

SUPPLEMENT TO THE SOCIALIST WORLD

CHICAGO, ILL., JULY, 1920

THE SOCIALIST PARTY

Executive Secretary,
OTTO BRANSTETTER,

220 South Ashland Blvd., Chicago.

NAT'L EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Wm. M. Brandt, 940 Chouteau Ave.,
St. Louis, Mo.

John Hagel, Box 777, Oklahoma
City, Okla.

Wm. H. Henry, 40 Baldwin Block,
Indianapolis, Ind.

Bertha H. Maily, 7 East 15th St.,
New York City.

Edmund T. Melms, 528 Chestnut
St., Milwaukee, Wis.

James Oneal, 1127 Putnam Ave.,
Brooklyn, N. Y.

Geo. E. Roewer, Jr., 20 Pemberton
Square, Boston, Mass.

NAT'L COMMITTEE ON APPEALS

Jacob Panker, 156 Second Avenue,
N. Y. C., Chairman.

S. John Block, 198 Broadway, N. Y.
C., Secretary.

Chicago, Ill., June 14, 1920.

To the National Executive Committee.
Dear Comrades:

I submit for your consideration the
following:

National Executive Committee

Motion No. 4.

By ONEAL.

"That action upon the request for
reaffiliation made by the South Slavic
Socialist Federation be postponed un-
til the July 10th meeting of the Na-
tional Executive Committee."

Comment.

"It is not quite clear to me as to
just on what grounds this Federation
stood in withdrawing from the party
several years ago, and this, I think,
could only be cleared up in our Com-
mittee meeting; the proposition can
just as well rest for the few weeks
intervening between now and our July
10th meeting, and that meeting will
no doubt be in a much better position
to act intelligently upon the question
than we are today through correspon-
dence."

Ballot enclosed. Vote closes June
26th.

Fraternally submitted,

OTTO BRANSTETTER,
Executive Secretary.

Chicago, Ill., June 21, 1920.

To the National Executive Committee.
Dear Comrades:

I report as follows:—

National Executive Committee

Motion No. 1.

By HAGEL.

Submitted June 8th. Vote closed
June 20th.

"That the emergency committee
of the National Executive Committee
be authorized to act as or to select a
committee to confer with the Labor
Party for the following reasons:

"To present to the Labor Party our
Platform and Declaration of Princi-
ples:

"To present to the Labor Party the
necessity and desirability for work-
ing-class unity on the political field, and
the action of the Socialist Party to
accomplish same, as expressed in
unity resolution of National Conven-
tion."

VOTE

Voting "Yes": Hagel (1).

Voting "No": Brandt, Henry, Mail-
ly, Melms, Oneal, Roewer (6).

Motion lost.

National Executive Committee

Motion No. 2.

Executive Question.

"Shall the request for reaffiliation
made by the South Slavic Socialist
Federation be granted?"

Submitted June 8th. Superseded by
National Executive Committee Motion
No. 4 by Brandt, to postpone action
until the meeting of your committee,
July 10th.

Comment.

Henry:—"I am in favor of holding
the motion for reaffiliation of the
South Slavic Federation till the meet-
ing of the Committee on July 10th.
The time is not far off, and I think it
would be well for the committee to
discuss the matter in session before
action."

Oneal:—"I am opposed to granting
the request for reaffiliation made by
the South Slavic Federation until we
can get more information at the De-
troit meeting. If your executive ques-
tion is supported by a majority of the
committee, I request that the matter
be held in abeyance until we can dis-
cuss it in session.

"My reason for this is that the party
is definite in its stand on modern
wars, and no matter what are the ex-
cuses urged in favor of supporting the
wars of the diplomats and imperial-
ists, no affiliated section can remain
in the party that does support them.
From the documents submitted by the
South Slavic Federation, it is evident
that it has favored one imperialist al-
liance in the war. It is my opinion
that no section should be admitted
to the party that has taken this stand
without a special pledge never to sup-
port a war of capitalist governments.
We owe it to ourselves as a matter of
principle to follow this rule, and we
owe it to the many comrades who
have suffered for their convictions by
serving terms in prison. I hope your
question will be answered in the nega-

tive until we can get more informa-
tion from this federation."

