each to distributing the SWP state election platform and the Upper West Side forum leaflet. All the comrades in the Chile defense work fraction were assigned to tasks in relation to organizing the demonstration and rally. These were the only assignments made by the branches.

Comrades Garza, Mitten, Manning, K. Chaplin, C. Chaplin, and Singletary were assigned to sell Militants. They were asked to take no other assignments. All six of them agreed to carry Militants,

and they did sell a few. However, they all took it upon themselves to distribute a three-page leaflet which includes a reprint from Intercontinental Press of the September 19 United Secretariat statement on Chile. With the exception of Singletary they sold the Old Mole, the newspaper of the Revolutionary Marxist Group in Canada. Neither of these items were part of the party's intervention in the action and when the comrades were asked to put them away and concentrate on selling The Militant they refused. They indicated that they would participate in the party's intervention only if they were instructed by me in writing to do so. They even had a prepared statement which they asked me to sign then and there.

It should be added that the May 11 action occurred during a week when all three of the New York branches had voted to increase their bundles of Militants by more than double in order to help surpass the national sales goal of 10,000 in one week. Consequently special attention was given to Militant sales during the action.

Comrade James Morgan, a supporter of the political views of the International Majority Tendency, who had resigned from the Internationalist Tendency two days before the May 11 action, sold only Militants as he had been assigned, and did not distribute the three-page leaflet or sell Old Moles.

These facts about the May 11 action were reported to the three New York branches at their regular meetings on May 13. Members of the IT were present at the Lower Manhattan and Brooklyn meetings and spoke during this point on the agenda, and did not dispute these facts.

Comradely,

s/Doug Jenness
New York City Organizer

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Chicago, Illinois
May 14, 1974

Dear Comrade Barnes:

At the Chicago Branch Meeting of May 7, 1974, under the point of the Executive Committee Report, the organizer read all of the assignments for Saturday, May 11, 1974. There were two demonstrations plus our press sales that the branch voted to participate in on May 11, 1974. The Executive Committee further recommended that the branch mobilize on May 11, 1974, and that any comrade who found it impossible to function politically for work, illness or pressing personal problems should ask to be excused. The Executive Committee report made it clear that the literature to be sold by Party Comrades doing the press intervention was the Militant, the ISR, Pamphlets, a campaign statement (which the branch approved), forum leaflets and ballot petitioning. Comrades assigned to USLA would carry out their assignments under the direction of the USLA fraction. Finally, all comrades were to be dispatched from the hall on the morning of May 11, 1974. It was important that the comrades participating in USLA work meet at the hall at 10:00 a.m. to hear a final report on last minute assignment changes, political tone, etc. The Minutes will indicate that after discussion, the branch voted to approve the Executive Committee report overwhelmingly.

In order that there could be no confusion in the minds of any Executive Committee member, the Executive Committee was polled on May 10, 1974 to decide whether we needed a special Executive Committee meeting prior to May 11, in order to reaffirm the decision of the branch meeting of May 7, 1974. All Executive Committee members, including Ed Ho., a member of the Internationalist Tendency, stated that a special Executive Committee meeting would not be necessary.

On the following day (May 11, 1974) the YSA Organizer, Comrade Brian, and myself attended the USLA fraction meeting and arrived at the Demonstration gathering at around 11:00 a.m. We found that members of the Internationalist Tendency NOT assigned to the demonstration, but to other activities, were present at the demonstration. The Comrades of the Internationalist Tendency present at the Demonstration were AnneMarie C., Cathy M., Debbie P., Don S., Ed Ho., Judi R., Mark L., Polly C. (late), and Ted S. All of them (except Polly C.) sold the Old Mole and gave out the Sept. 19, 1973 United Secretariat Chile Statement. Only AnneMarie C., Judi R., and Ted S. were assigned to attend the demonstration. Comrade Brian approached each comrade present, including those not assigned to the demonstration, to take branch assignments of Literature. Mark L., when approached, refused. When the Branch Organizer spoke to him, he replied that he could not find his name on the assignment sheet which was attached to the City Letter of May 7, 1974. After the Organizer spoke to him he did take Militants at the demonstration.

