WORKERS OF THE WORLD, UNITE!

Government for the realisation of a million dollar loan. We may use that fund partly in reorganising the leather industry. Just now we are asked to arrange for the supply of millione of raliway sleepers on a five year contract. Thus we see that all our experiences so far demonstrate the possibility of practical aid in the

reconstruction of Soviet Russia. As I said, we have floated a million dollar loan in order to get more money for our enterprises in Russia. It is intersting to recall the reception that was accorded to this loan. Not only the workers and the Communists, but even among the bourgeoisie there were liberal subscriptions to this loan. The Deutsche Bank of Berlin and the State Bauk of Brussels were among the subscribers to the loan, as well as great numbers of the middle class. The Dutch workers subscription cards brought in the sum of 75,000 florrins. I therefore have no reason to doubt that by the coming spring the one million dollar loan will be fully subscribed, furnishing us with new resources for our enterprises in Russia.

My answer to the question of the effectiveness of this financial activity can ouly be in the affirmative. The experiences as well as the prospects indicate the assurance of mobilising a sufficient amount of money for doing practical work in

The purely material side of this loan is a secondary consideration in our economic aid. The main purpose of our economic activities is to influence the large masses to whose primitive way of thinking our ordinary communist propaganda can hardly make effective appeal. This economic action affords us the opportunity of approaching the indifferent masses by means of moving pictures and through the newspapers, and this constitutes the great propaganda value of this work. On these grounds we expect that the Congress will decide in favour of continuing the work of famine relief in the shape of economic aid.

The next step is the attempt to cents. alise all the existing groups. We have made a beginning by uniting with the Dutch engineer Rutgers. The tendency of all the organs of economic aid mainly in the direction of uniting all the

I think it of importance in a ten words to indicate the political tendence of this work once more. It is a question of recognising that there has been a good deal of exaggeration, which I readily admit, but it cannot be gainsaid on the other hand, that our economic aid will be a useful practical supplement to on political activity. This activity will not be necessary of course if the revolution should triumph tomorrow in Germany or France; but in the present political situation of the world, and in view of the circumstances in Russia, the economic aid activity will be a useful and direct adjunct to our political action, and will mean the practical assistance of Soviet Russia. (Prolonged applause).

Chairman Neurath: While the trans. lation is being made, I wish to announce that there will be no session to-night But the Presidium will meet at 6 p.m. There will be other conferences as follows:

The Versailles Peace Commission at 5 p.m.

The Small Italian Commission at 6.45 p.m.

The French Commission at 7 p.m.

The Agrarian Commission at 6.30 p.m. The Czecho-Slovakian Commission at 8 p.m., and the Negro Commission at 12 p.m.

The Latvian, Rumanian, Bulgarian and Czecho-Slovakian delegates are requested to attend the Agrarian Commission without fail.

Next session will be opened tomorrow morning at 11 o'clock. The session is now adjourned.

(Session closed at 4 p.m.).

## BULLETIN

## OF THE IV CONGRESS OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNAT

Moscow.

December 1 st 1922.

## Nineteenth Session.

November 22nd 1922.

Chairman: Comrades Kolaroft, Carr.

Appointment of commission on Comrade Muenzenberg's Report. Report ou the Eastern Question. Resolution Appointment and Chinese delegations condemning the occupation of Saghalin by Japan. Resolution Factory Councils Congress-Berlin.

Speakers: Van Ravenstein, Van Overstraeten, Roy, Katavama, Tahar Boudengha.

resolution submitted by him in final form for presentation to the Congress. This commission should be composed of one comrade from each of the following countries: France, Germany, Czecho-Slovakia, Holland, England, Russia, the Balkans, Scandanavia, America, Canada, and representatives of the Profintern, the Co-operative Section and the Young Communist International. Comrade Muenzenberg shall also be a member of the commission and shall convene it.

Is there anyone opposed to this?... There is no opposition. The proposal of the Presidium is accepted.

The Presidium has also decided, in view of the fact that on the Eastern question which is on to-day's agenda there are four reporters, the time of each speaker shall be limited; that Comrades Roy and Ravenstein shall have three-quarters of an hour each, and Comrades Bodengha and Katayama, half an hour each.

Comrade Ravenstein now has the floor on a point of order.

Van Ravenstein (Holland): Comlades. I cannot declare myself in agreement with this proposal of the Presidium. far the speakers on important questions

Chairman: Yesterday evening the have had-I will not say unlimited time-Presidium decided to propose that a com- but at least a good deal longer than threemission be formed to examine the report quarters of an hour (hear, hear!). It is of Comrade Muenzenberg and to put the not our fault, comrades and also not my fault that a good deal of time has been wasted, while we have sat here and done nothing. It is simply impossible for me, in the short time you propose to allow me, to deal with this question as I have intended. I was specially invited by the Executive to deal with this question, so that if the Congress votes for the Presidium's proposal, I shall be forced to cut short my address at a given point, beforeits completion, and to beg you to include the remainder of my address in the minutes of the Congress.

Kolaroff: I must insist upon the proposal of the Presidium. I understand Comrade Ravenstein's objection quite well. He has been called upon by us for this purpose; he has prepared his report, having in view the possibility that he would speak for a longer time; but the Congress must take into account our general programme of work. If every reporter and speaker is allowed the liberty of speaking for all the time necessary for him to express all his thoughts, we would have to prolong the sitting of this Congress for another three weeks. I am sure that should not be allowed. We must remember that the Congress has not yet accomplished

Published by the Press Bureau of the Fourth Congress of the Comintern. Moscow,

Number of copies printed: 750

the half of its work. Whose fault is that? This is not the moment to decide that. In any case we must finish all the work on the Congress Agenda, and naturally, we must finish it as soon as possible.

This is why time has been limited. The Eastern question has been studied sufficiently in the Commission where there was a possibility of discussing all its aspects, and the majority of the interested delegations have taken part in it and have had the opportunity to express themselves. I do not believe it would greatly harm the Congress to reduce the time allowed these reporters and all those comrades who will speak in further debates.

If the Congress decides to continue for another three weeks, it has, of course, absolute liberty to do so, keeping in mind the hospitality of our Russian comrades, and so satisfy the requirements of Comrade Ravenstein and of all those other requirements which will, of course, be made known in the same sense.

Van Overstraeter. Comrades, comrade Zinoviev, in his report on the work of the Executive, has himself emphasised that in the future work of the International two essential points must be taken into consideration: Firstly, organisation and the class struggle in the West; secondly, the support of the liberation movement of the colonial and semi-colonial peoples. I believe that, until now, the comrades in this Congress have shown by their attitude, on several occasions, that the Eastern question has not been sufficiently appreciated. Now, in the agenda of the Congress, there is only one item dealing with the question of the East. Therefore, we should give it our closest attention.

Up to now, we have discussed all the other questions at great length. It seems to me obvious that a sufficiently long time should be permitted to those comrades who are to report on the Eastern question.

I propose that the two first reporters, Roy and Van Ravenstein be allowed an hour and a half each.

Chairman: We now take the vote; all those who are in favour of the Presidium's proposal, will hold up their

The proposal is accepted and the time

allotted will be three quarters of an

one English comrade, one Chinese Con rade, and one Egyptian comrade also take part in the discussion and the comrade Radek should make the conclusion ding address. Is there any opposition to this?.. There is no opposition.

Friedlander: The final word should also be limited to half an hour. Carr: This is understood. Compate

Ravenstein now has the floor.

Van Ravenstein (Holland). Comp. des, it was during the days of the Mudan Conference that a telegram from New York stated that at a banquet given American Bank directors in New Yor Mr Morgenthau-(former United State Ambassador in Constantinople)-expresse himself as follows with regard to t intervention of England in the New Eastern Crisis. He paid hommage England for her attitude in this cris and claimed that, in the last two week England has saved civilisation. He said that only those who were in a position to glance behind the scenes could appre ciate the splendid work done by England in this connection.

cial capital declared that England had thus once again been the defender of civilisation and played the part of

At about the same time, on October 6th, Mr Bonar Law who was then only ex-minister and leader in the House, de lared, in a letter to the "Times" the he approves of the main lines of the Near Eastern policy of the British 60 vernment. He said that had the Turk not received such a definite warning the would have become intoxicated with the victory and endeavoured to invade Constantinople and Thrace. The fact the great massacres in Constantinople and the Balkans were prevented was h merely in the interests of the British boll was in the interests of all humanity.

Mr Bonar Law even threatened French imperialism; he would not aid them, said, in their efforts to obtain the said, stantial sums which were to be deliver to them by the German people in actor dance with the Versailles Treaty. And Boney T

de's Government was the latter's staunch de's turner and assistant, and who shared The Presidium further proposes that his responsibility for all the acts of the code, and one Foundation one Chinese that his responsibility for all the acts of the code, and one Foundation on Foundation of the American Morgenthan Coalition Government, expressed himself coantill as had the American Morgenthau, and as Lloyd George had done. In Other words, he said that England had fought, words, he fighting, not only for its own interests but for all humanity.

Comrades, when we glance for a moment upon the present crisis in the Near East, deep abyss of hypocrisy opens itself before us. After the experiences of eight vears of world war and world chaos such stateman as Lloyd George, a demogogue and puritanical hypocrite, and Bonar Law, the representative of the English middle classes, have the audacity to characterise the misdeeds of the English overnment-which brought Europe to the brink of a hideous wholesale slaughter-as a struggle for order and justice. culture and civilisation. This would be unbelievable if we did not know that Anglo-Saxon hyporcrisy, as expressed by these gentlemen, is specifically bourgeois and is at the same time the most disgusting and villaneous that has ever existed.

On the occasion of the Genoa Conference, This representative of American finant one of the writers in your paper "Izvestia" wrote: "England is the country of Shakespeare and Lloyd George, the first as a creator of selebrated historical dramas, the other wished to become one. The first produced "Much Ado About Nothing" so did the second. The first asked the question, To be or not to be? The second also. The only difference between the one and the other is that the urst by his genius and thoroughly peaceill means won for himself a place in history and the second stole his by force. This proletarian journal was indeed right. In the parallel between the spirits of Shakespeare and that of the present-day bourgeois hypocracy of the British as represented by a Bonar Law or a Lloyd George-the gulf between the bourgeois culture of the Renaissance before the dawn proletarian culture, and the decay of dis culture in the period of the decadence imperialism becomes manifest. It is the descending from a mountain peak a stinking morass of putrefaction.

Comrades, there is perhaps no such dance with the Versailles Treaty of the Mr Lloyd General example as the Oriental question

to demonstrate the destructive methods of imperialism.

During a whole century the Oriental guestion involved the fate of the Turkish Empire and of the countries and peoples situated between Southern Europe and Asia. With the development of modern imperialism the fate of these countries became an imperialist problem of first magnitude and one of the knottiest points in imperialistic conflicts.

Comrades, allow me to take a backward glance at history so that we may grasp the great problem of the Near East in which the working class of the world and particulary the Russian proletariat are so vitally interested.

At the beginning of the 19 th century, Napoleon could state with some amount, of correctness that whoever ruled Constantinopole ruled the world, that is the then existing world of pre-imperialistic capitalism.

The whole of the 18th century was occupied by the struggle of French and British mercantile capital for commercial supremacy in America and India.

The Seven Years War appeared to award the advantage to British capital. However, the uprising of the American colonists again rendered British rule doubbful. Thus began England's great struggle in 1793 against the bourgeois French revolution and for the attainment of absolute control of the seas. This struggle accompanied the great bourgeois revolution. Napoleon was nothing more than the agent of French commercial capital which, in the 18th centry, mastered all of Western and Central Europe by armed force. The advance on Moscow - (You could have celebrated the 110th anniversary of this event a short while ago, comrades: Moscow was burned on the 15th and 16th of September, and the retreat of the Napoleonic army started on October 19th)—was the last move in this tremendous historical game. It was a move to bring the comparatively young Tsarist despotism into the service of the worldembracing plans of Napoleon. Napoleon planned to conquer Constantinople through Moscow and, via Stamboul to wound England fatally in her Indian dominions. The Near East was merely a pawn in this gigantic game. We all know that Napoleon lost the game. The far flung schemes of this world plunderer, the pitalism, to the advantage of British

young powers of Russia.

During the century England earned many a victory. British mercantile capital secured victory on all oceans of the world with the aid of Tsarist despotism, and from that time on it was enabled to continue its development to a higher phase of modera industrial capitalism. The stage was set for the greatest power, the world has so far seen, the British Empire, which contained a fifth part of the world and reached from the North to the South Poles. The Seven Seas had come to life under British domination.

Comrades, no longer is it true that he who rules Stamboul rules the world; although only a century has passed since this city was really the key to world dominion. The capitalist world has grown; the problems of world politics have expanded, just as modern capitalism has expanded. A hundred years ago, the Far East was not a centre of world political importance. Africa was still to a large extent unexplored. It would be well, however, if we would just glance at the various phases through which the Oriental Ouestion has passed in the 19th century.

In 1822 a new phase began with the uprising of the Greek population in the neighbourhood of the Agean Sea. This uprising was a manifestation of the collapse of the Ottoman Empire which became threatening in the 18th century and particularly in the 19th. At the same time the despotic power of Tsarism began to apprear as an ever menacing

shadow upon the Near East.

Russia, which in the 18th century was still only a semi-European power, with but little share in European events, and not yet recognised during the 17th century by the Great Western capitalist powers as an equal, was now drawn by history into all European and world conflicts. Napoleon's march on Moscow was of prime historical importance, especially as it resulted in the march of Moscow upon Paris. The countries on the shores of the North Sea, which had not encountered yet the Eastern European conquerors, now saw for the first time the peoples of the Urals and Trans-Caucasia who had conquered French ca-

And from 1815 on, the Oriental Ques ion was above all a Russian question and this, in a double sense. For Russiand it was a matter of her expansion to Mediterranean, which became as impon ant a feature of her foreign policy was the securing of an outlet to Baltic Sea in the days of Peter Great. For the Western Powers, question was whether Russia should be come the successor to the Byzantin Church and Russia should become a Mediterranean power. The Greek uprising the Western countries. and their war for liberation was sup Comrades, this rivarly and the entire revolt contained the germs of the later to be reduced suddenly to complete phases of the Oriental problem in the impotence.

19th century, even the imperialistic phase it is necessary to recapitulate quite demand, as also in the demand for the free passage through the Straits, lay the historical results of the conflict with the British Empire, which already in the beginn ing of the 19th century, had endeavoured convert the Mediterranean into a strategic sea-route to India.

After the Crimean War, during the 19th century, this conflict did not com to any definite outbreak. In the last qual ter of the 19th century it was relegated to the background by the fact that, after the Congress of Berlin, Prussia and Berlin, rapidly became factors of considerable importance in Turkish affairs.

As soon as Germany ceased to be factor in this conflict of forces, Russian-British antagonism was to real

pear in full force. As soon as German imperialism As soon as German imperialism in the world war—(the world war—(the by side by

securing Constantinople, just as in 1812 From 1812 on, Russia was not merel they fought together with England in power, but a world power, the merel they to beat France)—the antagonism orld power next to England in the interests of British imperiaa power, but a world power, the greater the interests of British imperia-world power next to England. Stream the interests of Russia came up again. and those of Russia came up again. however, Russia is interested in the feel freedom of the Straits. The interest proletarian Russia in the freedom of of Pitraits is at the same time the intethe straits of the world. The feedom of the Straits means that this mportant international gateway shall not be controlled by British Imperialism.