(Comment by Brandt submitted
June 14th.)

National Executive Committee

Motion No. 3.

By ONEAL.

Submitted June 10th. Vote closed
June 22nd.

"That action upon the King inquiry
be postponed until the July meeting
of the committee."

Voting "Yes": Henry, Maily, Melms,
Oneal, Roewer (5).

Voting "No": Hagel (1).

Not heard from: Brandt (1).

Motion carried

(Comment by Maily submitted
June 8th.)

Fraternally submitted,

OTTO BRANSTETTER,
Executive Secretary.

Chicago, Ill., June 24, 1920.

To the National Executive Committee.
Dear Comrades:

I herewith submit the following cor-
respondence:—

Davenport, Iowa, June 23, 1920.

National Office, Socialist Party of
America, Chicago, Ill.

Attention—Comrade Branstetter.

Dear Comrades:

I enclose you herewith a copy of
Resolution adopted at our special
meeting last night for the further con-
sideration of the National Executive
Committee. Local Scott County takes
this means of letting the National
Executive Committee know at this
time what its sentiments are in this
respect, in order that the Committee
may have all the time possible for its
consideration, and action, if any is
thought best by you.

Our State Convention will not be
held until July 4th, which time would
be too late for any resolution passed
by the State Convention to do any
good in the present situation.

Please wire us, collect, giving us in-
formation as to what action, if any, is
being taken by the National Executive
Committee on this matter, since, if the
National Executive Committee is act-
ing favorably on this matter, we de-
sire to elect our delegates at our next
meeting next Monday night.

Please send us some copies of the
Socialist platform adopted at the last
convention held in New York City.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) **MICHAEL T. KENNEDY.**
701 W. 3rd St.

Resolution of Local Scott County,
Socialist Party

Whereas, several organizations com-
posing part of the radical forces in the

(Continued on Page 3)