I called Ed Ho. aside and we discussed the phone conversation we had on May 10, 1974 re the special Executive Committee meeting. Ed Ho. stated that he did not know what the Branch motion meant, and felt that he was not violating the motion by selling the Old Mole. Don S. was present during this discussion with Ed Ho. The members of the Internationalist Tendency continued selling the Old Mole and

-2-

distributing the Chile statement despite the fact that they were asked just to sell the SWP press.

On May 12, 1974 I reported the events of the Demonstration to the Executive Committee. The Minutes of the Executive Committee meeting are self-explanatory.

Comradely,

s/Pearl Chertov
Chicago Branch Organizer

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Excerpt from Minutes of Chicago Executive Committee, 5/12/74

(Information) Prior to our major interventions in Chile actions 4/29th and 5/11th, the E.C. recommended and Branch approved the sale of the Militant, YS, ISR, IP, Pathfinder Lit., USIA press & buttons as the tools of propaganda to be used in Chicago. On both occasions comrades of the IT sold copies of the Old Mole. Leaders of the tendency here were personally approached on the first occasion by the Organizer to no avail. The Organizer then discussed by phone the situation with the IT comrade on E.C. also to no avail. The suggestion by the Organizer to call a special E.C. mtg. before 5/11 to clarify the Branch decision was rejected by the IT comrade on the E.C.

Disc.--Ed Hof. request for 10 min to reply, denied--vote for-1, opposed-2. Recommendation to request an extension when needed, not opposed.

In responding to the report on activity of IT sales, Ed Hof. indicated that there had been instances in the past when no objection was made to Branch comrades selling periodicals of other sections. He reiterated the motions in the E.C. and Branch mtgs. relating to our Chile interventions and stated that the entire tendency nor he personally felt that these motions as passed prevented the tendency from selling the Old Mole. He said, in addition, the tendency would not be persuaded to stop distributing the 9/19/73 statement of Un. Sec. (F.I.) or stop selling publications of any section they choose unless or until they received in writing a prohibitive statement signed by Branch Organizers especially; this statement would be copied and circulated to IEC majority.

Further discussion clarified the position of the E.C. on sales as part of a planned national campaign in which the Branch is participating and pointed out the area of disagreement by IT comrades with Branch sales activity as a whole to be a national question. Lack of participation by the tendency in assigned sales was also discussed. In reply, Ed Hof., stated that the tendency felt that phone calls received as reminders of assignments were simply harassment by the party majority. (Statement by Ed Hof. will be received and attached later).

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

1345 E St., N.W. 4th Flr
D.C. 20004

(received May 20, 1974)

Lew Jones
SWP/NO
14 Charles Lane
NYC 10014

Dear Lew,

A new group has emerged in Baltimore that makes some claims that we would like some clarification on.

First, what we know of them. The three main people involved are all former members of the YSA, Rick E. was also in the party. They resigned several years ago, Rick in his resignation letter outlined that his differences with the SWP on the antiwar movement, nationalism and the Middle East made it necessary to resign from the party. Michel Sidman in his letter of resignation from the YSA stated that the SWP was not Trotskyist, that it is a centrist organization like the POUM in Spain, that the SWP and the YSA are pseudo-Internationalists, and that the "real comrades" of the 4th International have a clear position on the Mideast. He also stated that he remained within the Fourth International and loyal to the United Secretariat. Michel and Janice (the 3rd main member of the BMG) returned to France for a year and some months, meanwhile Rick had joined is. After Michel and Janice returned last summer, a group called the Red Circle emerged in D.C., very small. Michel explained to a comrade last September who inquired about the Red Circle that it was a group that agrees with the ex-Ligue Communiste and with the IEC Majority. We thought it strange for such a group to emerge making such a description of itself. In that period they attended a few forums of ours and had several of their own, one on Chile.