The interests of the present proletarian Russia is in this respect not only identical with those of all the other pe-Sultan, whether St. Sophia should become identical with the shores of the Black the seat of the Greek-Russian Out of the same extent the seat of the Greek-Russian Orthodo Sea, but also, and to the same extent Church and Russia should become open but also, and to the proletariat of with the interests of the proletariat of

ported by Russia in spite of the Eastern question which since 1822 became fact that they were rebelling against the the crux of West European diplomacy ligitimate authority of the Sultan; and a god a peril for all the peoples, has its the same time they were supported by origin in the historic fact that the Ottoall those forces which, in the Europe of that day, were called "liberal." This beginning of the 19th century and seemed

which preceded the world war. How briefly the phases of this disintegration. was this? It was because, in her relation The Ottoman empire in reality became to this revolt, Russia assumed the position atterly impotent since the first decades of a Mediterranean power. In this Russia of the 19th century. The big provincial pashas actually became independent setraps. But after the defeat of Greeks a period of reform set in. The country was reorganised by Reshid Pasha. Under him, as well as under Ali and Fuad Pasha a strong bureaucracy was established which made use of the new means presented by young capitalism in subduing the provincial setraps. From being independent tulers, the Provincial Governors most of them being of low origin became creatures of the Ports. This system led finally to the establishment of Abdul Hamid's despotism, which rested principally on spionage and was controlled by court dasites. In 1909 this system collapsed. the bureaucracy which had made the establishment of a higher form of desplsm possible, became itself a victim of he latter, and finally destroyed it at the

pungently as bankrupt anarchy. However, European capitalism was clever enough to draw a considerable revenue from this bankrupt anarchy.

Comrades, our incomparable pioneer and theorist, Rosa Luxemburg, has proved in her greatest and best theoretical work that the process of the accumulation of capital is impossible without non-capitalist surroundings on which it has destructive influence, to put it differently, without older pre-capitalist forms of production

which are destroyed by it,

However, apart from any theoretic deductions, it is a well established fact that, historically, capitalist accumulation in all its phases, including the last phase, cannot possibly take place without non-capitalist surroundings. Apart from the brilliant examples, contained in Rosa Luxemberg's book, the history of the Ottoman empire is one of the most lucid examples of this fact. Rosa Luxemburg has also shown how the accumulation in all the historic phases is incomprehensible and cannot historically take place without the application of brute force. The entire colonial policy of capitalism from the 15th to the 20th century (I need not waste much time on this matter) is a long series of proofs. The forms of force are manifold. The destruction of primitive economy, as well as of all pre-capitalist forms of economy is one of the principle forms. Capitalism is using various ways and means, and the ever increasing taxation is everywhere one of the most important of these means. Just as in British India, in the Dutch Indies, in the French North African possessions and in all the new colonial countries, this development has also taken place in the Turkish empire. Comrades, the well known radical British writer Brailsford, whom I alrady quoted, in his excellent work of "Macedonia" came to a Marxian conclusion. He described the struggles of the revolutionary Slav nationalities in Turkey under Abdul Hamid. He says for instance:

"In so far as European influence succeeded since the Crimean war to press on the Turks an illusory semblance of culture, it has only furthered weakness and disintegration."

And he adds:

"An even greater influence was

perhaps exercised by the so-called capitulations, which created for the subjects of the so-called cultured Powers a State within the State."

Comrades, the capitulations the historic origin which was the power of the Ottoman rule and the weakness of the foerign capitalist western merchants, became in the course of historic development one of the chief causes of the weakness of the

East and especially of Turkey.

Comrades, the juridical and economic situation of the foreign attitude of capitalism to the powerless Eastern peoples which looks upon them as objects of relentless exploitation. The position of these foreigh capitalists does not differ in the least from the privileged condition of the nobility in the old aristocratic monarchies previous to the bourgeois revolution. The nobility was also exempt of all taxation, and among other rights, had also the right to crush the common people underfoot. The only difference is that this modern capitalist aristocracy in Turkey, as well as in the other Eastern countries consists of elements allien to that country. This state of affairs would have been introduced after the war by the West European capitalism also in Russia, if it had succeeded in crushing the proletarian revolution. In fact, the capitulations are so to speak the crux of the domination of foreigh capitalism over the East, which it not only exploits but also debases.

Comrades, it is selfevident that the new Turkey, which with the support of the peasant masses has won a victory over the hirelings of European capitalism, will demand at the peace negotiations the abolition of the capitulations, making the fulfilment of this demand so to speak a

condition sine qua non.

Comrades, these few historic remarks show that the question of the annulment of the capitulations is a fundamental question for Turkey, as well as for all Eastern peoples. As long as they are not annuled, the State of abject subjections to European capitalism remains.

Moreover, one can only understand the consequences of the Ottoman empire if one pays attention to the geographical, ethnographical and historic conditions of the Balkan Peninsula. They are important as from them can be drawn an important

deduction for the future, namely, deduction that neither in ancient modern history was it a mere that the Balkans and Anatolia represent a political entity. The chief cause of the historic problems of the Balkan insula are to be found (as shown by great Serbian geographer Ovigic) in geographical position. While the other South European peninsulas develope on the whole an equal nationality ar culture, such as was never the case the Balkan Peninsula. It brings about the widest separation between the races and nationalities inhabiting it. On the other hand, the Aegean Sea, as in times yore, is still an element of union, of separation The geographical condition are the direct cause of the great variety of ethographical, anthropological ar cultural relations on the peninsula. graphers have distinguished no less than different cultures with at least 6 languages These geographical facts (which, as show by our great masters Marx and Engels their studies of the Crimean War w regard to Russia, are the determining factors in the history of nations) show problem through the unity of the Bakans and the Levant, particularly of the Ba kans. The Balkan Socialists had base their program upon these principle already before the Balkan war. But infamous and double-faced policy Czarism, in conjuction with the other imperialist Great Powers, had done ever thing to prevent this unity. What wa the position in the Balkans at the clos of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th? As early as 1903 Brailsfor wrote: "There is not a place on the in of the earth where the national idea has caused so much ruin, or has led to such irrational and irrelevant conclusions, in Macedonia." He goes on to brandmar the misdeeds of English diplomaty 1877, which hesitated to grant Macedon her freedom for fear that she might und with Greater Bulgaria, and this Greater Bulgaria would become a mighty ally Russia. For Macedonia, the part of peninsula where the racial war was assuming ever increasing acuteness sint

Macedonian population was to belong lacedonian particles of the Balkan one All the bloodshed and cruel-States, all the violence and outrages of the terrible years of the Macedonian rethe should be laid entirely at the door rolls canitalist, gavernment of the capitalist governments existing of the Turkish revolution. All the errible experiences which make our blood poil even after the horros of the world war, should equally be blamed on capitalism, as all the blood that had been the din the Turko-Greek war. It is one long chain of cruel blood-shed. Already in 1903, while pointing out the tragic role the Great Powers, Brailsford indicated also another factor of annihilation and destruction, which continues to be active even today, namely, the power exercised by the Christian priests. Bralsford described the work of thert Christian priests after due investigation on the spot and after throughgoing study of the influence of the bishops over the simple peasants. It was not for nothing that he used the expression: "They make a business of intolerance, and propaganda is their trade." The cross has become in the East the very emblem of have even prior to the Balkan War, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war, served war, and it is really impossible to speak as the basis for the solution of the Balkan war. negative form. He testifies that there is no trace of humanitarian sentiments left among the orthodox clergy. He demonstrates the depth of depravity to which the Greek Church has sunk. These servants of Christ are at loggerheads with each other; and in their mutual fights they constantly urge their followers to murder and assault, not only against ministers of the same creed, but also of the same Church, for the Bulgarian Separatist Church differs in nothing from the Greek orthodox Church except in the fact of having a different administration. These fellows did nothing else but preach hatred and murder, with no other motives than those

These are historic facts that have to be orne in mind when one wants to have a thorough grasp of the situation and of the part played by the Greek clergy in the ecent Heleno-Turkish conflict, in which they displayed the same brutal charactelistics. Since the Middle Ages, the Greek assuming ever increasing acuteness since the Middle Ages, the Greek the close of the 19th century, it rely secular instrument of violence, with-

his rival.

out any spiritual aims, a mere machine for the exploitation of ignorance, of poverty, of superstition of the intimitated and terrorized peasant population.

Comrades, the era of the imperialist war was definitely opened for the Ottoman Empire by the Italian adventure in Tripoli in 1911. One is safe in asserting that there has never been a more brutal manifestation of imperialism. For the annexation of Bosnia and Herzegovina by Australia in 1918, there was at least the excuse of the actual occupation for some time previously, with certain definite results. The Italian onslaught lacked even the shadow of an excuse, except the thirst of the brute for blood. In looking back at the details of the case, I can only observe that the war was forced upon poor Italy by some big banks who did not make any secret of their brutal schemes. Italy started the war because it was dictated by the Banco di Roma. This murderous adventure was a striking example of imperialism in its most unadorned brutality.

The Italo-Turkish war, as you know, was localized, it did not lead to an international war. The reason was because Italy wished wisely to refrain from its "natural" appetites for expansion in Albania, preferring to make the latter its "ally" in the fight against Austria-Hun-

Even after the Peace Treaty of Lausanne in 1912, the Italo-Turkish war has remained as the symptom of the appetites of the Great Powers, who did not wish to settle the problem of the Near East, because they already contemplated a world conflagration. That war was the direct cause of the second phase of the Imperialist Conflict in the Balkans, because it has given the four Balkan States the opportunity to make their joint demands upon the Turkish Porte. It was thus the prelude to the terrible trilogy of war which of the dishonest tradesmen trying to dish was to lead to the world conflagration. The war brought to light for the first time the strong and weak points of the new Turkey of the 1908 revolution, which after the deposition of Abdul Hamid, was trying to remedy the consequences of the fatal past.

The Italo-Turkish war was of particular importance for the reason that it gave the strongest impulse to the awakening Islam. In this respect its significance is world wide. For the first time in

that fatal year, 1911, which was the attitude of France towards Turkey Morocco, Islam became conscious of its own importance, and manifested itself in the fullness of its power. A mighty shock went through out the Moslem Word from the Straits ef Gibralttar to the Moslem settlements in the Far East. What was the case of this revival? It was naturally caused by a chain of circumstances, some of which we have already described. Incidentally those events furnished a new proof of the maxim that imperialism itself forges the weapons whereby it will utimately be destroyed. A striking instance was that of the origin of the Tripolitan adventure. There is hardly any doubt that the French Colonial Imperialists, who at that time had the upper hand of the French Government, were primarily responsible for that adventure. Their principle motive was fear of the respiration or extension of Turkish influence through Tripoli, through the remote regions beyond, down to the hinterland of Fexan, forming a continuous chain down to the Mediterranean at Bled-es-Sudan, in other words, to Central Africa. It was only recently that the young Turks had established their influence in Tripoli. Their ambition was to restore the old influence of Stamboul all the way down to the Black Continent, where Islam is still a victorious and powerful religion. France and England concluded a treaty between themselves in 1899, limiting the borders of Tripoli to the desert, and taking away the populous and cultivated lands to the south of the desert. As late as in 1911 the French were still trying to extend their influence over the independent Moslem states in those regions. For instance, in 1910, they substained a serious defeat in their fight aginst the Sultan of Wadai. The French Colonials saw a great menace in the policy of the young Turks. Because it hit them in the very heart, namely in the extension and consolidation of the French Colonial Empire, which was to cover the entire northwest of the black continent. It was for this reason that they were ready to war with Germany in 1911, i.e., to start a world war if necessary. This decided

that time. As long as Turkey retain a solid footing on the African conting and the she was dangerous, and the color imperialists of the Quay d'Orsay French Foreign Ministry) made us the Italian peasants and workers as c non food to beat the young Turks.

Thus we see that the Italian adventure in Tripoli was the outcome of the Turk desire to regain at least part of its fluence lost through the British occup tion of Egypt with the consequent loss of the Sudan and the equators provinces, and to restore, the might power of Islam. The Italian attack to the direct cause of strong revival of the so-called Islamic fanaticism, of which the capitalist press made such an outers the time, in Egypt, in Tunis, in the whole of French North Africa, where the authority of the infediles was considered by the Mohammedan population as a unbearable burden. And no less authority on Islam than the French Professor Le Chatelier, Editor of the "Revue du Monde Muselman", warned the tremendous consequences that were to come to Europe from a reunion d political factor as the direct result of the Italian piracy. It was only thanks to the short duration of the Italo-Turkish war that the movement of Islam did not spread even deeper around the shores of the Mediterranean. There came the opportunity to the African kingdom of Abyssinia, the only one which retained its independence, to review its sea connection which had been severed by previous Italian adventure in 1894.

Comrades, the Turko-Italian war was succeeded by the so-called war of the Allies the real Balkan war which was a direct consequence of the former. War engenders war. Imperialist violence engendered new and worse violence.

Czarism brought about the temporary reconciliation of the aspirations of the principal rivals, the Serbs, the Bulgar and the Greeks. However, this war do not bring a single one of the Balkan problems a step nearer to solution. the contrary: it made the divergences

Toused nationalist passion to the point of regular suction pump, a peculiar feature roused Hadden finally brought all the madness and finally brought all the Balkan peoples, even more than hitherto, Balkan the domination of European imperalism and high finance. In this respect, jallsm as prelude to the world war, which was its counter-part on a gigantic scale,

clearly proved, at that time. An especially clear example of these an especial was the question of the future of the Aegean islands, the strategical and political value of which was known to the cabinets of all the European Powers. the Russian fleet destroyed the Turkish most western of the islands of the Anaolian continent, was long ago known to the British Admiralty as a splendid martime base. The islands to the East of Stampalia could not become Greek, because geographically they belonged to Asia Minor. The only satisfactory solution for the islands, as well as for all the narts of the Balkans, lay in a liberal measure of autonomy and in the federation of these parts. This applied equally to Asiatic Turkey, as well as to the remain-Islam in all fields including the economier of the European Ottoman Empire. mic. Pan-Islamism became a first rank The war of 1912 taught the doctrinair Young Turks that an empire like the Ottoman Empire with so many diverse nationalities and such varying cultural and other development could be ruled only from the centre through a sanguinary absolutism as that of Abdul Hamid.