Contributions National Campaign Fund

MONTH OF JUNE, 1920

One-Hour-Wage Fund.					
Name and Address—	Am't.				
John W. Ring, Waterbury, Conn.	60.88	Ed. Johnson, Hermitage, Ark.50	Local Cook Co. (O'Hare meeting coll.).....	125.00
E. J. Gurry, La Grande, Ore.	41.20	Stefen Kubovciak, N. E. Canton, Ohio	18.20	Swedish Soc. Woman's Society of Lake View, Chicago, Ill.....	70.00
R. Schwemmer, Johnstown, N. Y.	30.00	Matti Holm, Wilmington, Del.	9.25	A Friend, Hoquiam, Wash... ..	1.00
Gust, Hausedowsky, No. Chicago	6.00	F. W. Rawst, Chicago.....	8.07	F. W. Halet, Death Valley, Calif.	10.00
Edw. J. Dutton, New York City	10.00	13 Wd. Br., Ellen Persons ..	18.15	Fred. Giebelhausen, Haledon, N. J.....	16.75
C. H. Manning, Hardman, Ore.	2.50	S. E. Turner, Peoria, Ariz....	3.00	Local Cook Co. (Stedman meeting coll.).....	125.00
Walter Price, Outlook, Wash.	37.50	Emil Frimwald, Horicon, Wis.	12.00	Robert W. Smith, Beckley, W. Va.....	1.00
Mrs. A. J. Lutch, San Anthony, Idaho	8.75	W. E. Davis, Chicago.....	4.09	Jacob Goetz, Mt. Olive, Ill. (Lafin meeting coll.).....	15.00
Jas. Lundman, Camden, N. J.	14.63	Arthur C. Carney, Kokomo, Ind.	10.00	I. Silber, Chicago, Ill. (Jewish Fed.).....	4.50
Ellen Persons, Chicago, Ill.	13.50	Edmund T. Melms, Milwaukee, Wis.	7.50	Mrs. Victor Annala, Fitchburg, Mass. (Finnish Branch "Saitma")	50.00
D. A. Wright, Watkins, Ore.50	H. F. Kendall, Boston, Mass..	20.00	Wm. F. Miller, Chicago, Ill.	5.00
J. G. Evert, Hillsboro, Kans.	1.00	J. G. Willert, Cleveland, O.	62.06	Irwin St. John Tucker (coll. Davenport, Ia.).....	110.89
Martha Croushore, Fayette City, Pa.	7.50	I. R. Stuart, Detroit, Mich. .	5.00	Local Cook Co., Chicago, Ill. (Waldmen meeting coll.)... ..	75.00
N. Tyni, N. Abington, Mass.	15.04	Carl Moll, Des Moines, Ia.	2.00	Henry Fruchter, Brooklyn, N. Y. (O'Hare meeting coll.)... ..	125.00
R. Kaplan, New Haven, Conn.	24.87	Ruth Stuart, Detroit, Mich.	93.35	Milo C. Jones, Newark, N. J. (O'Hare meeting coll.)... ..	125.00
W. M. Brandt, St. Louis, Mo.	18.75	Walter F. Froemming, Milwaukee, Wis.	16.50	John Schultz, Fairbury, Nebr. (contributions)	5.00
Chas. L. Litson, Utica, N. Y.	27.00	H. H. Freidheim, Twin Falls, Idaho	7.00	Julius Gerber, New York City, N.Y. (O'Hare meeting coll.)... ..	225.00
Wallace B. Watson, N. W. Grand Rapids, Mich.	1.00	Helen Manfreda, Wallingford, Conn.	6.70	Julius Gerber, New York City, N.Y. (Stedman meet'g coll.) ..	74.76
A. N. Saarmann, W. Burlington, Ia.	13.50	E. Heikkila, Florenton, Minn.	6.15	J. Eads How, St. Louis, Mo.	50.00
A. W. Pettit, Wichita, Kans.	2.81	Donations and Collections.			
Geo. E. Moody, Chicago, Ill.	29.43	Joint Agitation Comm., Brooklyn, N. Y. (Berger, Ameringer meeting col.).....	50.00	Martin J. Phunkett, Wallingford, Conn. (O'Hare meeting coll.).....	100.00
F. A. Stetter, Chicago, Ill.	15.00	Slovak Fed., Chicago, Ill.	13.70	A. Sarrman, Burlington, Ia. (Tucker meeting coll.).....	40.00
Wm. Porter, Hoonwald, Tenn.	1.75	Jos. Rholen, Atlanta, Ga. (Atlanta collections).....	155.40	Irwin St. John Tucker, (coll. Des Moines, Ia.).....	24.00