They then moved to Baltimore and the next time we saw them at the impeachment demonstration they were the Baltimore Marxist Group. At that demonstration several IT members spent the majority of their time sitting with the BMG members instead of working hard to sell our press as the rest of us were doing. Both IT and BMG members were selling the Red Weekly at that demonstration.

The next contact with them was at the Chile demonstration on May 11 where they distributed a leaflet with the statement of the United Secretariat on Chile, signed by the Chile Resistance Committee. Again, IT members sat with them and both IT and BMG members sold the Old Mole, paper of the Canadian RMG. An independent told me that he had been told by the BMG that they were a "sympathizing group of the Fourth International."

This whole matter raises a lot of questions. When did the BMG become a "sympathizing group?" We were not aware that such a group existed here. Is it now policy for the IT, along with a group not affiliated like the BMG, to sell the Old Mole at public functions? Is there a group besides the SWP that acts in fraternal agreement with the Fourth International here?

Comradely,

s/Bitsy Myers
Washington D.C. SWP Organizer

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Leaflet Distributed May 11, 1974, in Washington D.C.

SUPPORT THE RESISTANCE!

Excerpts from a statement of the United Secretariat of the Fourth International.

[The excerpts were taken from the September 19, 1973 document (see Intercontinental Press, October 1, 1973) The excerpts included were the first two paragraphs and several paragraphs from the section of the document entitled "The Lessons of a Tragic Defeat." Then there followed the statement below.]

The defeat suffered by the Chilean working class is serious. The situation, however, is not irretrievable. The ruling junta is facing increasing economic difficulties--the effective rate of inflation since September 11 has been over 500%--, its political base among the petty bourgeoisie is eroding, and the economic struggles of the masses are resuming, although still in a fragmentary way. Therefore what is necessary is that the revolutionary vanguard regroup its forces, organize the resistance, and give confidence to the masses in preparation for new battles.

We, as part of a Chile Resistance Committee, are in solidarity with those who are organizing the revolutionary struggle against the junta. We believe that everyone concerned about ending the nightmare in Chile should provide material support to these resistance forces. The CRC is linked to Chile Solidarity in Great Britain and Canada and Comites Chili in France, Belgium, and Switzerland. We maintain contacts with groups in Chile which are part of the resistance forces, and any funds which we receive are used to support these groups.

THE RESISTANCE NEEDS YOUR HELP!!!

For more information call the CRC in Baltimore at 338-0750. Contributions should be sent Chile Resistance Committee, Box 94, Baltimore, Maryland 21203.

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

ABOUT OUR GROUP

The authors of this pamphlet are a newly formed group of revolutionary militants in the Berkeley - San Francisco area. Our participation in the political struggles of the last decade has convinced us of the importance of revolutionary organization. The American socialist movement lacks many things, but it does not lack its "share" of collectives, leagues, unions, and parties all claiming to be that organization or at least its sturdy foundations. Yet if we look at the real character of their work, in for example an area as central as solidarity with the Chilean resistance, we see how flimsy these claims really are.

We do not hold these groupings morally responsible for their shortcomings. In many ways they are a reflection of the political confusions of the working class they are aspiring to lead. What we do criticize them for is their consistent failure to study and assimilate the experience of the workers and revolutionary movements in other countries and in particular the rich experience of the European revolutionaries beginning with May 1968 in France.

Our group, which is smaller than most and not free of this debilitating American "firstism," still sees a place for itself in that we are consciously trying to overcome this deficiency. We hope that the analysis that we have presented on the Chilean revolution and the movements underway internationally to defend, nourish, and sustain it will indicate this and prepare the way for a needed internationalization of discussion among American militants.

The activities of our group at the present time are concentrated on the vital work of solidarity with the Chilean resistance. Our actions in the solidarity movement and the ideas and positions we bring to it are directly inspired by the activities of the solidarity movements in Western Europe and Canada. In particular, we have been influenced by the work of the militants in sections of the Fourth International such as the former Communist League in France (now banned but organized around the paper Rouge), the International Marxist Group in Britain, and the Revolutionary Marxist Group - Groupe Marxiste Revolutionnaire in Canada and Quebec. Both the general analysis of this pamphlet and much of its factual material are taken from the various newspapers and magazines of the Fourth International, papers which we intend to distribute regularly.