Their attempts to extend the system of centralisation failed completely, and could not have done otherwise. Their parlamentarism was a caricature and absolute madness in a country which, for example, comprised Kurds and Albanians who still lived in their primitive tribal unions. The revolutionary Macedonian Committee as far back as 1903 brought forward the idea of the federation with autonomy to some parts of the Empire as the only means towards peaceful cultural devel-

Although the Young Turks, in spite of being doctrinaire, did not conceive this idea, it must be said as for them that every attempt at reform was frustrated by the policy of exploitation of the Eurthe contrary: it made the diversity of exploitation of the Eurmore acute, fanned the hatred of the open capital state. In the so-called Ottoman more acute, fanned the native best European capitalists. In the so-called Ottoman national bourgeoisies to a greater heat Debt European capitalism possessed a

of which was that the longer it was in operation, the more rapid and stronger was its suction. The Ottoman Debt became to be a completely independent State department within the Turkish State. Already in 1911 it controlled a revenue of over these questions could have been 5 million Turkish pounds, viz. about 51/4 million pounds sterling while the Turkish budget amounted to 26 millions revenue and 33 millions expenditure. This deficit naturally necessitated continuous new loans, and the Ottoman Debt had at its the cabinets of all the European Powers. disposal a large part of the most opprosince the battle of Tchesme in 1700 when essive taxes. Thus, already in those days the poor Turkish peasants had the Russian palia, for instance, the to pay enormous yearly sums to the European financiers in the shape of taxes on sheep, salt, etc., and in tithes. These tithes alone amounted to at least 121/20/0 of the land revenue, and every Turkish peasant had thus to work on the land without pay for a whole month or longer for this part of the Ottoman Debt. On their accession to power, the Young Turks found the finances in a state of hopeless confusion and were almost immediately compelled to make great military preparations owing to the attack of the Danudian monarchy and two years later of that of Italy. Thus they were compelled to take recourse to new oppressive taxation. At the same time it was impossible to balance the budget. Neither was there a desire to do so, the tribute had to increase. The financiers had to be put in a position to pocket enormous new gains by means of new loans. The Balkan wars at least served this purpose. The belegerent State was burdened with over 1600 million franks in the shape of new loans. In spite of all this, the Young Turks were compelled to introduce measures which, had they been properly carried out, could have given a revolutionary character to the war. After the early and heavy defeats in the Autumn, peace negotiations and an armistice were brought about, while the creatures of Hamid again had power in their hands and were willing to accede to all the demands of the victors protected by the European diplomats. At that moment on January 23, 1913 the Young Turks carried out a coup d'Etat under tha leadership of Enver, Pasha took power again into their hands and broke off the

negotiations. This coup d'Etat presented at least an opportunity to rebel against the European imperialism. The action of Enver and his associates was certainly a clear demonstration of the growing fury of the entire Islamic world against the brutal policy of violence of the European Christian capitalists, and already at that time protests were received from all parts of the Islamic world against the policy to drive Turkey out of Europe. Thus, the Lucknow Congress of the Moslems of British India protested against the policy of the British government owing to Great Britain's desire to impose humiliating peace conditions on Constantinople. In Egypt too, the sympathy for imperilled Turkey grew from day to day and became more and more evident. At that time the European press began to realise the peril that the Young Turk's coup d'état represented for European big finance, if they were to make Anatolia the centre for national defence, and if, without ignoring the European financiers they were to utilise all the financial means at their disposal for the defence of the imperilled motherland. Comrades, should not this be also a lesson which the Turks of to-day, should take to heart? Unfortunately, the payment of dividends on the Turkish debt has again begun. In April 1921 a new part payment of dividends took place. Another payment took place on July 17,1922. Thus it seems that the financiers of the Ottoman Debt not only intend to resume regular payment of dividends, but also to compensate the European bond holders for the losses incurred owing to the nonpayment of dividends during the years when Young Turkey was fighting for its existence. The European and imperialist Shylock wants to exact his pound of flesh, and the frendship displayed by the French bond-holders is explained by the fact that they were more hopeful than in the case of Soviet Russia to get compensation for their losses.

Comrades, it is necessary to reiterate that, if Turkey cannot extricate herself from under the burden of this indebtedness, as Russia has done, she will never be able to free herself from the yoke of European Imperialism. If the Angora Government refuses to take this view on the matter, the Turkish peasants and workers should

struggle on and not give up the light till they have secured their liberaling These peasants and workers have shed their blood for eight years to pr vide fat profits for European Capital or of their toil and suffering. The Balkar War of 1912-13 had shown the streng as well as the weakness of the Turkis situation. At that time the weakness the Young Turks lay especially in the fear of using revolutionary weapons against Imperialism, namely, by annulling the Ottoman Debt. Its strength had the already shown itself in a most brillian fashion in armed battle. Their position was even stronger at that time than 1878. The Bulgarian forces were broken up on the Chatalja line, and, while the Allies were flying at each other's throats like madmen, it was easy for the Turks once more to seize East Thrace and Adrianople. Through their own short sightedness it became impossible for the Christian Balkan States to drive the Turk out of Europe. The real historical and geographical reason for this, however was that Constantinople is a point contact and not a line of separation; that it reaches a hand to the West as much and the Dardanelles are not impassable mountain ranges as are, for instance, the Pindus, but are channels for traffic. From this point of view the Balkan struggle which had been a direct prelude to the World War, also served as a foreboding of what is taking place to-day. One again an effort was made to seize the Straits, and once again it has failed. The fratricidal slaughter which ended the Balkan struggle in 1913 was just 1 symptomatic. The Bulgarian rulers having brought the nationalist struggl against Turkish despotism to its highes pitch in the first years of the twentiell century, and having extended their nat ionalist demands to the fullest degree exceeding by far their geographical borders, had, through their victory, aroused the rivalry and the greed for power the their victors. The Bulgarians were the most merciless oppressors of their national ional enemies, and now Bulgaria mus so humble herself that in this vel humbleness she lays the germs of a new In the summer of 1913 when Bucharesh

after two years of exhaustive struggle, Addictated peace terms to Bulgaria on July 1 geth, the only victor was European Imperialism, in spite of the fact that the great Powers could not intervene. A whole Great of the most frightful mass murder Europe since 1870 brought the world not one step nearer any permanent solutof the Balkan problem. The Bucharest Peace brought about a situation which contained the greatest discontent and the probability of fatal results for the Allied Bulgaria was brought into a situation like that of France in 1871 after the loss of Alsace-Lorraine. The Bulgarian 'revanche' then became the dominating factor among the Bulgarian bourgeoisie. Besides the strong desire for evenge over their three allied enemies a new source of disturbance came into being. The Albanians secured their independence and from then on were on a war footing with Serbia. With almost mathematical certainty one could have stated that from this war a new Balkan struggle was bound to emerge if a much greater catastrophe had not appeared on August Ist, 1914. And now, eight years after as to the East, and that the Bosphores Balkans have suffered all the agonies of those years from 1914/18, the situation is potentially the same as in 1913. Once again they have suffered the hazards of war. The Turks, who, according to the English statesman Asquith, had been for ever banned from the European paradise, are now returned. The national rivalries in the Balkans are as bloody and as terrifying as ever. Once again Bulgaria has been overthrown and humiliated, the slave of European capitalism. And when one considers the situation of the other Balkan peoples, one notices only one apparent difference between now and 1913—their position is much worse and far more insecure. Greece has been once "gain crushed to death by her latest war gainst the Turks which her bourgeoisie lorced upon her.

Comrade Radek has recently given us description of the contemporary financial and economic situation of that country, which gave us a clear view of its present allment. One may obtain a clear historical view of the situation by comparing the Present condition of Greece with its con-

1890 Greece had borrowed 570 million francs of which she only received 413 million. Every inhabitant of this small and poverty-stricken land was burdened with a share of this debt amounting to 260 gold francs. This debt necessitated in 1893 a fund of 58 million per year in gold francs, and as the total national income was much lower, bankruptcy appeared to be inevitable. A new war, that unhappy war which in 1897 Greece declared against Turkey, and which burdened the country yet more heavily, gave an opportunity to international finance once more to fasten upon Greece the financial shackles from which she had previously freed itself. An International Financial Commission was formed with full control over the fixing and imposition of taxes which had become necessary for the payment of the national debt, as well as for the payment of war indemnity to Turkey. Thus the Greek people were once more flung into indebtedness. But in this case it was a question of the Turkish people or of any other Oriental people. The finncial condition inaugurated new loans, of which high finance naturally took advantage. The Commission took over the disposition of the revenue from the various State monopolies as well as that of some ot the most important taxes. Greece had to pay about 40 million gold francs yearly as interest on this debt. This unhappy people paid in the form of salt, petroleum, matches, and other monopolies. As a result of this rigorous financial policy, international capitalism, during the Balkan war, extorted so much from this country that the national debt amounted to 824 million gold francs. In consequence of an improvement in the economic position, it became possible to balance the expenses and receipts of the State. It Is true that this was only possible as the result of an unusually oppressive system of taxation, which, to an unbearable extent, increased the cost of living for all consumers and particularly for the workers. A result of this was emigration on a large scale, although the country was already thinly populated; and this hindered all progress in agriculture. But, on the eve of the Balkan war, through the greatest exertions, dition previous to the Balkan war. In itself from the control of the Internatthe country had been able at last to free

negotiations. This coup d'Etat presented at least an opportunity to rebel against the European imperialism. The action of Enver and his associates was certainly a clear demonstration of the growing fury of the entire Islamic world against the brutal policy of violence of the European Christian capitalists, and already at that time protests were received from all parts of the Islamic world against the policy to drive Turkey out of Europe. Thus, the Lucknow Congress of the Moslems of British India protested against the policy of the British government owing to Great Britain's desire to impose humiliating peace conditions on Constantinople. In Egypt too, the sympathy for imperilled Turkey grew from day to day and became more and more evident. At that time the European press began to realise the peril that the Young Turk's coup d'état represented for European big finance, if they were to make Anatolia the centre for national defence, and if, without ignoring the European financiers they were to utilise all the financial means at their disposal for the defence of the imperilled motherland. Comrades, should not this be also a lesson which the Turks of to-day, should take to heart? Unfortunately, the payment of dividends on the Turkish debt has again begun. In April 1921 a new part payment of dividends took place. Another payment took place on July 17,1922. Thus it seems that the financiers of the Ottoman Debt not only intend to resume regular payment of dividends, but also to compensate the European bond holders for the losses incurred owing to the nonpayment of dividends during the years when Young Turkey was fighting for its existence. The European and imperialist Shylock wants to exact his pound of flesh, and the frendship displayed by the French bond-holders is explained by the fact that they were more hopeful than in the case of Soviet Russia to get compensation for their losses.

Comrades, it is necessary to reiterate that, if Turkey cannot extricate herself from under the burden of this indebtedness, as Russia has done, she will never be able to free herself from the yoke of European Imperialism. If the Angora Government refuses to take this view on the matter, the Turkish peasants and workers should

struggle on and not give up the fig. struggle on an equipment their liberation and workers and workers These peasants and workers have These peasants and porters have a shed their blood for eight years to be vide fat profits for European Capital or vide fat profits and suffering m of their toil and suffering. The Balk War of 1912-13 had shown the strengt as well as the weakness of the Turk situation. At that time the weakness the Young Turks lay especially in the fear of using revolutionary weapons again Imperialism, namely, by annulling Ottoman Debt. Its strength had the already shown itself in a most brillia fashion in armed battle. Their Position was even stronger at that time than 1878. The Bulgarian forces were broken up on the Chatalja line, and, while the Allies were flying at each other's throat like madmen, it was easy for the Turk once more to seize East Thrace Adrianople. Through their own short sightedness it became impossible for the Christian Balkan States to drive the Tub out of Europe. The real historical and geographical reason for this, however, was that Constantinople is a point contact and not a line of separation; that it reaches a hand to the West as much and the Dardanelles are not impassable mountain ranges as are, for instance, the Pindus, but are channels for traffic. From this point of view the Balkan struggle, which had been a direct prelude to the World War, also served as a foreboding of what is taking place to-day. Once again an effort was made to seize the Straits, and once again it has failed. The fratricidal slaughter which ended the Balkan struggle in 1913 was just & symptomatic. The Bulgarian rulers, having brought the nationalist struggle against Turkish despotism to its highest pitch in the first years of the twentiet century, and having extended their nationalist demands to the fullest degree exceeding by far their geographical borders, had, through their victory, arouse the rivalry and the greed for power ! their victors. The Bulgarians were the most merciless oppressors of their nat ional enemies, and now Bulgaria must so humble herself that in this ver humbleness she lays the germs of a new

after two years of exhaustive struggle, dictated peace terms to Bulgaria on July dictated poly victor was European Imogth, the spite of the fact that the geral Powers could not intervene. A whole Great of the most frightful mass murder Europe since 1870 brought the world in Europe one step nearer any permanent solutof the Belkan problem. The Bucha-Peace brought about a situation which restread the greatest discontent and the probability of fatal results for the Allied Bulgaria was brought into a Powers like that of France in 1871 after the loss of Alsace-Lorraine. The Bulgarian 'revanche' then became the dominfactor among the Bulgarian bour-Besides the strong desire for revenge over their three allied enemies new source of disturbance came into being. The Albanians secured their independence and from then on were on a war footing with Serbia. With almost mathematical certainty one could have stated that from this war a new Balkan struggle was bound to emerge if a much greater catastrophe had not appeared on And now, eight years after as to the East, and that the Bosphous Balkans have suffered all the agonies of those years from 1914/18, the situation is nutentially the same as in 1913. Once again they have suffered the hazards of war. The Turks, who, according to the English statesman Asquith, had been for ever banned from the European paradise, are now returned. The national rivalries in the Balkans are as bloody and as terrifying as ever. Once again Bulgaria has been overthrown and humiliated, the slave of European capitalism. And when one considers the situation of the other Balkan peoples, one notices only one apparent difference between now and 1913—their position is much worse and ar more insecure. Greece has been once again crushed to death by her latest war against the Turks which her bourgeoisie forced upon her.

Comrade Radek has recently given us a description of the contemporary financial and economic situation of that country, which gave us a clear view of its present allment. One may obtain a clear historical view of the situation by comparing the Present condition of Greece with its con-

1890 Greece had borrowed 570 million francs of which she only received 413 million. Every inhabitant of this small and poverty-stricken land was burdened with a share of this debt amounting to 260 gold francs. This debt necessitated in 1893 a fund of 58 million per year in gold francs, and as the total national income was much lower, bankruptcy appeared to be inevitable. A new war, that unhappy war which in 1897 Greece declared against Turkey, and which burdened the country yet more heavily, gave an opportunity to international finance once more to fasten upon Greece the financial shackles from which she had previously freed itself. An International Financial Commission was formed with full control over the fixing and imposition of taxes which had become necessary for the payment of the national debt, as well as for the payment of war indemnity to Turkey. Thus the Greek people were once more flung into indebtedness. But in this case it was a question of the Turkish people or of any other Oriental people. The finncial condition inaugurated new loans, of which high finance naturally took advantage. The Commission took over the disposition of the revenue from the various State monopolies as well as that of some ot the most important taxes. Greece had to pay about 40 million gold francs yearly as interest on this debt. This unhappy people paid in the form of salt, petroleum, matches, and other monopolies. As a result of this rigorous financial policy, international capitalism, during the Balkan war, extorted so much from this country that the national debt amounted to 824 million gold francs. In consequence of an improvement in the economic position, it became possible to balance the expenses and receipts of the State. It Is true that this was only possible as the result of an unusually oppressive system of taxation, which, to an unbearable extent, increased the cost of living for all consumers and particularly for the workers. A result of this was emigration on a large scale, although the country was already thinly populated; and this hindered all progress in agriculture. But, on the eve of the Balrar.