J. Kossa, Charleroi, Pa.	16.15	Jacob Panken, New York City, N. Y.	100.00	Ernest Sherwood, Providence, R. I. (O'Hare meeting coll.) ..	100.00
W. E. Davis, Chicago, Ill.	21.25	E. Bergner, Chicago, Ill. (Claessens Meeting. coll.) ..	28.39	A. Claessens, N. Y. City, N. Y. (coll. Buffalo, N. Y.)....	32.80
F. J. Katering, W. Cedar Rapids, Ia.	7.75	Mr. & Mrs. Wm. M. Brown, Gallon, Ohio.....	5.00	Jacob S. Potofsky, New York City, N. Y. (Amal. Clothing Workers of America) ..	1,000.00
Unknown, Cincinnati, O.	2.25	J. R. Lamoreux, Northfork, Ida.	1.00	Irwin St. John Tucker (coll. Des Moines, Ia.).....	40.40
David Laury, Spokane, Wash.	8.50	H. F. Kendall, Boston, Mass. (Mass. State Conv.).....	40.00	A. Claessens, New York City, N. Y. (coll. Buffalo, N. Y.)... ..	50.00
H. F. Kendall, Boston, Mass.	10.00	S. H. Fish, Shenandoah, Ia.	25.00	H. F. Kendall, Boston, Mass. (O'Hare meeting coll., Brockton, Mass.).....	100.00
N. B. Bedinger, Marous, Wash.	6.00	Madge Patten Stephens, Terre Haute, Ind.	25.00	Frank Gorenc, Bonanza, Ark.	2.50
M. Swede, St. Paul, Minn.	57.94	Mrs. Eliz. Glendower Evans, Brookline, Mass.	100.00	Andrew J. Macdonald, Flat River, Mo. (Lafin meeting coll.)	4.00
Jewish Br., Malaga, Wash.	4.00	J. B. Gay, Columbus, Tex.	1.00	H. F. Kendall, Boston, Mass. (O'Hare meeting coll., Boston)	125.00
Joe Kraulik, Malaga, Wash.	1.00	Claude C. Cash, Terre Haute, Ind.	1.50	Local Cook Co., Chicago, Ill. (Lilith Martin dates).....	50.00
Victor Kivi, San Francisco, Cal.	25.35	Edward Brink, Hartford, Conn. (Local Hartford)....	42.85	C. J. Luca, Indianapolis, Ind. (Waldman meeting coll.)... ..	50.00
Finnish Soc. Br., Lowell, Mass., Box 804.....	17.60	R. M. Reid, Hartford, Conn.	1.00	T. H. Stone, Richmond, Va.	3.00
John S. Warden, Grangeville, Idaho	3.50	M. Shulman, Hartford, Conn.	5.00	Irwin St. John Tucker (coll. Waterloo, Ia.).....	30.00
Peter Sasial, Roundup, Mont.	6.50	B. Marcus, Hartford, Conn.	5.00	Channing Sweet, Denver, Colo.	10.00
Fred. Partow, Paco, Wash.	35.00	Chas. Weinstein, Hartford, Conn.	2.00		
D. Magill, Bridgeport, Conn.	4.00	P. Rosen, Hartford, Conn.	2.00		
S. S. Osasto, Chester, Mass.	13.75	I. Paul, Hartford, Conn.	1.00		
Geo. Wilden, Hutchinson, Ks.	7.95	A. Joffe, New Britain, Conn.50		
H. A. Pohl, Somerville, Mass.	10.00	M. Sklavinsky, Hartford, Conn.	2.00		
I. Spector, New Haven, Conn.	90.55	W. H. Neff, Nona, Tex.50		
Victor Kivi, San Francisco, Cal.	4.69	The Workmen's Circle, New York City, N. Y.	6.00		
J. R. Hefner, Monticello, Ill.	4.00	Helene Von Holland, Chicago, Ill.	1.00		
A. W. Pettit, Wichita, Kans.	3.15	Fred. L. Wewitt, Cedar Rapids, Ia.	14.00		
W. H. Fletcher, Hadley, Pa.	2.00	A Philadelphia Friend, Philadelphia, Pa.	200.00		
Jasper Graham, Rexford, Mont.	19.00	R. W. Gile, Bow, Wash.	10.00		
R. Hilgendorf, Holzon, Wis.	11.81				
John W. Willert, Cleveland, O.	9.96				
J. A. Rivers, Ludlow, Vt.	3.50				
R. B. Hostetler, Hagerstown, Md.	24.00				
H. B. Kinmoutch, Mt. Vale, N. J.	7.50				
S. S. Osasto, Hibbing, Minn.	11.50				