At present, our members in Berkeley are working in the NICH. Comrades in San Francisco are trying to set up a viable Chile Solidarity Committee there.

Readers of this pamphlet who would like to know more about our perspectives on Chile solidarity work or more general questions should contact us at the following number: call San Francisco 282-4518.

REVOLUTIONARY MARXIST COLLECTIVE
(San Francisco--Berkeley)

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

25 University Av. S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414
May 20, 1974

SWP N.O.
New York

Dear Comrades,

This letter is to inform you of the displeasure felt by the Minneapolis branch concerning the recent visit of a member of the Revolutionary Marxist Group of Canada. The RMG, we have been told, is a sympathizing section of the Fourth International, yet this group sent one of their people here to speak on Chile sponsored by several groups who are opponents of the Socialist Workers Party.

The Twin Cities Branch was requested to co-sponsor the meeting of Peter Danson from the RMG. Peter Danson did not make the request. The request came from a member of the Class Struggle League, a group of six people, formerly members of the SWP who are now calling for the formation of a Fifth International.

The SWP sometimes co-sponsors meetings built by our opponents but only when it is in the interests of a united front we may be working in or if a meeting would also include the SWP's point of view. This meeting was sponsored by the Class Struggle League, Progressive Labor Party, SDS and the Selby-Dale Freedom Brigade - all opponents with whom we were at the time working over the May 11 Chile demonstrations. All these opponents had done everything in their power to destroy the political-prisoner emphasis of the coalition; had done everything in their power to destroy the coalition itself, including physical threats if Progressive Labor Party did not get a speaker at the rally.

Peter Danson made no attempt to contact the SWP himself and request co-sponsorship or at least inform us of his visit and its purpose. He chose rather to serve our opponents and their sectarian approach to the Chile demonstration. He spoke at Macalester College on May 2 to an audience of about ten sectarian opponents from the above-mentioned groups.

This not-so-public meeting is nonetheless a public insult to the Fourth International and a maligning of the word "Comrade" which the opponents freely tossed around in referring to Danson's relationship to the SWP. The executive committee of the Twin Cities branch feels the above incidents are severe enough to be relayed to the United Secretariat. We hope the national office has enough information here to do as you see fit.

Comradely,

s/Mary Hillery
Minneapolis SWP Organizer

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

May 19, 1974

Dear Comrade Feldman,

I have just finished reading the Education for Socialists bulletins containing the I.S. and I.C. documents and edited by you. In all seriousness I want to thank you for making this material available to the membership of the SWP. This documentary material proves beyond all doubt that Cde. Pablo and the International Secretariat were basically correct and that the I.C. indeed was responsible for an unprincipled and unnecessary split in the International. Furthermore, the material shows that all the "education" we received on the 1951-53 events has been total factional bullshit. I am most happy that I have finally learned the truth about "pabloism" and the international disputes Cde. Pablo was involved in.

Comradely,

Frank Manning

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Chicago, Illinois
June 4, 1974

Jack Barnes
Political Committee, SWP
New York

Dear Jack,

As per your phone request of June 1, 1974, this letter will detail our request to attend the forthcoming National Committee Plenum of the party. We are submitting this request on the basis of two distinct sets of reasons.

The first basis for the request that Bill and myself attend the Plenum is as "observing fraternal" members of the International Executive Committee. You indicated over the phone that such rights could be extended to us as a courtesy. It would seem in order for us to make remarks under the international report or reports concerning our view of the current situation in the International, and those aspects of the situation in the SWP and of its orientation which have a bearing on the International. We would also like to suggest that you urge a member of the United Secretariat and of the International Majority Tendency to attend. You should point out that this plenum represents the first opportunity for the party leadership to consider the balance of the world congress and the post-congress situation, and that it will therefore have a particular interest to them.