In the summer of 1913 when Bucharet dition previous to the Balkan war. In itself from the control of the Internat-

ional Finance Commission and was in a Serbia has just been made the vassaly.

America. There now appears in a long as it could continue to pay its tribute. The Balkan war destroyed immediately the equilibrium which had just barely been attained with so much sacrifice and suffering. In 1904 the army and navy required an appropriation of 20 and a quarter million francs. In the year 1912-13 this appropriation rose to 450 million as a result of the war. They looked forward, however, to a normal budget of 130 million francs for army and navy in 1914. The country had now arrived at the stage of imperialistic expansion, in the service of European imperialism. Their conquests in the Balkan War gave them an increase in territorial area of 56.000 sq. kilometres and an increase of population amounting to 2.000.000. But the debts with which the old and the newer Greece were burdened, were much higher. The new provinces, and especially the large commercial city of Salonika, found themselves in dire economic straits, isolated from their natural hinterland and ruined through war and economic mismanagement. In short, the Balkan war condemned Greece again to a position which was in many ways far worse than that of the first years of the 20th century. Since then the world war and the war with Turkey intensified the process. Nowadays Greece has a shattered economic life, is financially helpless, is burdened with an atrocious indebtedness, and with a population of ragged refugees from Anatolia and Thrace. In fact, the country is now in a state far worse than any in which it found itself since the War of Independence. Such are the results of imperialism and the war for one of the victors of 1912-13. There is one thing alone that can aid the Turks and the same is also true of a powerful onslaught against Western imperialism and its lackey in their own country. Above all they must get rid of the suffering burden which finance capital has laid upon the land and this would imply the cancellation of the Greek national debt. The condition of the greatly expanded kingdom of Serbia-now ironically termed "the Kingdom of the Serbs and the Croats"-is no better, and perhaps even worse, than that of Greece. For, besides its economic mistfortunes which are just as great as those of Greece,

America. There now appears in Sept. America. There now appears in Serial Croatia a furious political struggle. It Government can only fight the worker through the Croats through and also the Croats, through terrorish and constitutional of constitutional of constitutional or const and the suspension of constitutional gand and the suspension of constitutional gand antees. The unfortunate land has b for eight years ground to pieces between the millstones of history, and nothing remains of it now but chaff. Massach and devastation have been its fate, and unless we take into account the course and power of the communist workers, we may say that nothing has been improved Even bourgeois observers are united the opinion that the Kingdom of the Seth and Croats is insecurely held together and that it will one day fall apart, even if the rulers in Belgrade are not forced of their own account to liquidate their cen tralised control and to grant considerable autonomy to the various national sections

of the kingdom.

Comrades, the parallel with the conditions prevailing before the world war can be still more extended. At that time it was the Italian imperialism that made the first attack in 1911 starting the conflagration in the Near East which is still ugh belonging to the victors, so to speak has become so powerless owing to its own exhaustion and to the growing power of the revolution in Italy, that it dare not any longer intervene im Near Easten questions. It still occupies Dodecanese, which in fact still involves the perilo its intervention in the affairs of the Balkans and the Near East. However, i seemed to have given up its claims in Anatolia. The Italian bourgeois statesmen even sounded a Pacific note. A Facta and a Nitti denounced the imperialism of others. They themselves are innocent lambs. However, a new and menacing power has in the meantime risen out the ruins of the pre-war imperialism. refer to Fascism which is carrying nation alism to the same extreme as the Balkans had. The Fascists are now the power holding Italy's fate in their hands, and Signor Mussolini has already said: "One we have Italy, expansion will begin. There is no other outlet for expansion except beyond the Asiatic, which to the except beyond the Asiatic, which a sea of the showed a better understanding of the their very own. The adventure of the psychology of the Asiatic peoples than

world war, has done, where such may lead world was expansion. It may lead to a colliswith the Serbs, which would set aflame the peninsula on that side, owing affaire to the fact that the Albanians would to to the diately become the allies of the talian expansionists against the Serbs. Conrades, the growing power of Fascism

in Italy, this revival in a new form of the imperialist ideas, constitutes again great danger not only for Italy itself, but also for the Balkans and consequently also for the Near East. It is the duty of the international proletariat to do its ntmost t) enable our Italian comrades to

remove this new danger.

Comrades, the only Balkan country, the only Near Eastern State that has particmated in the mighty struggles of 1911 to 1922 and issued from them stronger than before, is Turkey—an Islamic State. The revival of Turkey, after the terrible events and awful loses of the Italo-Turkish war, of the Balkan wars, of the world war and finally of the Greco-Turkish war. was bound to appear like a miracle in the eyes of western Europe.

What the Anatolian peasants have continning Now, Italian imperialism, altho achieved on the field of battle during these eleven years must seem to bourgeois Europe even a greater miracle, perhaps, than what Russia has done in that respect. Only recently a Dutch expert wrote as follows on this subject in the "Handels-

blade":

"The surprising change in the Near East, which amazed even the best informed and most enthusiastic friends of Turkey raises the question of how it could happen that Turkey, which seemed altogether played out and doomed to death, a country whose material and moral strength seemed to have been quite exhausted by the four years, world war, in which it demonstrated no particular capacity, should now amaze the whole world, exhibiting, in its complete isolation, such great abilities for organisation and such a tremendous enthusiasm."

This is characteristic of the impression which the Turkish victories have produced on Europe during the last few months. The same author goes on as follows: Plain Turkish generals and politicians

D'Annunzio has shown, just as the all the Mahomedan departments in the Ministries of the Ministries of the great western States, in Downing Street and elsewsere. It was quite definitely stated in London that Mustapha had come to the end of his tether with his national movement; and that his isolation in the midst of the Anatolian Plateau would lead the entire movement sooner or later to a complete fiasco. It is said that already during the world war Anatolia was bled white, and was a country of widows and orphans. The land was uncultivated; there was no seed, no agricultural implements and no labour power. The country was bound to lose its patience some time, and would turn against the nationalist leaders. Thus it was said in London. That Anatolia is actually a country of orphans and widows is correct. That after, having sacrificed millions of human beings, after four years of continuous war, it was able to deal such blows with its mailed fist as to drive the hireling of Great Britain into the sea, was only possible because of its faith in the national idea.

"A wait and see" policy does not suffice any longer. From the height of our European wisdom of obtuseness we must endavour to grasp the ideas of Islam, not only in order to widen our knowledge, but simply out of a healthy selfishness and regard for our future. Otherwise, we might wake up one fine morning to find that the mighty gates of Asia have been closed to us forever".

Comrades, this gives you an idea of the deep impression made by the Turkish victories on the minds of more far-seeing observers. These victories found a still greater echo throughout the Islamic world. From the Pillars of Hercules to. the Pacific islands has the Muezzin proclamied anew the praise of the Anatolian soldiers and of their hero, the Ghaxi Kemal. Even a man so closely connected with the European rulers as the Grand Vizier of Morocco said in an interview in Geneva: "The Turkish victory has been a source of great joy to him as well, and aroused great enthusiasm in Morocco. For even though our country has no special relations with Turkey, our heart is with them. It is right that France should look after Turkish interests in the East. All Musulmans must be grateful to France for this".

In this wide Mahomedan world, which is at present under the domination of France, with its millions of yellow, white, brown, and black Mahomedans of all races and tongues, it is only possible no manage them by bestowing as least on the ruling classes of Islam as many favours and as much flattery as possible.

The French ruling classes have left nothing undone in this direction as far as this can be reconciled with their domination. The policy of assimilation has been carried out as far as possible. Moreover, France has secured its booty from the Turkish Empire. It has firmly established itself in Syria, where it certainly had old "claims", to use the diplomatic jargon, but had no hopes of getting a firm footlng.

Comrades, it is not very difficult to explain the conciliating part that milittarist and imperialist France, the France of M. Poincaré, has played recently in the Eastern crisis. There are reasons of general political character to which may be added more direct economic and finencial reasons (of which we shall speak later). But the part played by Great Britain since the Turkish advance under the aegis of Mr. Lloyd George, is much more difficult to understand. One is even inclined to say that at first sight this part is hardly comprehensible. Mr. Lloyd George has taken up an attitude towards the Turks and towards Islam which seemed to be opposed to the real interests of the British Empire. One section of the British imperialist press was ready with a very simple explanation for the revival of the Turkish power which became apparent in September. This explanation was somewhat in the nature of magic, of something from the Arabian Nights, and bore the title of "The Hand of Moscow". Thus the "Times" wrote on October 6th: "A strange mixture of historic power seems to be congregating around the fatal city of Constantinople. In the foreground are the Turks, in the background—the power which rules Russia, an alien and sinister power with aims which do not harmonise with the Turkish national aspirations and which differ very definitely and strongly from everything for which the Allies went into the war".

The paper continues as follows: The kemalists are allied with the sheviks by a number of public and ret agreements and arrangements long period during which they were ered from the West through the war w Greece, did not leave them any of choice but an alliance with the Bolshey who came to their assistance with more and munitions, and who penetrated in and municipes, et their politics. Turke was given a new lease of life, through the support of Soviet Russia, not prolong the life of Turkey, but to attach again Western culture in its weake place, the Balkans, and to renew, means of new disorders, revolutionary action in exhausted Europe".

"The Bolsheviks (the paper goes or are endeavouring to make use of Turkish national aims in order to gr access to South Eastern Europe where unrest is ripe". The "Times" points the chances which Bolshevism has present to establish itself in the Balka countries, and says that it is the day of the Allies to prevent the Bolshevik from achieving these aims. Such argument have probaby also influenced the Part

of Mr. Lloyd George.

section of the capitalist press has represented Mr. Lloyd George as the real war monger, just as he was during the world war. The reviewer of the liberal weekly "The Nation", pointed out that, if peace was not disturbed already in the beginning of October, it was due only to the action of the moderate General Harring ton, while Messrs Lloyd George, Winston Churchill and Lord Birkenhead played the part of war mongers. The "New States man" also denounced the war party with in the Cabinet to which Messrs Lloy George and Churchill belonged, and which decided, on its own accord, to drive the Turks by armed force out of the so-called neutral zone.

Perhaps the most caracteristic and most biting criticism of the policy of the Lloyd George Cabinet in the recent East ern crisis came from the pen of M Garvin of the "Observer", the format admirer and banner-bearer of Mr. Lloyd George. He wrote as follows: "We miss look a brutal fact straight into the face

struggle, has irretrievably and rears, in the great war in the The diplomatic Sevres porcelian is broken to bits, and the government was broken to find support in the Best through Russia, like Gladstone, or Bast universely, like Beaconsfield. The brough Cabinet Ministers could do nothpresent combine all the mistakes of all the former political orientations. It is absolthe loring recessary to cease once for all to throw out the baby with the bath water, and to go from one evil to greater evils. Instead of provoking Turkey and Russia together, and instead of repelling France and Italy and in addition undermining the foundations of our empire by making the whole of Islam our enemy, we must put an end to our tragic errors and infatuations. We must only diseard the methods and the spirit which inspired this policy, but we must retrace our steps, for, if we continue much longer in the same direction, the empire will be faced with greater perils than ever before.

A number of sensible bourgeois politicians have already come to the conclusthat, from the viewpoint of the British world Empire, Mr. Lloyd George's Another, and a little more far-sighted policy towards Turkey was a mad, not to say, criminal policy.

And if one lets facts speak for themselves, one must come to the same conclusion.

It is easy to find very simple material reasons for the anti-Turkish and pro-Greek attitude of Lloyd George, as Comrade Rosenberg has shown in his article in the "Inprecorr." Mr. Lloyd George , has belonged for some time to a certain clique of big financiers connected with Greek business men. These Jewish Greek financiers have no doubt a great influence on him. This plutocratic influence was also alluded to from another direction, for instance, by the well known catholic writer Chesterton. There is no doubt whatever that Lloyd George was also swayed by ideological influences, by his harrow and bigotted Christianity which makes him see in every Christian, be he even only a Greek or a Byzantine, a thosen being as compared with the cursed Turk.

At any rate it is a well established look a brutal fact straight into a feet that Lloyd George's policy has done

great harm to Britith prestige in the Near East and in the New Turkey. This became evident at the Conference of Mudania which resulted in the return of the Turks to Thrace, and consequently to Turkish domination over the Straits.

A big Dutch capitalist paper wrote as follows on October 11th when the chief results of the Conference became known: "The only thing which the British still have is the satisfaction that they remain for the present in Chanak, in order to secure the freedom of the Straits. But what is meant by the freedom. of the Straits? The fourth paragraph of the National Pact of Angora reads as follows: "The security of the city of Constantinople, the residence of the Caliph, as well as the Sea of Marmora, against any attack. Regardless of this principle the Bosphorus and the Straits are to be free for the commercial fleets of all countries. This defines the meaning of the freedom of the Straits, and does not certainly mean that, after the return of both sides of the coast to the Turks, British warships can go freely backwards and forwards through the Straits. One can infer what Lloyd George meant by this freedom from his solemn declaration that it must never happen again. Should the Straits be closed in the event of war, as was the case in 1914 when Russia was confined to the Black Sea, the allied fleets were prevented from getting into touch with its ally and the enemy was able to occupy Rumania up to the Black Sea. Thus, Lloyd George wishes that never again shall a cannon be fired at a British warship nor a torpedo be launched in the Dardanelles against the hulk of a British Man-of War. This is an impossible desire. The League of Nations could obtain a kind of supervision over the demilitarisation of the territory around the Straits. But, in the event of war, fortifications or no fortifications, Russia and Turkey would take measures against any enemy entering the Straits. Defensive work can be easily improvised. Obstructions consisting of mines can be easily constructed. In time of war the Straits will be again closed, because the Allies themselves admitted the Turks to both sides of the Straits. Thus, the solution of the freedom of the Straits for which the British Empire was ready to fight, is a hollow solution without any meaning".

This is a statement coming from a neutral capitalist side which makes it quite clear that in September Mr. Lloyd George wanted to fight for a position which he had already given up. The programme of the New Turkey, which was once more formulated by Mustapha Kemal in Smyrna, has to a great extent already been realised by the Mudania Conference. At that time the Turks said that they demanded Asia Minor, Thrace up to the Maritza and Constantinople. We are willing to give every possible guarantee for free passage through the Dardanelles and we will undertake not to fortify them. It would be only right if the great Powers consented to the fortification of the coast of the Sea of Marmora for the protection of Constantinople against any surprise attacks.

This shows that what the Dutch paper wrote is perfectly correct. The freedom of the Straits becomes a solution without any meaning as soon as Turkey is again in complete possession of both shores of the Straits. Even if the so-called League of Nations were to guarantee this freedom, and Turkey were a member of this League, this formula would only have a meaning in time of peace, and peace in the Balkans depends on European imperialism and on whether the Balkan peoples will free themselves from the yoke of this imperialism, as well as from its aiders and abttors in their own countries.

In this same interview, Kemal enumerated among other peace conditions:

1. The annulment of the capitulations, which he rightly termed an infringement on Turkish independence, 2. the surrender of the Greek fleet, which otherwise would be a menace to the Anatolian coast, 3. damages for the devastations caused by the Greek.