Agnes Hastings, Denver, Colo.	1.00
Slovak Fed.....	26.15
Wm. H. Conner, Arden, Del..	5.00
Chas. Schenck, Philadelphia, O'Hare Meeting	100.00
B. L. Bishop, Conway Spgs., Kansas	5.00
H. Askell, Finnish Federation	150.64
Martin Plunkett (O'Hare Meeting, New Haven)	100.00
Sick & Death Benefit Fund, Br. I, Boston, Mass.	7.00
Bohemian Branch, New Bed- ford, Mass.	5.00
Total	\$ 5456.11
May Receipts Previously Acknowledged	6250.20
Total to June 30,	\$11,706.31

(Continued from Page 1)

United States, to-wit:—The Committee of 48, the World War Veterans, The National Labor Party, and The Nonpartisan League have called a joint convention to take place at Chicago, July 10-12, 1920, for the purpose of nominating presidential and other candidates, and intend the inauguration of a national political campaign aside from and in addition to the Socialist candidates and platform now in the field,

And whereas, we believe that any such a move will surely scatter, divide and render futile all the efforts of the American proletariat at the polls in November,

And whereas, the platform and present political program of the Socialist Party fully covers all the demands and ideals of all the radical forces in the United States;

Therefore be it resolved, that the members of Local Scott County in extra session properly and legally called, do hereby recommend and urge the National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, to take such immediate action and do all in its power to bring these facts before the joint convention at Chicago, in such a way and with such an earnest throng of Socialist delegates as to prevent the placing in the field of a fourth party ticket, now when the prospects are so bright, our needs so great and the crisis so near, for working class success through the Socialist Party, with its magic, Martyr Candidate Eugene V. Debs and its splendid declaration of principles and demands in its platform.

And whereas in this manner we would be enabled to present a solid, unbroken front to the enemy, instead of dividing our forces, splitting our own ranks, or being "divided and slain," and paving the way for the success of the enemy as per the joint convention program.

Adopted June 22, 1920.

Committee:—Michael T. Kennedy,
H. A. Wise, Henry A. Behm.

June 24, 1920.

Michael T. Kennedy,
701 W. 3rd St.,
Davenport, Iowa.

Dear Comrade:

I have the communication of your committee containing resolution adopted by Local Scott County of the Socialist Party regarding the sending of Socialist delegates to the Committee of 48 and the National Labor Party's convention in Chicago.

The matter is being transmitted to the National Executive Committee, but I do not anticipate that they will take the action you suggest. There will be delegates in attendance who will take the position you outline, and there will be a number of influential Socialists, not delegates, who will use such influence as they have in the interest of our party. The sending of delegates, however, by Socialist Party organizations is neither desirable nor honest. You have no right to go as delegates to their convention if you do not intend to support their ticket and their party. To go with the deliberate intention of bolting it in case they proceed with the business for which it is called, would be a piece of political trickery to which the Socialist Party could not lend itself.

Your communications are being submitted to the National Executive Committee, and you will be notified immediately of any action taken thereon.

Fraternally yours,

(Signed) OTTO BRANSTETTER,
Executive Secretary.

Chicago, Ill., July 1, 1920.

To the National Executive Committee.
Dear Comrades:

I herewith submit further correspondence relating to the application from the South Slavic Socialist Federation for affiliation with the Socialist Party:—

Chicago, June 26, 1920.

Socialist Party of America,
Otto Branstetter, Secretary,
220 S. Ashland Blvd., Chicago, Ill.

Dear Comrade:

Thanks for the information you gave me the other day regarding the outcome of the vote on National Executive Committee Motion No. 2, June 1, 1920, relative to the reaffiliation of the South Slavic Federation.

From the comments made in this connection by Comrade Henry and Comrade Oneal, I take it that the question of our reaffiliation might be discussed at the National Executive Committee meeting July 10, 1920, in Detroit, Michigan, as some further information is needed.

These two comments urged me to write Comrade Henry a letter, of which a copy I am enclosing herewith for your general information.

