

Published by the DAILY WORKER PUBLISHING CO. 1113 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago, Ill.

Subscription Rates: By mail (in Chicago only) \$3.00 per year \$4.50 for months \$5.50 three months

An Insurance Magnate on Unionism
The International Labor News Service favors us with a dispatch dated Montreal, purporting to be the gist of a speech delivered in that city by Haley Flske, president of the powerful Metropolitan Life Insurance company.

Women Workers and the British Communist Party
The response of British working women to the recruiting campaign of the British Communist Party, a campaign which has brought in more than 5,000 new members, is one of the most encouraging phases of the struggle which entered a new period with the general strike.

The Ball Is Over
LET us take a rough example. This year we could take ten times as much from the peasants as we are actually doing, and invest this in industry. But what would happen next year? Next year our agriculture would be worth nothing, we should have no raw materials, no cotton, no export grain, etc.



As a French paper views the end of the Franco-American financial tango—Caillaux turns out the light.

Subscribe!

IT had never been our custom to torture living enemies or to taunt them with their slight hope of salvation as they lie on their death bed. But the words of trying men sometimes are obliterated as revolutions by the unwary and, in the case of the New Leader, it is our duty to call attention again to the torturous methods by which it seeks to explain its part in one of the most monstrous fabrications ever foisted upon American workers, i. e., the fake repudiation of the world revolutionary struggle by Stalin, secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, concocted by the Hearst syndicate.

The C. P. S. U. and the Opposition Block
By N. BUCHARIN.
(Continued from previous issue)
IF we look at the matter with the eyes of Comrade Preobrazhensky and a number of other comrades who do not notice the difference between private capitalist economics and peasant economics, then it is only natural that anxiety as to the limits to be observed appears to be entirely superfluous, since we deprive the private capitalist of everything which we possibly can and only permit his continued existence as a possible milch-cow for the future.

LET us now turn to the third problem, the problem of the power and the dictatorship of the proletariat, and the policy of the proletarian dictatorship within our country. You may perhaps ask: Has this question then become a matter of contention in our party? And yet it is true; the opposition has made even this question a matter of contention. Even in this question it has begun to express its doubts in a series of attacks and assertions. At first it was only the character of our socialist industry which was made the subject of doubt, then came the doubt as to the correctness of our tactics in the peasantry question, and now the character, the class character, of our Soviet power in our country is being questioned.

up a storm in the ranks of the socialist party and the New Leader editor has been forced to repudiate the refusal to correct its Stalin story in its issue for September 11.
BUT the New Leader sins again and in the worst possible way. It tries to confuse its readers and to do nothing is forced to display an ignorance of world affairs so abysmal that one is tempted to express pity rather than the condemnation which the maneuver merits.

Japs Increase Fleet on the Yangtze River
CANTON, China, Sept. 24. — The Japanese now have a fleet of thirteen warships in the Yangtze river, having recently added two gunboats to the flotilla.
BUILT THE DAILY WITH A GUN.

ANYBODY except the Communist editor of the New Leader will admit at once that a statement by the Communist International has much more authority than one made by an individual and this is the reason why the denial was made by the C. I. instead of by Stalin himself.
BUT the last thing the editor of the New Leader desires is a correct answer to his questions. What he wants is to create more confusion in the minds of his readers and thus make more difficult a clear appreciation by them of the deception he is guilty of.

THE present controversy within the Communist Party of the Soviet Union is neither a sign nor will it be the cause of a retreat of the revolution. Quite the contrary. It is clear indication of its victorious onward march.

ed with the aid of Herbert Hoover and Neumanian troops. Imprisoned after the fall of the Soviet government and sentenced to death, he was exchanged with Bela Kun and others for Hungarian prisoners held by the Soviet government of Russia.
After being in America for two years he returned to Soviet Russia and is now, as already stated, the head of the department for Agitation and Propaganda of the Communist International.

THE New Leader, while it offers to print a denial of the Stalin speech if made by Stalin himself, tries to cast doubt on the authenticity of the denial printed by The DAILY WORKER in its issue for September 10.
THE cable denial was signed by John Pepper and in connection with its twisting attack the New Leader asks the following questions and urges its readers to ask them of The DAILY WORKER.

It must be observed that the idea that our state is not a workers' state, that it is no longer the state of proletarian dictatorship, is gaining continual ground in oppositional circles. It might be thought that this sentence simply escaped from Comrade Trotsky in the heat of discussion. This is possible; but in this case it would have been his duty to withdraw the assertion afterwards. This was the more necessary that I drew attention in my speech at the plenum of the C. C. to this sentence, as something entirely foreign to us.

REPEAT that it is possible for Comrade Trotsky to have made this assertion in the heat of the discussion. But this sentence does not stand alone. An article will appear in the next number of the "Bolshevik," by Comrade Ossovsky of the opposition. I have already made mention of another article of his in the "Bolshevik" in which he maintained that we should not by any means take less from the peasants than czarism and the landowners took. Comrades, you must accord more attention to this question, for you will well be able to grasp that the question of the character of our state power is to us the central question.

authentic document?
IF the editor of the New Leader is striving to get the truth he would have cabled asking first:
Were the specific statements in question true or false and, second:
Was the repudiation published by The DAILY WORKER an authorized and official statement?
In conclusion it must be said that the editor of the New Leader is about the only person in the United States possessing even a slight knowledge of the revolutionary movement and professing sympathy with it who has accepted the Hearst version of Stalin's speech. His hatred of the Communists has led him into a blunder that the youngest member of the Young People's Socialist League would be ashamed to make.

THE task naturally was allotted to the Agitation and Propaganda department.
In 1924, "Current History" published a long article on the Hungarian revolution with a sketch of Pogany's activities and a picture of him taken in his Red army uniform. The editor of the New Leader either knows these facts and pretends ignorance, or if he does not, is too ignorant to edit even a socialist paper.
The authority which Pepper has to speak for Stalin is that vested in the head of a department of the Communist International carrying out the instructions of its executive committee. The false description of Stalin's statements published by the Hearst press and given world-wide circulation and which the New Leader published as the gospel, evincing a childish confidence in the veracity of William Randolph, was of sufficient importance for the Communist International to take upon itself the task of denying that Stalin, secretary of the leading party of the Communist International, had made any such statements.

It is well-known to the editor of the New Leader that in the discussions which have been taking place in the Russian Communist Party, Stalin has criticized Zinoviev severely. But this is not the question at issue. The question is:
Was the report of Stalin's speech carried by the Hearst press and reprinted by the New Leader, true or false, and also:
Was the repudiation of the statements ascribed to Stalin, in a cable signed by John Pepper and published in The DAILY WORKER, an authentic document?

AS for Editor Oneal's expressed desire to print Stalin's own denial of the Hearst lies, we feel quite sure that he will be given the opportunity. Stripped of its viliification of the Communists and Jesuitical reasoning, the article in the New Leader is not only an admission of a mistake but an admission that the editor deliberately used the lies of the capitalist press to make a case against the leader of the Communist Party of Russia, the Communist Party of America and the Communist International. This kind of a united front with the capitalist press has not met with the approval of the readers of the New Leader and it shows that the working class integrity of the socialist party membership is of a far higher level than that of its leaders—old and new.



help
MAKE IT
One Day's Pay Today!
Clip the blank and attach remittance.

For Militant Trade Unionism
THE DAILY WORKER,
1113 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago, Ill.
Here's \$..... to keep The DAILY WORKER.
Name
Street
City State