It would be premature at the present juncture to say anything about these demands. However, we miss one thing, namely, the demand of the annulment of Ottoman Debt. If the Turkish people mean to be really free, it must insist on was the inevitable consequence of the wall

Turkey of the old. At almost a national entity. comprise any longer the Arabian to comprise any longer the Arabian to tories which gave so much trouble un the despotic reign of Abdul-Hammer in which the Turkish soldiers had perform police duties. Thus, the Turkey is now in a position, the te need not spend its strength in destruction nationalist struggles. At present the provinces do not any longer constitut an integral part of Turkey, and har become the prey of West European im erialism. For the present, Syria is und French domination. Palestine and Ma opotamia, which in name are manda tory countries of the League of Nation are in reality under British domination However it cannot be said that imperaism and especially British imperialism

tine and Mesopotamia is a tale of pro-

Palestine, the Jews and the Arabs, and British mandate would cease automaequally dissatisfied. If it is impossible to the complicated history of the country during the last few years, every lovernment will do its utmost to regulate the traintiers of Irak. It is said that after declared a boycott of this election. More over, the Pan - Islamic movement, will which we will deal more fully later or is growing rapidly.

It can be said that in Irak the situal ion is even more complicated, and st more unfavourable for the British in perialism. Permanent occupation would cost enormous sums of money, which would be in direct opposition to the efforts to decrease expenditure, which at capitalists countries for the crisis.

The occupation of Mesopotamia, which During the interview, Kemal dwelt on a great factor which caused the new Turkey to be much stronger than the has created for the British Empire the mighty Arabian Continent. A well-how explorer, Mrs. Rosita Forbes, who book "A League of Nations," in which the service of the British Government, has created for the British Empire

be said: "The occupation of Messopotamia be said weaken Great Britain strategically would weaken Great Britain strategically and politically.

dreat Britain even now gives permits dream of autonomous administration a kind of autonomous administration to a kind of Irak as well as for those parts of Arafor Continent which are in the British sphere of influence. Nay, this autonomous administration under British supremacy administration a dire necessity for Great Britain. However, it is precisely this autonomous dministration which just in Egypt leads to the growth of the movement for the abolition of British supremacy.

According to the latest reports, a kind of agreement was arrived at in October in the city of Bagdad between the British High Commissioner, Sir Percy Cox, and the Premier of Irak. This agreement defines the position of Great Britain as has hitherto derived much satisfation from mandatory power in Irak, and is consitued these new conquests dered to be the first important step The story of the mandates over Pales towards the establishment of an autonomous administration in the Irak.

longed misery, and the conditions at Moreover, Great Britain undertakes to far from being settled. bring about the admission of Irak into The two predominating elements the League of Nations, by which act the

on general lines, one can at least safer the frointiers of Irak. It is said that after say that British rule has not been all the ratification of the agreement, the to bring about peaceful cooperation bet establishment of a stable government in ween the nationalities in the new Pales conformity with the organic laws and the tine. At present the country is on the cree final definition of the frontiers, the British of an election for some sort of a repre Government definitely expects that the sentative body. However, the Arabs have lask government will apply for admission into the League of Nations.

Comrades, there is still another example of the hypocritical policy of British imperialism. Just as in the case of the semingly independent kingdom of Feisal, Great Britain is endeavouring to create ret another so-salled independent Arabian State as an ally for itself. This ally is even to be allowed to become a member of the League of Nations. Egypt, however, which is a Mohamedan state, and is suppresent is the chief solution in all the posed to be independent, must not be a art of this League—the tool of the Great Powers. There is every reason to believe hat Great Britain is endeavouring to stablish at all costs its supremacy on

left recently for the Arabian desert, carrying secret instructions. Probably, her business will be to bribe the Bedouin chiefs into a renewed alliance with Great Britain by means of gold and costly presents. In the Arabian Continent, nothing less than the route with India will be at stake during the next few years for Great Britain. If during the next few years the Arabian tribes and the Arabs in general desired to get rid of the British guardianship, the strategical bridge, which took Great Britain two hundred years to build, would collapse.

Such mighty qustions are now at stake in the Near East. One might almost say: the orientation of the Arabs in the next few months or years will influence the orientation of world history in the Near

Comrades, Great Britain is endeavouring by all means to retain its supremacy in these countries, by cunning or by violence, according to circumstances.

The interests of the world proletariat, as well as those of Eastern Peoples, demand that this supremacy should be overthrown.

Irak is probably the weakest and, strategically, the most unfavourable position in the connecting link of the British Empire. Strategically, its position is much more un favovrable than that of Palestine or Egypt, and much more difficult to reach and to occupy that the two latter. Its population still consists mostly of nomadic tribes, which are not willing to summit to the British yoke. It is impossible to draw a regular frontier line with open desert on either side of it. Bribery and corruption are the only means by which something can be achieved with the free Bedouin tribes.

Comrades, you will realise the difficult position of British imperialism in the near East it you take into consideration this position in connection with the mighty extension of the strategical line in the Near East. For this entire Eastern world, from the frontiers of Beluchistan to the Mediteranean, from the British imperialist viewpoint, is nothing but a glacis of the fortress - India, traversed by a moat which is to constitute the connection between the two big seas on which the Empire is and must remain the master,

still conducting an offensive throughout

this mighty territory.

If one wishes to obtain a clear idea of the British offensive for this mighty front, which was a phase of the later stages of the world war, a front which extended over thousands of miles from Central Asia to the Black Sea, one could not do better than read the book of the British military officer who, on this matter, has perhaps told some tales out of school, and said more than was absolutely necessary. I mean the book of Captain L. V. S. Blacker of the Corps of Guides, entitled: "On Secret Patrol in Upper Asia".

In the preface of this highly interesting work, the well known British imperialist politician and strategist, Sir George Younghusband says that a small number of British Indian Mohamedan troops annihilated 10,000 Bolsheviks, and spread the fear of God and of the Indian soldiers under British leadership through thousands of kilometres of Asian territory.

One could not wish for a clearer statement of the world historic fact than that made by these military officers, namely, that the world war, which was fought out in 1918 on the mighty field which stretches from the Pamir Plateau and Chinese Turkestan to the Black Sea, became automatically a struggle between proletarian Russia and the imperialism of Great Britain. The two irreconcilable enemies came into collision in this enormous mountain range which stretches over thousands of miles and in these immeasurable deserts, at the moment when the Proletarian Republic came into being and when the British Empire had reached the zenith of its power.

Since then British imperialism declined rapidly, while the power of the proletarian republic ascended. And now, after the lapse of four years, the proud British Empire is already compelled to negotiate on equal terms with the new, dreaded and despised proletarian Power.

And what is worse still, it had to give in to the Islamic Power, which it imagined to have completely destroyed.

Comrades, this is a case which reminds

namely the Mediteranean and the Indian one of the mighty changes of which Shakespeare, the greatest Path which Shakespeare, the greatest Brite dramatist, has represented in his historical dramas, especially in Henry V.

However, what is

However, what is most important perhaps the fact that Captain Black boasts that Moslems from British Indiana Positish Local and Punjab under British leadership ried out the dirty work which they went told to do, and that (if London had will led it) they would have beaten h young Red Armies and would have three tled the Red Republic.

I will leave it to military experts decide in how far this is correct from military viewpoint. However, know that at present the British of cers and British militarism cannot dis pose any longer of the Moslems of R tish India to the same extent as this wa possible at that time and during the world war. Owing to British policy Mos lem sentiment in India has become such that Indian troops cannot again be us against the Soviet Republic. And if were possible to use them again on sme an errand, this would apply only to very small section of them. However is our duty, comrades, to see to it the mercenary against proletarian freedom We have again reached the main wi of interest which the events in the Ne East have furnished for the struggle the world proletariat, for our Communis International, and for the overthrow capitalism.

These events constitute a new phase. the huge and ever-developing fight white the Eastern world as a whole, and t Islamic world in particular, as the mo pugnatious element, is waging against the dominion of European capital.

This revolt is of so great a significant for the history of the world that the proletarian International must pay mon attention to the East than ever in the past, and must give all the support past sible to the movement.

The Mussulman peoples do not merel comprise the greater part of the population tion of Asia and Asia Minor, Islam good tion of Asia and Asia Minor Islam of the increasingly powerful in Africa, and is increasingly powerful in Africa, and is spread southward as far as the Zambest lation. Each class has its own particular and of the Moslems would imply the seasons for hating European political

overthrow of western imperialism, and

mperialists retain their political domipor over the Asiatic peoples, unless they gon continue to exploit the Mohamedans, the Hindoos, the Chinese and the other the min of the Far East. Why is this? Because the liberation of the Mohame-Because other Oriental peoples, will imply the cessation of the tribute they pay to guropean capitalism, and without this pibute the accumulation of capital cannot continue.

Now an arrest of accumulation is the most deadly wound that can be inflicted on capital. It cuts off the blood supply, as we have been taught once more by the happenings of the last two years.

The movement, the revolution, which is now affecting the whole ot the East, both near and far, and which will bring complete political independence to these regions, is irresistable.

The Mohamedan peoples aspire towards economic as well as towards political emancipation. That is why the movement among them is such a menace to

western capitalism. no Islamic soldier be used again as a For some decades there has been in rogress a powerful movement throughout the Mohamedan world. From time to time it has been so extensive as to bridge material and racial differences. I refer to

the Pan-Islamic movement.

Stoddard, one of the most recent historians of Islam, has pointed out how greatly the events of the years immediately preceding the world war increased the sense of solidarity among the Mohamedans and stimulated their hatred for Europeans. Just before the war, an influential Mohamedan statesman wrote as follows in the "Revue du Monde Muselman": "The events of the last ten years and the blows which have befallen the Mohamedan world, have aroused in Mohamedan bosoms hitherto unknown feelings of loyalty and devotion. Today the whole of Mohamedan world is inspired with hatred of all eppressors.

Stoddard specially emphasises the fact that his antipathy against the West is

dominance. All hate together; and this provides a common standard which may, when circumstances prove favourable, overrule every other feeling. The world war was greeted by the broad masses of the Mussulman people as a well-merited nemesis for western greed and western pride. The "Tanin" wrote on October 24, 1914: "They could not see the evils in their own lands or elsewhere, but they made the most trifling incident an occassion for meddling in our affairs. Every day, on some pretext or other, they curtailed some of our rights of interfered with our sovereignty. They practiced vivesection on our quivering flesh, cutting large pieces away. On our sides, we had forcibly to repress the feelings of revolt in our hearts. Impotently we elenched our fists. We preserved an agonised silence. But the fire burned within, and we said to ourselves: "If they would only attack one another, and hew one another to pieces. Lo! now they hew one another in pieces precisely as the Turks longed for them to do!

To many far-sighted Mohamedans therefore, the world war brought food for

rejoicing.

Stoddard maintians that the only reason why the great war was not promptly followed by a great Mohamedan rising was that the leaders of Islam did not think the time ripe for such a rising, and because speaking generally they condemned the action of the Young Turks in taking a side in the imperialist war. The true intellectual leaders of Pan-Islamism, the men at the head of the great Islamic brotherhoods (the Senusia) thought the moment inopportune. Materially too, they were unprepared. They had no suitable arrangements made, and the Caliph's summons to the holy war bore too plainly the stamp "made in Germany". The farseeing Mussulmans had no desire to throw themselves into the World War for the sake of one group of the contending imperialists. Although there were risings everywhere in Mohamedan countries under British and French rule, these were spontaneous outbursts, and were not supported by the great leaders. The view of the leaders was correct. All that happened during and after the war tended most powerfully to promote the energies of the Pan-Islamic movement.

BULLETIN OF THE IV CONGRESS Above all it became plain that the capiopened the orientals' eyes to the west. For the party talist powers had learned nothing from the war. Everyone knows from the disclosures of the secret treaties that even while the war was in progress, the Powers were pursuing their policy of annexation and conquest, But what happened when peace came? Stoddard is no revolutionary, and we will leave to him the description of the effect which the Versailles Peace Conference had upon the Mohamedan peoples. He says that the doings of the European Imperialist Powers, the secret treaties dividing up the Mohamedan world, filled them with wrath and with an unprecedented sense of injustice. There was a surge of passion, heralding a yet greater storm. Since 1919 the tide of wrath has been rising ever higher.

"We must not," writes Stoddart, "allow ourselves to be misled by the fact that the revolts of the Mohamedan peoples of the Near East during the years from 1918 onwards have at first sight a nationalist aspect. Mohamedan Nationalism and Pan-Islamism, however different they may be, are identical in their aspiration towards the complete freeing of Islam from European political control. Islam is capable of constituting a sort of unity as against the capitalist world; for the bond which unites all the Mohamedans is something more than a religious bond. Islam is more than a religion: it is a complete social system: it is a civilisation with its own philosophy, culture and art. In the course of many centuries of struggle with the rival civilisation of Christianity it has become an organic and self-conscious whole."

After the Italian attack on Tripoli, Arminius Vambéry, who is one of the greatest authorities on the Moslem world, wrote: "The more notable the increase in the power and authority of the West in the old world, the more intimate becomes the bond of unity and common interest among the various sections of the Asiatic population, and the fiercer burns their fanatical hatred for Europe."

Let me quote Stoddart once more, to give his description of the effects of the World War on the East in general and Islam in particular. "The war has ruined European prestige in the East and has

opened the Unitarian of the West. For the East nesses of the war was a liberal education. Think how millions of orientals and hegm were drawn from the remote forest Asia and America to serve as labour and soldiers in the white men's Although most of the accessory to were engaged in colonial military oper tions, a million and more were ferred to European soil. In Europe the slaughtered white men, raped white men, fed on white men's dainties, becan acquainted with white men's weakness and returned to tell the tale among the own folks. Asia and Africa know Euro to-day as they never knew it before, we may be certain that they will their knowlewge to account. Toda then, the situation is this: an East to by conflicts between old and new, stand face to face with a West rent asunder fierce enmities and sick unto death consequence of its mad follies. Net before were the possibilities in the pe tionship between the two worlds incalculable and so threatening."

This bourgeois student of Islam is one with the most noted Mohamedan me of learning in his conclusion, "The rel and the Western world, which for century has been passing through its age of renaissance (a renaissance which may be said to have begun Arabia at the opening of the XIXth cer tury of our era)—the relationship between a capitalist world which is exhausted at undermined by the excess of its labour and the deepness of its wounds, whi is profoundly disintegrated and has a enemy within it own household the rev lutionary proletariat, and a Mohamed world which in every respect, alike re gious, cultural, political, and economic rising out of the abyss of decay if which it has sunk during the eighteen century—this relationship is once as greatly strained at it was in the day of the Crusades when, after the appearance rance of the Turks in the Moslem wol of the XIth century, one hundred year war ensued between East and West,"

In the century of warfare during the middle ages, tha West bore off the paid of victory, and gathered strength for the struggle; even though deep and income.

able wounds were inflicted on world

Now the relationship has been reversed Now Western capitalism is faced by pecadent by the young and increasingly the menace of the young and increasingly the menals world of the East and of Islam, rigorous millions have for decades where debased, misused, and exploited by pregialism, until at length they turn in

The West is weakened in energy and minished in greatness. It has a foe within its own household, the revolutionary working class, which would have overthrown the whole structure long ago but for the support given to the tettering edifice by the socialist traitors. Nevertheless, the contrast with the years before the war is notable. Prior to the war, Czarism was quite as dangarous as Westen imperialism to oriental freedom, to the freedom of the Mohamedan peoples. But Czarism has been destroyed, and proletarian Russia has taken its place: Proletarian Russia, the friend of genuine self-determination of the freedom of oriental nations.