Besides this, I am willing to appear

before any committee that your National Executive Committee may appoint to look into this matter, and will gladly give all information I can. For this purpose I will be on that date in Detroit, Mich.

Fraternally,

(Signed) FRANK PETRICH,
Secretary.

(COPY)

Chicago, Ill., June 26, 1920.

Dear Comrade Henry:

You will please excuse me for writing you this letter. I would not molest you were it not for the cause of Socialism and the organization I represent.

I have been informed by Comrade Branstetter, Secretary of the Socialist Party, of the outcome of vote on Motion No. 2, June 1, 1920, regarding the reaffiliation of our Federation with the Socialist Party.

I note that among seven members of your National Executive Committee voting upon the motion, 1 voted "yes" and 6 "no," and thus the motion was lost.

I also note your comment and the comment of Comrade Oneal, saying that the question of our reaffiliation be discussed at your Executive Committee meeting, July 10, 1920, in Detroit, Michigan, as some further information is needed.

Those two comments urged me to write you this letter, thinking, that I may be able to add some to the statement already submitted and upon which your Committee voted down the question.

Before going any further, allow me to say a few words in regard to Comrade Oneal's comment.

Comrade Oneal's comment would imply that the motive of the South Slavic Federation in "supporting the diplomatists and imperialists in a modern war" was solely a support of what was in the war for the diplomatists and imperialists themselves; this, of course, is not so. In like manner the Communists are trying to interpret the political action of the Socialist Party. They, too, say that political action is a bourgeois instrument, and that it serves for their interests only.

In the statement submitted to the National Executive Committee, it is clearly said that "our interests are not those of the Allies"; nevertheless, we sided with them as Marx and Engels did in some previous wars (Marx, for instance, was on the side of the United States in the war with Mexico), which were according to that time no less "modern" and "imperialistic" than the last one. It all depends on what one may call "modern" and what is more—how much of political significance one is willing to attribute to such wars, be it that they are called "modern" or otherwise.

We were against the war then, as we are against it today. But the war came in spite of our opposition. The question then arose what it shall be done now, and in questioning ourselves, we could not believe that passivity in such a crisis is a virtue of Socialism; we thought such tactics erroneous because it does not allow to exploit the situation in the best interests of international Socialism. There were many problems the war had to settle—problems in which the working class had interests.

Of course, our thought was wrong because we were in minority—and as a rule the minorities are always "wrong." But Marx was not wrong when he sided with the United States against Mexico, because the United States did exactly what Marx expected they would do. We were not so lucky as was Marx, because the Allies did not fulfill their promises—and so we were now doubly wrong. But as to the motives—there could be no question that they were socialistic.

As we were wrong in the Party, we were endeavoring to be right outside of the Party. We were sincere.

There were many in the Party that thought as we did and stayed in the Party; there were individuals and groups who sided and supported the Allies and remained in the Party—not that they sided with the Allies from a socialistic point of view, as Marx and Engels did, but from purely chauvinistic motives; there were others in the party who supported it from purely pacifistic reasons. They were not sincere.

We had in our midst the Croatian section which supported the Party against the war—and I believe this was the only sincere support they gave the party since its affiliation with it; otherwise that section never cared for political work the Party carried on. They were "revolutionist" only, and therefore they joined the Communists. There were other motives one might justly mention here, but it is of no use. If one would go on analyzing and dissecting all motives that were there cloaked in one way or another, you would hardly think worth while to look for the truest Socialist in the Party during the war and after. Surely, the Socialists are only human as the rest of them are—not infallible.

The war, to some extent, is now over. The membership of our Federation, which was grown up in the Party in pre-war times, desires to go back—down to normal—to every day propaganda, which is so sorely needed. They desire to be useful to the cause they have learned to love and are ready to bear burden for it. Will the Executive Committee of your Party allow them to go back as they desire?