The second basis for our request is as members of the Internationalist Tendency existing as a national tendency within the SWP. We consider the characterization of the IT, as a disloyal tendency, to have been a grave error. This was subsequently compounded by the refusal at both the August 1973 and December 1973 party conventions to represent this tendency on the leading national body of the party, an action which flies in the face of all Bolshevik norms as was pointed out in Comrade Livio's attachment to the minutes. There may have been some desire on your part to correct the deleterious effects of this decision when, following the world congress, a number of members of the IT in New York, Los Angeles, Oakland, and Chicago, were placed on branch executive committees from which they had previously been excluded. However, this move was not seriously followed up by efforts to give members of the IT political responsibilities, to associate them to the decision making process of the party, and to allow their political views to be expressed in an organized fashion, either on current issues, or in reporting on the world congress. In addition, members of the IT have been harassed and threatened with disciplinary measures for expressing the positions of the Fourth International through sales of the Old Mole--newspaper of the Canadian sympathizing organization of the Fourth International--and distribution of statements of the United Secretariat. We can only deplore such a course and urge you to reverse it.

In light of the above facts, it would seem advantageous to the party at this time to allow a leading member of the IT to participate in the proceedings of the NC Plenum, and to offer remarks on what the

IT believes are the necessary measures that must be taken in the party to reverse the dangerous trend which has set in. The traditional function of minority representation which consisted in forcing the minority to deal with the overall situation of the party and assume responsibility for it, and in enabling the leadership to be constantly informed of the thinking of the minority, unfortunately cannot be fully rendered justice unless you withdraw the label of disloyal from the IT, and co-opt two members of the IT at this Plenum. However, even a partial exchange of views is better than nothing. This is the spirit we are submitting this request in. I might point out in closing that your stated intention to devote 2 or 3 of the 4 days of the Plenum to "faction meetings", and to close the organizational and financial sessions creates new obstacles to this exchange.

Comradely,

s/John Barzman

cc: IMT

14 Charles Lane
New York, N.Y. 10014
June 7, 1974

John Barzman
Chicago

Dear Comrade Barzman,

We have received your letter of June 4 addressed to Jack Barnes concerning your request that you and Bill Massey attend the plenum.

Comradely,

s/Bev Scott
National Office

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

Jack Barnes
National Secretary
Socialist Workers Party
14 Charles Lane
New York, New York 10014

Dear Comrade Barnes:

We would request that this letter serve as an introduction to our contribution to the Discussion Bulletin containing the Reports, of the United States supporters of the International Majority Tendency and the International Minority, on the Tenth World Congress.

We view this report as a complement along with the other materials, for a full report to the membership of the Party on the Tenth World Congress. We do not view it as a substitute for a full report.

Our conception of a full report would be a joint tour of the Party branches, and YSA locals of a representative of both the Majority Tendency and the Minority Faction. This would allow for the membership as a whole (including both members of the Tendency and the Faction as well as those comrades who are non-aligned) to question the reporters and get the fullest picture of not only the Congress but the road ahead. While it is of course understood that both the Tendency and the Faction will carry out the line set by the Majority, we think that it is within the proper norms that the Minority be granted a representative on this tour. While I am aware that both the Faction and the Tendency have received their own separate reports, it is our feeling that both deserve to hear the report of the others spokesperson and to evaluate the reports they have received from their leadership, as well. We ask that this tour be set up immediately after the publication of all the relevant materials from the Congress.

With Communist Greetings,
s/John Barman and Bill Massey
Internationalist Tendency of
the International Majority
Tendency

Chicago, Illinois
April 16, 1974

cc: IMT

COPY

COPY

COPY

COPY

14 Charles Lane
New York, N.Y. 10014
April 17, 1974

John Barzman
Bill Massey

Chicago

Dear Comrades Barzman and Massey,

We have referred your letter of April 16, 1974, suggesting tours of the party branches "after the publication of all the relevant materials from the [World] Congress" to the Political Committee for its consideration after the publication of all the relevant materials from the World Congress.

Comradely,

Lew Jones
National Office