Down to 1914, Germany was to all appearence, the friend of the Mohamedan tionship between Western capitals peoples, but in reality she was just as savage and perfidious a foe. Germany has vanished as an imperialist power, and the Danubian monarchy, Germany's ally, has been destroyed. Down to 1914, Italy was one of the enemies of the indelowever, has been so greally weakened by the war that she seems to have renounced all her claims in the Balkans and Anatolia. She is merely able to maintain a pseudo-dominance in Libya, and her rule there will unquestionably be overthrown. The Italian proletariat need merely take a step forward after the many steps backward taken since 1920, and the desire to retain Lybia will no longer exist among the Italian imperialists.

Of the six great foes of Islam, but two remain; in addition to one lesser foe, Dutch capitalism, which during the war, had fed its full and which now acts as auxiliary in the wake of the British man of war. Two instead of six. British imperialism, seems to be acting somewhat more sanely towards the Islamic world. It seemed otherwise directly

after the war. The bestial acts of the French troops under Couraud in the Near East are not yet forgotten.

At the end of 1921, Stoddard wrote in his book (After having described how serious was the situation in the Near East). "The most hopeful omen recently, is that the British Government is aware of the ever-increasing danger and has consequently begun to change its attitude. On the other hand the gloomiest omen in the Near East is France's irreconcilable stand. It would appear that the French policy has fallen a prey to its own traditions, and is fearful of looking reality in the face. If it ends in an explosionand an explosion is bound to occur unless France alters her attitude-some day or other the scanty French forces will be swept away by a whirlwind of Arabian wrath rising out of the recesses of the desert. Should this happen, the judgment of all well acquainted with the Eastern question will certainly be that French policy has

been cooked in broth of its own stewing." It is clear that the well-informed author felt how extremely dangerous the situation in Syria was but a little while ago. He saw the simoon of Beduin fury sweeping over the French troops and with one blast clearing them all away. Since then French policy towards Islam has changed. Under what influnce? The root of the matter lies in the general situation of French imperialism, to which I referred pendence of the Mohamedan States. Italy, above, and may assume that oil capital plays an important part. This is a very special kind of capital, and I only allude to it in passing. It is more important to note that the hope (which Mr Stoddard ventured to express in the year 1921) that British policy in regard to Islam would change, has suffered complete shipwreck. Lord Milner's attempts at reconciliation in Egypt have come to nought. All the observers who have recently been in Egypt are agreed that the Egyptian masses are openly in revolt against British capitalism and against the pseudo-constitution which Britain, under the protection of British bayonets has introduced. We may gather, too, from recent reports how perilous is Britain's situation in Messapotamia. We are in a position to affirm that British dominion in the border areas of Arabia, in Palestine, in the Senai peninsula, in Irak and in Oman, depends

upon a factor which is quite ignored in Europe. Its continuance depends upon the mood of the Beduin sheiks in independent Arabia. One thing is clear, that the imperialist powers of the West are not only fighting one another over their various interests in the question of German reparations, but that in their Eastern policy they are likewise at one another's throats. Far from being friends, they are all intriguing against one another.

The Pan-Islamic movement, however, has at its command such statesmen as the chief of the Senusia, whose spiritual influence among millons of Mohamedans is continually increasing, and who will certainly not refrain from turning to full advantage these clashes between the only two great enemies that still confront Islam. The intellectual leaders of Islam are in no hurry, they will await a favourable moment, and then (we may rest assured) they will deal a shrewd blow to one foe or the other.

In this historical struggle for the political freeing of Islam, it is the duty of the revolutionary proletariat to watch closely, and to give the Mohamedans all possible moral and political support. The proletariat throughout the world has but one enemy - imperialism. But imperialism is not an integral whole and the proletariat is not its only irreconcilable foe. The chief enemy of the proletariat and also of the oriental and in especial of the Mussulman peoples is the British empire, whose world-embracing imperialism is founded upon (among other things) the hegemony of Hindustan and naval dominance in the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean. It lies within the power of the Mohamedan peoples to break down the bridge that sustains British imperialism. Should this bridge fall, the whole structure will collapse, and its overthrow will have such mighty repercussions throughout the Orient and the Mahomedan world, that French imperialism, too, will fall in ruins. The liberation of the world of Islam especially the Near East from any kind of European political dominance, is not merely of interest to the dwellers in these lands, to the peasants and workers in those oriental regions which have not yet come under the voke of capitalism—it is of enormous importance likewise to the Western Eu-

ropean and to the world proletariat n ropean and would inevitably entail collapse of western imperialism cancellation of the scandalous imperial cancellation of the success of the peace treaties, the success of the rev tion in Europe, the addition of West European Soviet Republics to the Center European and Eastern European States and Education of the Center European States and Education of Western European States and Education of Education of Education States and Education of Education States and Education the liberation and federation of the Balker and their integration nationalities, and their integration to the Balkan a great Balkan league of the liberale

The international proletariat thereton acclaims the political aspiration of h Mohamedan nations towards complete economic, financial, and political entry chisement from the infleunce and don nance of the imperialist States; acclaim it as an aspiration which, even though it may not aim at the abolition of was slavery and at private ownership of means of production in Mohammela lands, none the less menaces the found tions of European capitalism.

Roy:—Comrades, the Eastern question ought to have been deat with several time in the Congress already. It ought to have been dealt with in connection with the question of the offensive of capitalism the reserves on which this offensive based, or on which it can be based in the future. But it was not so. And when this question at last comes up for discussion the time limit has been so shortened that it is practically impossible to deal with the question with any degree of clear comprehensiveness. Therefore, I am pe simistic about giving you a broad detailed view of the situation in Eastern countries which, I think a believe is very essential for the develop ment and ultimate victory of the mor ment in the Western countries. But will do the best I can in the limited time at my disposal.

At the Second Congress of the Con munist International the general princip concerning the struggle for national li ration in the colonial and semi-colonia countries were laid down. The general principles were formulated by which relations of the proletarian revolution the proletarian movement of the indus rially and economically advanced countries to the national struggle of the backran

peoples, should be determined and the peoples, experience that we had in 1920, that is, expension of the Second Congress of Communist International, did not the district us to develop those principles to permit us to textent. But since the permit at extent. But since those days, during clanial and semi-colorial during the colonial and semi-colonial countries in the colonial and semi-colonial countries has gone through a long period of dehas goment, and in spite of all that has been left undone, and in spite of all that ought to have been done by the Communist international and particulary by the Communist Parties of the Western countries to establish closer relations with these movements, and to develop them, we are to day in a position to speak with more knowledge and more experience and inderstanding of these movements, in the colonial and semi-colonial countries.

The Theses adopted by the Second Congress of the Communist International stated that the nationalist movement in the colonial and semi-colonial countries was objectively, fundamentally a revolutionary struggle, and as such it formed a part of the struggle for world revolution. Therefore it was determined that the Communist Parties in the Western countries because though you talk about the offer and particularly those of the imperiods sive of capitalism you should not overlood countries, should do everything in their develop these movements. But we did not know in those days how this instruction, this resolution of the Second Congress could be carried out; because in those days it was understood by a few that in the broad term "colonial and semi-colonial countries" various regions and various peoples were included, which regions and peoples embraced within their limits all kinds of social development, all kinds of political and industial backwardness. And we laid down the lines that simply because they were politically, economically, and socially backward they could all be bundled together in one bunch and the problem could be solved as a general problem. But that was a mistaken view. We know to day that Eastern countries cannot be taken as a homegeneous whole either politically, economically or socially. Therefore this Eastern question is for the Communist International, provided the Communist International wants to take it seriously, a question of much more complexity than that of the struggle in the West. The

social character of the movement in the Western countries is uniform in the struggle. But in the East it is not so.

The countries in the East can be divided into three categories. First, those countries which are nearing to most highly developed capitalism. Countries where not only the import of capital from the metropolis has developed industry, but a native capitalism has grown, leading to the rise of a bourgeoisie with a developed class cousciousness, and its counterpart, the proletariat, which is also developing its class consciousness, and is engaged in an economic struggle which is gradually coming into its political stage. Second, those countries in which capitalist development has taken place but is still at the lower level, and in which feudalism is still the backbone of society. Then we have the third grade, where primitive conditions still prevail, where feudal patriarchalism is the social order. How, then, for the countries under the heading of the colonial and semi-colonial countries, which can be divided into groups so apart from each other, a general program or a general line of tactics can be determined in order to help the development of the revolutionary movement in those countries? The task before us to day in this power to develop these movements. But Fourth Congress is to elaborate those fundamental principles that were laid down by the Second Congress of the Communist International. We are faced to-day with a concrete problem of how best we can develop the movement in those countries; we have the revolutionary movement in each. But since the social structure of these countries is different, naturally the character of the revolutionary movement in those countries is also different. In so far as the social character is different the program for those movements must be different, and the tactics must also be different.

With this in view all the Eastern delegations present at this Congress in cooperation with the Eastern section of the Communist International, have prepared a thesis which has been submitted to the Congress. In this thesis the general situation in the East has been laid down and the development in the movement since the Second Congress has been pointed out and the general line which should determine the development of the

rialist war, we found a general upheaval of the colonial people. This upheaval was brought about by the intensified economic exploitation during the war.

This great revolutionary upheaval attracted the attention of the whole world. We had a revolt in Egypt in 1919, and one of the Korean people in the same year. In the countries lying between these two extreme points there was to be noticed a revolutionary upheaval of more or less intensity and extensiveness. But at that time these movements were nothing but big spontaneous upheavals and since those days the various elements and social factors which went to the composition of these movements have clarified in so far as the social economic basis has gone on developing. Consequently we find to-day that the elements which were active participants in those movements two years ago are gradually leaving them if they have not already left them. For example, in the countries which are more devel- as industry grows in the colonial countries oped capitalistically the upper level of the bourgeoisie, that is, that part of the bourgeoisie which has already what may be called a stake in the country, which has a large amount of capital invested, and which has built up an industry, is finding that to-day it is more convenient for its development to have imperialist protection. Because, when the great social upheaval that took place at the end of the war, developed into its revolutionary sweep it was not only the foreign imperialist but the native bourgeoisie as well who were terrified by its possibilities. The bourgeoisie in none of those countries is developed enough as yet to have the confidence of being able to take the place of foreign imperialism and to preserve law and order after the overthrow of imperialism. They are now really afraid that in case foreign rule is overthrown as a consequence of the development of this revolutionary upheaval, a period of anarchy, chaos and distrurbance of civil war will follow that will not be conductive to the promotion of their own interests. That is to say the industrial development of the bourgeoisie needs peace and order which was given to most of these countries by

movement in those countries has also been foreign imperialism. The threat to the peace and order, the possibility of the turbance and revolutionary upher. peace and order, the possibility of the turbance and revolutionary uphears has made it more convenient for the has made it more convenient for a native bourgeoisie to compromise with

This naturally has weakened the me vement in some of the countries but the same time this temporary component to the same time this temporary components. mise does not fundamentally weaken movement. In order to maintain its he in those countries, imperialism must be local help look for some local help, must have some social basis, must have the support of one or other of the classes of native society. To-day it has found it necessary to repudiate the old methods of imperialist exploitation who had given the native bourgeoisie or a certain part of the native bourgeoisie certain concessions in the political or economic sphere. These concessions have reconciled the native bourgeoisie temporarily, but they have opened a bigger vision before it They have permitted a test of economic development and brought into existence a capitalist rivalry, because, in so far

Therefore, the temporary compromise But this cannot be said about the new between native and imperial bourgeoisie burgeoisie in the Eastern countries, or cannot be everlasting. In this compromise most of them. Although the bourgeoisie we can find the development of a future is leading the struggle there, it is at conflict.

where usury and trades capital, feudal bureaucracy and the feudal militarism are the leading social element and the indeveloped bourgeoisie against a stronger leaders of the national movement, this and more developed bourgeoisie. Instead compromising imperial policy has been of being a class war it is an internecine introduced, but it has not given such war so to say, and as such contains satisfactory results as in the other the elements of compromise. countries because the interest of the feudal bureaucracy and the colonia onies, the revolutionary movement for feudal lords are not so easily comparible lational development in the colonies. as is the case between the imperial and cannot be based purely and simply on the native bourgeoisie. Therefore we find movement inspired by bourgeois ideothat in the last year the struggle in the stru Turkey, the nationalist struggle in Turkey, took the forefront of all the daing factors—the liberal bourgeoisie colonial struggles.

But the latest events in Turkey show us the weakness of this as well, because we know that a national struggle cannot develop consciousness of political nation hood, cannot grow in a people, so long

the social economics of that particular the social tend up with the feudal patri-people are bound up with the feudal patri-people system. Unless the bourgeoisie come penal system and become leaders of the or the national struggle cannot take with all its revolutionary possibilace So in all these countries, in proortion as the bourgeoisie is developing, national struggle has become intenfied. From this point of view, although know there is danger of the colonial bulgeoisie always compromising with oligensial bourgeoisie, we must always principle stand for them; that a of principal movement in the coonial countries is objectively revolusupport; but we should not overook the fact that this objective force annot be accepted as unconditional, and that particular historical reasons should be taken into consideration. The bourpolisie becomes a revolutionary factor then it raises the standard of revolt gainst backward, antiquated forms of selety—that is, when the struggle is indamentally against the feudal order, the bourgeoisie leading the people. Then it undermines the basis of the monopoly the bourgeoisie is the vanguard of the evolution.

the same time not leading it against Then, in that second group of countries fendalism. It is leading the struggle against capitalism. Therefore it is a struggle of the weak and suppressed and

So, the nationalist struggle in the come how that in every country all these the most advanced countries, and the and military cliques in the second oup of these countries—are gradually ving to make some compromise with imperial overlord and imperial capi-

This position brings us face to face with a problem as to whether there is a possibility of another social factor going into this struggle and wresting the leadership from the hands of those who are leading the struggle so far.

We find in these countries where capitalism is sufficiently developed that such a social factor is already coming into existence. We find in these countries the creation of a proletarian class, and where the penetration of capitalism had undermined the peasantry, bringing into existence a vast mass of poor and landless agrarian toilers. This mass is being gradually drawn into the struggle which is therefore it should be given no longer purely economic, but which is assumes every day a more and more political character. So also in the countries where feudalism and the feudal military clique are still holding leadership, we find the development and growth of an agrarian movement. In every conflict, in every struggle, we find that the interests of imperial capital are identical with the native landowning and feudal class, and that therefore when the masses of the people rise, when the national movement assumes revolutionary proportions, it threatens not only the imperial capital and foreign overlordship, but it finds also the native upper class allied with foreign exploiters.

Hence we see in the colonial countries a triangular fight developing, a fight which is directed at the same time against foreign imperialism and the native upper class which directly or indirectly strengthens and gives support to foreign imperialism.