Here is the situation:—

In the Yugoslav field of political activity of the working class, there are

now three outstanding thoughts:

1. Straight Socialism,
2. Ultra-radicalism of communist varieties,
3. Laborism.

The largest part of the Slovenians and Serbians are for straight Socialism of the Socialist Party; the Croats are leaning to ultra-radicalism of communists and I. W. W.; a smaller part of Slovenians and Serbians (I am not so sure of the latter ones) are coquetting with the laborists.

If your National Executive Committee fails to recognize the Federation, then the first—sober element must be considered not only suspended from all straight socialistic activities, but driven directly into the camp of laborism on one side, and ultra-radicalism on the other side. The field for a true Socialistic movement among our nationality would thus be wiped out.

But if the Federation becomes again a part of the Socialist Party, the laborists would become impossible and the ultra-radicals would be checked by a sound political propaganda and education, because the Serbian comrades, who are always mixing with them, would surely help bring about this change.

Comrade Henry, will you please bring these facts to the attention of the rest of the members of the National Executive Committee when it meets in Detroit?

Hoping this letter will be received by you in the spirit in which it was written, and thanking you for what ever help you may be able to give so the situation might better be understood, I am,

Very sincerely yours for Socialism,
(Signed) FRANK PETRICH,
Secretary, Yugoslav Socialist Federation.

July 1, 1920.

Frank Petrich,
3629 W. 26th St.,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Comrade:

I am in receipt of copy of the communication sent to Comrade William H. Henry and same will be presented to the National Executive Committee at its meeting in Detroit commencing July 10th.

I note that you intend to be in Detroit on that date, and the Executive Committee will be very glad to have you appear before them when your application is under consideration.

With best wishes, I am

Fraternally yours,
(Signed) OTTO BRANSTETTER,
Executive Secretary

I herewith submit report of Comrade Joseph Bearak, Party representative on the Executive Committee of the Jewish Federation:—
National Executive Committee,
Socialist Party,
220 S. Ashland Blvd., Chicago, Ill.
Comrades:

In accordance with the notice received from Comrade Branstetter that I had been elected to serve as the Party's representative on the National Executive Committee of the Jewish Federation, and in accordance with an invitation to attend their meeting on June 15, 1920, in New York City, I attended the meeting of the Federation and from what I observed at the first meeting, the Executive Committee of the Federation at the present time consists of three factions.

One faction, and that faction is the majority, is in favor of remaining in the Party.

There is another faction that would like to see the Federation establish itself as an independent Jewish Socialist organization similar to the Bund in Russia, altho they are in agreement with the Party's activities in general.

Then there is a third faction, which at the present time is very small, which is in favor of leaving the Party, primarily because of the Party platform and declaration of principles we adopted at the recent Convention in New York.

The Jewish comrades still believe in using all the old Marxian terms. Their paper is full of phrases and phraseology. They seem to measure the conception of Socialism of the average worker by the conception which the Jewish Socialists have.

At this particular meeting plans were made for their convention to be held on July 1st to 5th, 1920, at New York City. Some of the comrades desired their Executive Committee to propose resolutions at the convention dealing with party principles, and among them were resolutions which would place the Party in the position of being a conservative organization.

Some of the old comrades on the Executive Committee took the position that they had not sufficient time to discuss such resolutions, and therefore, ought not to suggest them.

I informed them that it was not my understanding of the sphere of the Federation that they should recommend certain resolutions dealing with Party principles, and, as a matter of fact, I distinctly informed them that they were trying to establish a boss-ridden convention.

After a great deal of discussion, we finally concluded, by rejecting the suggestion, to submit such resolutions to the convention by the Executive Committee officially. Hence, they will have to come from the individual members who may be delegates to the convention.

I might add that the Jewish Federation is developing into a large business concern, such as publishing books.

Fraternally submitted,
(Signed) JOSEPH BEARAK,
Fraternally submitted,
OTTO BRANSTETTER,
Executive Secretary.