And this is the fundamental issue of the thing that we have to find out-How the native bourgeoisie and the native upper class, whose interest conflicts with imperialism or whose economic development is obstructed by imperial domination, can be encouraged and helped to undertake a fight? We have to find out how the objective revolutionary significance of these factors can be utilised. At the same time we must keep it definitely in mind that these factors can operate only so far and no further. We must know that they will go to a certain extent and then they try to stop the revolution. We have already seen this in practical experience in almost all the countries. A review of

the movement in all Eastern countries in Turkish national problem in any to develop our point, but the time at our disposal will not permit that. However, I believe most of you are fairly well acquainted with the development of the movement in those countries. You know how the movement in Egypt and India had been brought to a standstill by the timidity, the hesitation of the bourgeoisie, how a great revolutionary movement which involved the wide masses of the peasantry and the working class and which constituted a serious menace to imperialism, could not produce any very serious damage to imperialism simply because the leadership of this movement was in the hands of the bourgeoisie.

The bourgeoisie was divided into two parts-the upper layer, which was developed industrially and owning big industrial and commercial interests interlinked with imperial capital, found it dangerous for their extension, and therefore went to the imperialist thus constituting itself a positive obstruction to the revolutionary nationalist weak social background, didnot have the determination, the courage, to put itself at the head of this big revolutionary movement to lead it forward, and the movement consequently. betrayed and misled by these elements has come to its present period of depression.

Then, on the other hand, we have the example of the Turkish struggle. This struggle is contemporary and you know how the imminent victory of the Turkish people had not been carried on to its logical consequences by the feudal military 'clique which stands at its head to-day. The ultimate victory of the Turkish people, the complete political and economic liberation of the Turkish nation, has been and is going to be compromised in order to safeguard the interests of the small feudal military clique which has found it convenient to sell itself to one group of imperialists as against another group. That clique found it convenient to ally with one group of imperialists against another. This might lead to the aggrandisement of this group and to the enthronement of Mustapha Kemal Pasha in place of the Sultan who was largely in the hands of British Imperialism, but it does not solve the

And we know that while two or months ago the revolutionary or the all over the world were hailing the all over the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of Mustapha Kemal Pasha, we had been supported to the tories of the tor have the news that Kemal in a Turkey, freed by the efforts of the volutionary workers and peasants, brutally persecuting the latter. Hence is proved that although the bourged and the feudal military clique in one other of these countries can assume leadership of the nationalist revolution struggle, there comes a time when the people are bound to betray the man ment and become a counter-revolutional force. Unless we are prepared to the politically the other social element whi is objectively more revolutionary to s into their places and assume the leads ship, the ultimate victory of the nation alist struggle becomes problematical the time being. Although two years we did not think of this problem clearly, this tendency remained there an objective tendency, and to-day, as result of that, we have in almost Eastern countries communist parties, litical parties of the masses. We kn that these communist parties in most munist parties in the Western sense, h their existence prove that social fact are there, demanding political parti not bourgeois political parties, but pol cal parties which will express and refler the demands, interests aspirations of t masses of the people, peasants and wor ers, as against that kind of national which merely stands for the econom development and the political aggrandi ment of the native bourgeoisie. existence of these communist parties these Eastern countries and their histo rôle becomes more significant when look at the matter from the other p of view, when we look at it from point of view of that on account of misfortune that the bourgeoisie came the field in the colonial and semi-color countries a little too late (150 J later), they are not going to play role of liberators, because they will can go so far and no farther. There the nationalist revolutionary movement in these countries where millions in these millions millions must have national liberall

must free themselves economically and politically from Imperialism before they politically from the progress further—is not going to be an progressful under the leadership of the deconsists.

Therefore we find the necessity of these omnunist parties, which at the present communist parties, which at the present communist parties, which at the present communist parties, which at the present community and the present called more than number destined to play a big role in del, are they will assume the leaderthip of the national revolutionary struggle then it is deserted and betrayed by the when it is deserted and betrayed by the hourgeoisie. They will be able to carry on the struggle for liberation against an the struggle for liberation against an oppressed nationalities to the conquest of the political and economic indemplete

These parties are historically destined for and socially capable of this task because they are based on the objectively most revolutionary factors, viz., the peasants and workers—the factor which has no interest in common with Imperialism and whose social position and economic conditions cannot be improved in any any so long as these countries are under capitalist Imperialism.

that these communist parties in most at these countries cannot be called out the leadership, therefore, these countries cannot be called out the workers and peasants, a political party representing the workers and peasants, their existence prove that social facts are there, demanding political party representing the workers and peasants, that the national revolutionary are there, demanding political party representing the workers and peasants, that the national revolutionary struggle can come to final victory.

Now comrades, this necessity of organising Communist Parties in these countries brings us to the program and tactics of these Communist Parties, I should point out the necessity that while the Communist International is discussing the problem of a program it should pay serious attention to this, in view of the fact that to develop the program of the International in the Eastern countries is more complicated. It is more complicated because (unfortunately it is to be confessed) our comrades of the Communist International so far have devoted very little time to the study of these questions.

Before we can have a program on this question, develop a line of tactics which could be adopted by the Communist Parties in Eastern countries, it is necessary that the various sections of the International pay a little more attention and study these questions a little

more carefully. It should not be gratuitous work on their part, because capitalism-the power of the bourgeoisie, in their own countries is to-day very closely interlinked with the situation in the colonial countries; because imperialism today is trying to save itself by developing colonial countries industrially. During the war imperialism, particularly British imperialism, found it necessary to slacken its monopoly rights over the economic and industrial life of the backward colonial countries. So, a country like India, which was maintained as an agricultural reserve, as a source of raw material for British industries for more than 150 years, was allowed sufficient industrial development during the war. The dislocation of the capitalist equilibrium in Europe, forces Imperialism to look out for new markets by which the equilibrium of world capitalism can be re-established. They are trying to find this in the colonial countries by developing industrially countries like India and China: they are trying to find the solution of the problem that way. Depending on the resources in the colonial countries, imperialism tries to carry its offensive against the European Proletariat to a crushing victory. We must not lose sight of this tendency. We may argue this way: Well, this cannot be done because imperialism means that colonial countries should be left in a backward state economically so that the goods manufactured in the metropolitan countries can be sold there. Yes, but that is a very mechanical way of looking on these things. We must not forget that if the coat tail of the Chinaman is lenthened by a few inches the textile production of the world will have to be doubled. By industrial development the standard of living of 400 million Chinese can be raised and thus the textile production of the world doubled. Industrial development of China does not necessarily mean the contraction of production in the home countries. These countries when they are industrially developing must have machinery, etc. which they cannot produce of themselves, and so while perhaps in certain kinds of goods the colonial market can be limited and reduced, yet so far as machinery is concerned they must be extended.

Then again that part of the production of England and other countries which used to be sold in central and Western European markets must find new consumers, and this can be done in the colonial countries by developing the power of consumption.

So, you see the re-adjustment of imperial capital with the native capital in the colonial and semi colonial countries will play a big part in the wide scheme of capitalist offensive. In order to be able to fight the capitalist offensive in European countries we must coordinate our forces with the movement in the colonial and semi-colonial countries.

The experience of the last two years in coordinating our forces with the bourgeois nationalist parties in these countries shows that through the medium of these parties we can use and utilise the bourgeois revolutionary parties to the greatest extent.

This leads us to the question of the united anti-imperialist front. Side by side with the United Labour Front in the Western countries we must organise the united anti-imperialist front in the colonial and semi-colonial countries. The object of this anti-imperialist United Front is to organise all the available revolutionary forces in a big United front, against imperialism. The organisation of this front, the experience of the last two year has shown us, could not be realised under the leadership of the bourgeois parties. So we have to develop our parties in these countries in order to take the lead in the organisation of this front. Just as the tactics of united proletarian front leads to accumulation of organisational strength in the Western countries and unmasks and discloses the treachery and compromising ractics of the Social-Democratic Party by bringing them into active conflict, so will the campaign of

united anti-imperialist front in the color the leadership. united anti-importants from the the leadership of the movement from the timid and hesita ting bourgeoisie and bring the masses in the forefront more actively in the forefront, through the most revolutionary social elements which constitute the basis of the movement which constitute the final victors. thereby securing the final victory.

Katayama (Japan). Comrades, I stand

here to present the Japanese case and also the case of the Far East, Japanese case and also the case of the Far East, Japanese case and large important place. occupies a very important place as the coming Socialist revolution. Japan is the only country which is really eq. nomically and politically independent in the Far East. Japan is important in the revolutionary movement of the world because in the near future the worker of Japan may rise against the capitalist This is the reason why I want your se rious attention. We all know, and I do not need to tell you that we must protect the Russian Revolution. Soviet Russia is menaced by Japanese Imperialism, and for this reason alone the Fourth Congress and the Communists of the world should pay more attention to this subject than it has. During the Congress Japan is represented here in order and exploited by the militarist to make progress in the social revolution government. They are suppressed wheneof the world. This is the reason, compared they start a liberal movement, but des, I want to tead what I presented in they are awakening. The Japanese wormy report on Japan and Japanse conditions. I want to give you a few facts. They are facts which will give you some idea of what Japan is:

Population . . . . . 56.000.000 Wealth estimated at . 87,000.000.000 year Products, 1917 . . . 8.372.000.000 1918 . . . 5.608.000.000 ,

Japan is the most industrial country in the Far East and I will read now the figures:

Workers.	Men.	Minors.	Women.	Total.
Government Employees .	133.000	<u>—</u>	43,000	176.000
Factory employing 10 or				
more	706.000		314.000	1.520.000
Miners	353.000	-31	112.000	465.000
Forestry	564.000	-	147.000	716.000
Fishery	617.000	20.000	170.000	808.000
Railway Workers	2.373.000	20.000	1.186.000	3.860.000
		55.000	1.402.000	3.293.000
Grammar School Teachers.	173.000		53.000	226.000

These are wage workers, exploited in These very much. The work-day in one cases very much. The work-day in pe cases factory consists of 11 and the spinning and there are also night shifts. hours and young girls work these hours the factory. Besides this there are 160,000 families of poor peasantry and tenantry.

ombined tenantry. mong these workers some industrial Anous are organised: in 1920 there 888 unions with a membership of 189,000 and in 1921 — 671 unions with a pembership of 246,000 and 229 tenant mions with a membership of 24,000. there has, of course, been an increase that time. The Landowners' Union with the exception of 225, is really peasant proprietors' union, has a memership of 1.422,000. There are also nutual aid associations. In 1920 there were 685 with a membership of 2.000,000. These unions aided 3.169,000 persons with money amounting to 1.551,000 yens. Comrades, these are bare facts based mon a government report. Of course as the labour unions, the government has ried to minimise their number; we have nore. The Japanese workers are oppreshers have had to learn European technique and how to conduct European industry. took somewhere between 40 and 50 years, and they have learned all the techique during this short time. I well member when I was thirteen or fourteen years of age, there were no factories worth while mentioning, there were only 5 miles of railroads in the whole of apan and we had not even heard of wal or kerosine. We only used the candle for lighting purposes. We had no machines in Japan except the water wheel and the hand spinning wheel.

But to-day we have 6,000 miles of alroads, 4.000,000 tons of steamships. And am ashamed to tell you that we have 0,000 tons of warships, So in forty offity years the Japanese have learned by to build steamships, and locomotives, to build complicated machines, and workers are finding themsleves more more oppressed. They have also been mpelled to learn the art of warfare. Altogether there are . . . 11.064.000 workers apan went to war in 1894 with China,

in 1904 with Russia. They sacrificed themselves and became cannon fodder, but they found out that they were only fighting for the capitalists of Japan. This is a great revelation to the Japanese workers They have learned not only this complicated modern industrial technique, but also they have organised a labour movement. Our labour unions are very weak yet, but I tell you in Japan there is no Henderson, no Gompers. They do not care about the union fund, the union property, they are fighting for the revolutionising of Japan. They are demanding, besides the increase of wages, the shortening of hours, the control of the industry, determined to carry on their fight for a new society. Our union leaders understand the capitalist conditions and are showing the workers that the capitalist system cannot remedy the unemployment problem, and that it will never be remedied until the destruction of capitalism.

Comrades, our workers are far behind in the matter of a labour movement, but I tell you we have no traditional obstructions, or reactionary labour aristocracy, therefore the Japanese workers are progressing faster than those of other countries. Most of the unions have been started recently, that is a few years ago. But one union had been organised about eleven years ago. I wish to show you how the Japanese labour movement has been making progress. The Yuai Kai (Japan Federation of Labour) union was started eleven years ago with a purpose of educating workers. It was founded by a university graduate, who claimed the friendship of the prefect of police in Tokio. And although this movement was started as an educational movement at that time it caused great surprise. Suzuki was allowed to make a labour movement—being the personal friend of the Chief of the detective bureau, and he was very proud of it. The police even helped him distribute the organ of the Japanese Federation of Labour. But the workers were not satisfied with a merely educational organisation, and within a short time it had grown very rapidly. Tens of thousands of workers came together under the Japanese Federation of Labour. Then it formed industrial unions, and these industrial unions gradually became strong and radi-

cal in spite of the bourgeois founder. First, it became socialistic, and last October they held a meeting and decidely became Bolshevik. It has 120,000 workers and 63 affiliated different unions. At their annual meeting held last October, they decided among other things that they must make ready for a general strike for 24 hours on May Day. They voted for the immediate recognition of Soviet Russia, and they voted for the destruction of the labour bureau of the League of Nations. They voted to make propaganda for more radical text books. For in Japan as in America the schools are supplied with textbooks which poison the minds of the children in favour of the imperialist and capitalist classes. We must make propaganda for the elimination of militarism, and Jingoism.

This shows that in ten years this union which was formed under the protection of the police, has become a strong and revolutionary union, the Left Wing of which has sent a delegate to affiliate with the Red Trade Union International. This is a fact which shows how the Japanese labour movement has been making progress. Comrades. I have firm faith in the Japanese Labour movement. The Japanese workers have learnt industrial technique. they have learnt how to conduct model industry in a generation or a generation and a half, which took the European workers more than a century to learn. Since the Japanese industry has been built up in such a short time by the workers, I contend that the Japanese workers will learn how to conduct the revolutionary struggle not only for Japan itself but for the entire Far East.

It is a fact that already Japanese workers and Korean workers, especially the independent revolutionaries of Korea, are cooperating in the work of revolutionising the Far East. I know the Japanese workers are somewhat despised as cheap labourers abroad, I know that that is a factor in North America, Canada, and Australia, but comrades, I tell you that the Japanese revolutionary workers, in fact, the entire membership of the Trade Unions do not complain about the anti-Japanese movement of North America or Australia. They have more important work to do than complaining of the anti-Japanese movement in those countries,

and they have found out that they and they have found out that they workers the Japanese workers do this work. The Japanese workers and protesting against the fighting and protesting against the exchange of Labour. When a strike occurs sion of the Chinese labourer, and the exchange are very active. They assist Japanese unions are fighting, and the exchange of Labourer are very active. They even sion of the Chinese labourer, and p Japanese unions are fighting the capital arnally exploit Korean capital litsts who cruelly exploit Korean la The Korean labour organisations in Japan are affliated with the Japanese Fed tion of labour. They are looking forwar to the complete emancipation of the wo king class under the influence of the Russian revolution. Therefore, 1 want assure my comrades from those countrie in which there is an anti-Japanese move ment that the Japanese workers, advanced revolutionary workers, fighting unions are not troubling about these anti-Japanese movements. They wi look to you to create a united from against the imperialism and the capital lism of the whole world, in this more ment I'am glad to say the Japaner workers have alreacy started to working the united front in the Far East. Under the auspices of Soviet Russia and the Comintern we had a Far Eastern Com ference last February and March, and we established a united front. The starting point was that the Japanese, Chinese and Korean Communists were to create a United Front against Japanese Imperialism Comrades from the West, I want to say at this point, that though you may i your countries think little of the Japanes workers, you will agree to attempt t crush Japanese imperialism. Will w not? We are organised under this banne to fight against Japanese imperialism i the Far East.

I want to say a word now about the women's movement, because it has been somewhat neglected at this Fourth Congress. Japanese women workers are ver much exploited. They are prisoners the companies' dormitories and they work twelve hours, both in day shifts and night shifts. Formerly, Japanese women were prohibited from attending political meetings and forming political association ions. But these restrictions have not been abolished. Japanese women are bells educated in the highest educational institutions in the country, and they all utilising their education for the impro-

nde unions. There are several thousand nde members in the Japanese Fededon of are very active. They assist strikers in many ways. They even d public meetings and make speeches hich are the capitalist paper. hat even the capitalist papers sometimes nt even the Japanese women workers port, lest awakening Girls by the same education the same education as boys in rammar schools.

Now, comrades, as to the Far East, orea has been awakened nationally. Their dependence movement has been becoming hestronger, and although it was not known gently, they are now organising for the mal work. They found out that in order carry on a successful fight for Korean dependence they had to co-operate with he workers of Japan.

The Japanese imperialism has become very unpopular amongst the Japanese workers, but is still very strong. I will give you an instance. Formerly, when a manese mother wanted to frighten her hild she would say that she would put im to prison, but to-day she threatens hat she will make a soldier of him. The mperialists are preparing for the next war. Therefore, we in conjunction with e Chinese Delegation propose that this Fourth Congress of the Communist International should pass a resolution against he occupation by Japan of Northern Signalin, and encourage the Japanese revolutionary workers to fight against

Chairman Carr: Before calling on the next speaker, I will read you a resolution sent in by the Japanese and Chinese Delegation:

imperialism, and to prepare for the coming

revolution in Japan.

"The Japanese and Chinese Delegations at the IV Congress of the III Communist international propose the following resolation on the question of the occupation of the Russian section of Saghalin by the Japanese imperialists:

The IV World Congress of the III mmunist International sends greetings the working population of the Russian section of Saghalin and of the Far East, utilising their education for the are not as well as to the working class of Japan, only taking part in the political life and strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the Japanese the nation but many have already joined in the political strongly condemns the political strongl

have tormented the workers and peasants of Siberia".

"The evacuation of the imperialists from the maritime and the Amur regions, and finally also from Vladivostock, is the result of the heroic resistance of the working class population of the Russian Far East, and especially of the proletariat of the maritime region, and also the result of the ever growing indignation of the wide working masses of Japan against the intervention and the government of the Mikado.

"While compelled to evacuate Siberia and the maritime region, the Japanese imperialists are still in occupation of Russian Saghlin, are still keeping the Russian population there in subjection, and from this position are still a menace to the young soviet social order in those territories of the Far East.

"The International proletariat is convinced that the time is not far distant when the militarist clique, which is now ruling over the Japanese workers and peasants, will stand before the tribunal of the Japanese proletariat and will be compelled to answer for its numerous crimes, including those committed in the Russian Far East".

Chairman: Comrade Boudengha now has the floor.

Tahar Boudengha (Tunisia and the French colonies). Comrades, I do not think that it is necessary to read to you my report, as each of the various language groups have received a copy, I will therefore limit myself to elaborating certain points of my report.

French imperialism has colonies not far distant from the Homeland, which enables it easily to recruit its forces either for future wars or for stifling the proletarian revolution in France.

At the same time there is an insurgent in North Africa. The Communist nucleus which was formed in Tunisia after the Conference has taken advantage of this movement. Owing to the seriousness of the situation which may arise in the event of a proletarian revolution, it is doing its utmost to prevent French capitalism from getting the native population of North Africa entirely into its power. In order to accomplish this task, we have approached the workers and peasants either through our Arabian dailies

or through public meetings. We were so successful that the government became alarmed and made domiciliary visits and arrests. It even proclaimed our Party illegal which forced us to carry on underground work. I must admit that this act of the government as well as the suspension of our Arabian papers has done us great harm, for out activities were not limited to Tunisia, but exended through out the whole of North Africa. I must also state that Comrade Loezon approached the French Party several times for a subsidy for our press. At last, owing to the good services of some influential comrades, he received a loan of 10.000 francs from "Humanite". Moreover Comrade Vaillant-Couturier paid a visit to Algiers and Tunis and could judge for himself of the spirit which animated the natives. He came to the conclusion that there were very good elements in the city as well as in the rural districts for a purely Communist proletarian movement.

What was the attitude of the Managing Committee, however, after Vaillant Couturier's tour? It is not enough to make propoganda. One must organise continual activity not only in Tunis. but also in all the colonies where there is

any discontent.

In order to do this, the Party must have a well defined programme of colonial action, for the colonial policy of French capitalism consists in inciting the peoples which are under its domination against each other. Thus it happened that in Tunis, owing to the attitude of the Tunis sharpshooters during the events of April 5th. Iast, French militarism established there two bridges of black troops.

The French Communist Party has not yet grasped the usefulness of a realist

and effective colonial policy.

On the contrary it has been influenced by the pseudo-communists of Algeria, and adjourned the discussion of the colonial question at the Paris Congress for electoral reasons.

I must read you the letter addressed by the Managing Committee to the colonial study group on the eve of the Congress.

It is as follows:

"The Managing Committee adheres to is decision to adjourn the examination of the colonial question, until the National

Council, which will be held after the p

elections in Algeria are of greater importance for the comrades of the overse than the colonial coverse Federations than the colonial study co mittee seems to imagine. In view of r fact that the elections will not be place until the 15th. of October new and that the most trusted militan of these Federations must participate them, it is out of the question that colonial policy of the Party can definitely fixed during their absence.

Comrades, it seems that the colon policy of the Party depends only on the citizens of Algeria. These same citizen whom the Party holds of such importance for its colonial policy, have dared to draw up theses which are contrary to the 8th. condition of Moscow. I am going to read you certain passages of the these on the Sidi-Bel-Abes question in Algeria

"For these reasons, the community section of Sidi-Bel-Abes is of the opinion that the liberation of the native pro letariat of North Africa can only result from the revolution in the mother country and that the best means of assisting every kind of liberation movement in sec. our colony, is not to abandon this colony, - as it is said in the 8th. of the 21 conditions of the adhesion to the l International - but on the contrary that the colonial section remain under the aegis of the Communist Party of Franc which must extend its propaganda for adhesion to Trade Unionism and Communism... that it cannot honest accede to the damands of Moscow etc.

Consequently, the International dishonest. Such is the spirit of these so-called Communists which the French Communist Party is still tolerating in its ranks. I will also read to you the Algiers thesis:

"The two first series of articles answel to the needs of the propaganda Algeria. They were unanimously apply

ciated, and we had not a greater wish the that many more of the same kind should

be published.

As to the third series, without finding fault with the contents of these articles fault with the contents of these than the solid and Tunis was an error, and as they are inspired by a purely communist spirit and deal with the methods of the application of the 8th. condition the best proof that we are right in saying the bourgeois colonial press with the

should have preferred to see them object of discrediting us in the opinion we should in the Communist Bulletin, ra-It is of the opinion that the Canton there is meant only for a restricted numance for the comrades of greater imparts is meant only for a restricted numality is meant only for a restricted numarity is meant on the restricted numarity is m per of militants capable of understanding ber of deology and the immediate possibithe responded in these articles.

The "Humanite" especially since Vaillant-Couturier's tour, reaches the big public, and is read by European and native elements who have not yet acquired communist ideas, but who have shown themselves sympathetic and whom we hoped to bring over to our side. The imperalist bourgeois press has cleverly used hese articles against us. They were friattened by what they imagined to be our plan of propoganda in Algeria, and have urned away from us. The imperialist borgeois press has made clever use of these articles to our detriment, and the inadequacy of our local press and of our orgapisations prevented us from explaining the position by entering into sustained polemics.

So it is dangerous for them to publish mirely communist articles! And those are the people who pretend to be wanting to make propoganda amongst the native mas-

Such is the mentality of these so-called Communists.

Elsewhere they say:

"The emancipation of the Algerian native population will only come as a result of the revolution in France.

The backward native masses, kept in semi-slavery for centuries past, fanatic and fatalist, patient resigned and docile and full of religious prejudices, does not actually conceive their own liberation, and only aspires to a betterment of their conditions by means of reforms and by obtaining certain political rights.

To obtain any results, it is not necessary to carry on open communist propoganda in Algeria. There is no need to publish in our press calls for revolt, nor distribute leaflets in Arabic as some recommend.

"The publication of the manifesto of the Communist International for the liberation Algeria and Tunis was an error, and

of the public, which was partly achieved. These comrades are evidently anxious

not do lose favour with the bourgeoisie, Lauridan: Who wrote this?

This is the thesis of the communist

section of Algeria.

Without wanting to be too insistent I am of the opinion that the French Communist Party must not tolerate within its ranks this type of citizen. I consider that they must be expelled.

I trust that the French comrades, regardless of any tendencies, will set to work immediately after the World Congress, in order to initiate a policy of communist action in the colonies by the establishment of a central organ and the collaboration of colonial comrades in the

Managing Committee.

The French comrades must understand once and for all that a proletarian revolution in France is bound to fail as long as the French bourgeoisie will have at its disposal the colonial population. Likewise, the liberation of the latter will only be possible when there will be in France a Party of revolutionary action and not an opportunist Party.

The Communist International must also take the matter in hand by attaching to itself a permanent representative of the

French colonies.

I am also of the opinion that the British Party has not done everything which should have been done. What has the British party done in order to support the revolutionary movement in India and in Egypt? Last night the Egyptian commission was looking for some party or other to take the young Egyptian Party under its patronage, and it suggested the Italian Party, while this task should be quite evidently the concern of the British Communist Party. The Communists must not limit their actions to their home territory while ignoring the thousands of people who are oppressed by their bourgeoisie and groan under the yoke of their own imperialists. I am of the opinion that to abandon peoples whose liberation and future depend on a communist Party, as is the case for the British Party, is nothing but cowardice.

On the other hand, comrade Malaka was not quite sure the other day if he should support Pan-Islamism. You must

OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

35

not be as diffident as all that. Pan- form of patriarchal communism, but a must develop it, reform it, and nothing but a union of all the Musulmans against their oppressors. Thus there is

On the other hand, questions of a religious nature came to oppose the development of communism. in Tunis, we had the same difficulties as you in Java. Every time people came forward to discuss with us the non-assimilation of communism with Islamism, we invited these mischievous people to meet us in public debate. We proved to them that the Musulman religion prohibits the exploitation of labour, this being the principal basis of this religion. Secondly, we told them that if they are so religious, they must begin by applying the religious principles and paying one tenth of their fortunes, including capital and interest for the benefit of those who are not able to work. I can assure you that every time they debated with us by bringing forward their religius principles, they came off second best.

I think that comrade Malaka's fears are unfounded. The progress of our ideas among the Musulmans has exceeded all expectations. We have received from all parts of the Musulman world, especially when we still had our Arabic papers, numerous letters of congratulation for our methods of applying Communism in

Musulman countries.

Owing to the existence of a revolutionary movement in North Africa, as well as in the other colonies it behoves the French Communist Party, in conjunction with the International and competent colonial comrades, to conduct an efficient and continuous activity in these countries, in order to bring them over to our way of thinking.

I trust that the Congress will accept the conclusions of my report, which are necessary if the communist idea is to triumph among the oppressed peoples.

A transition period will hardly be required in North and West Africa. I am quite sure that we shall go over directly from the feudal regime to the communist regime without going through the intermediary period of native capitalism.

There is already communal land in Tunis and Algeria. This is evidently a must develop it, reform it, and subtute for it integral communism.

no doubt whatever that they must be the Congress of the International. (A) I conclude my statement by greets

Chairman Carr. Before we adjourn certain important announcements have by be made. I call on comrade Beron.

Beron: The Presidium proposes that the Congress should despatch the following

"To the Factory Councils Con. gress, Neue Welt, Berlin-Neukoln: Dear Comrades.

We follow your movements with great interest. You meet in a dark hour h show the way to the German working class to free itself from misery and des titution. The capitalist offensive grows more acute from day to day. Its chief attack is now directed against the eight hour day. The social democratic leader, and the trade union bureaucrats are supporting this attack actively and passively They sabotage every defensive action of the proletariat, and they do not even scruple to split the trade unions in the interest of the capitalists. The most important question at present is the for mation of a united proletarian fighting front for the defence of the eight-hour day for insuring sufficient food for the workers, for workers' control of production, and to oppose the organisation of German fascism by the formation of the Arbeiter Wehr. In obedience to the demands of the hour, the IV Congress of the Comintern and the II World Congress of the Red Trade Union International will address an open letter to the 2 and 21/1 Internationals, as well as to the Parties and trade unions adhering to them. inviting them to participate in common action for the above mentioned demands

Go on with your work, persistently and energetically. The Factory Council movement must be the rallying ground for the defensive struggle of the workers. It must conduct this defensive struggle of the widest possible basis. If you succeed in the teeth of resistance, to establish united front you will have created the most important condition for the defensive struggle, nay, even for the transition the offensive.

We, the representatives of the revolutionary workers of the whole world, gathered here in Moscow, wish success to your work and send you our revolutionary fraternal greetings. The Fourth World Con-

gress of the Communist International. The Second World Congress of the Red Trade Union International.

Moscow, Nov., 1922.

houd applause greeted the reading of e telegram. Chairman Carr: Is anyone against sending of this telegram? No one. Reron: There are some more anuncements to be made. The following commissions will sit

The American Commission at 5,30

p.m. in this hall.

The Commission on the Versailles Peace Treaty at 6 p.m. on the ground floor here.

The Education Commission at 8 p.m. in this hall.

The Enlarged Italian Commission with the representatives of both parties, the Communist Party and the Maximilist Party, in the small hall on the ground floor at 8 p.m.

The Conference of the Women Members of the Congress, viz., the Enlarged Women's Commission, to which invitations have already been distributed, will take place to-morrow at 11 a.m. sharp, in one of the minor halls of the Kremlin. All the delegations are invited by the International Women's Secretariat to send their representatives.

Chairman Carr: The next session will be held to-morrow at 11 a.m. The translations will bring the session to a

(The session was closed soon after 4 p.m.)

Published by the Press Bureau of the Fourth Congress of the Comintern. Moscow,

Oth Government Printery "The Red Proletarian."

Number of copies printed: 750