

Watch the label on your paper. That will tell you when your subscription expires. First number indicates the month, second, the day, third the year. The paper will be stopped on that day unless previously renewed.

# WEEKLY PEOPLE

Agents sending in subscriptions without remittance must state distinctly how long they are to run. Agents are personally charged with and held responsible for unpaid subscriptions sent in by them.

VOL. XVIII, NO. 23. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1908. PRICE: TWO CENTS. ONE DOLLAR PER YEAR.

## BLAZING THE WAY

PASSAGES WHICH LEAD OUT OF THE WILDERNESS.

Prosperity Talk and What it Amounts to—The Socialism and the Unsocialism of Wm. H. Taft—The Poor Ye Have Always With You.

Izet Paasha, the associate of Zia Bey who admits he murdered 170 Turks at the Sultan's command, having plundered the nation of \$7,500,000, invested the loot—where? Here in America. Of all countries Izet's unerring instinct told him that, the jig being up in Turkey, there was no ruling class with whom he could so safely entrust his loot as with the "Pillars of Law and Order" who, during elections, divide as "Democrats" and "Republicans," call each other names, and then, after election, meet, and shake hands, and have a good laugh.

When the American heiress, Lillian May Langham, who became the wife of Baron Speck von Sternburg, wedded her noble husband we were informed by the Republican-Democratic press that "all the people rejoiced." Now that the Baron has died we are told that "all the people mourn" with the widow. Whatever such news may not be, it is at least consistent. If the hard-pinched toilers of the land can vicariously enjoy the noble raptures of one of their heiresses, nothing is more rational than that they should also mourn vicariously in her bereavement.

Of all ungrateful "children" to their "loving papa" the children of papa Sultan are developing most ungrateful propensities. It seems that the more condescending the Sultan is to his beloved children, all the more ungruly do the Turks become, more exacting, and more unscrupulous. It begins to look as if the Turks may yet remove their loving papa before he is called to the bosom of Mahomet, and that they will cap their disregard for "time-honored precedent" by disinheriting his "lawful" successor, partition the inheritance among themselves, and smash "Law and Order" generally. There is no telling what ungrateful children may do when they get started—in Turkey as elsewhere.

"Prosperity is returning in a variety of ways," is the song of the Taft boomers, who are booming him like a patent medicine. And they are quite specific with their proof, too. They specify that a large variety of men are returning to work at a variety of employments, in a variety of sections of the Middle West and of a variety of other places. Nothing can be more definite.

Powerful is the shot fired by Bryan into the Taft breastworks in the passage of his Indianapolis address on Trusts. Said Bryan: "Take, for instance, the chairman of the Republican speakers' committee, Mr. Dupont of Delaware. He is the defendant in a suit which the government brought and is now prosecuting. Mr. Dupont is charged with violation of the anti-Trust law. Why should he be put on the committee and then given control of the speaking part of the campaign? That shot ripped a big hole into the Organized Hypocrisy of which the Republican party is the chief exponent to-day.

Another of the masterly shots fired by Bryan at Taft in his Indianapolis Trust speech is where he contrasts Taft's statement that a corporation violates the Sherman anti-Trust law if it sells at a low price in one place to destroy a competitor and at an exorbitant price where it has no competitor, with the statement, made in the same paragraph, that the Democratic plan to prevent such a thing is "socialistic." Contrasting the two statements, Bryan proves that the Sherman anti-Trust law, which Mr. Taft worships, can not be enforced, and that it is, according to Taft himself, unenforced, while the Democratic plan would, according to Taft himself, be enforceable; and Bryan then administers this knock-out shot to the Republican position: "According to Mr. Taft's logic, a plan is not Socialistic which is not effective, but the same would be Socialistic if made effective."

Great must have been the gratification of the chaplain who superintends the chapel of the Morgue in this city the night when the overflow of the city's homeless, who were crowded out of the

City Lodging House, huddled on the benches of the chapel. The Rev. Chaplain must have delighted at a sight, which, according to the logic of his profession, was a proof of the absurdity of Socialism in expecting to abolish poverty in the teeth of the Biblical passage: "The poor ye will always have with ye."

Chairman Norman E. Mack of the Democratic National Committee was full of admiration for the A. F. of L. after a three hours' consultation with President-Editor Gompers in Washington. The "cycles of subcommittees" through which the A. F. of L. organization can reach the people Chairman Mack declares "have made the organization so effective."—So effective in what? In losing all important strikes? So effective for whom? For the capitalist class centered in the Civic Federation?

"Flagrant violation of good faith" is the term applied by the moralists of the capitalist class to some of the recent doings of the Stock Exchange; and sighing they add: "We regret to say it is nothing new." Nor is it anything new to see a worsted gambler, worsted by cleverer tricksters, to sigh at the green table over the "flagrant violation of good faith" by his likes.

With Murphy lighting the fires at the altar of Brooklyn morality, and McCarran posing as the guardian angel of New York ethics, all that was wanting was Roosevelt's declaration that he is not interested in economic questions, his teachings being "plain morality." Thus the coarse nature that declared nothing was so "exhilarating as a man-hunt," like water, found his level.

People prone to attribute big consequences to small causes are likely to attribute the enthusiasm displayed for Gov. Hughes by the "vested interests" to his opposition to the bill that proposed common justice to the women teachers by equalizing their pay with that of the men. It is too small a cause, relatively, for so huge a consequence as the loudness of the voice with which the "vested interests" demand the Governor's renomination. The actual cause is that the Governor, a man so plous that he could not tolerate gambling on the race track, and called an extra session of the Legislature to abolish the same, overlooked the big gambling dens, called stock exchanges, in which the "vested interests" make their "investments."—That's a cause fit to generate that huge consequence.

Do "large timber interests" grow on a man like whiskers on his face? It would seem so from the biography of the "patriot" William F. Vilas of Wisconsin, just deceased. His biographers tell of his studying law and joining his father's firm; of his going to the war where his regiment "saw hard fighting"; of his returning home in 1863 and going into the Legislature; of his being called to Cleveland's cabinet; of his then becoming a United States Senator; and, finally, of his retiring from politics to attend to his "large timber interests." Where and how did he get them? They surely didn't grow on his back.

Moses must have had a keen sense of the depravity and cowardice of the sanctimonious when he made Adam throw the blame upon Eve. On the 25th of this month, quite a number of years since Moses, the Rev. John Hutcherson's exhortations in St. Louis to the sinners that they "come forward to the mourners' bench and seek forgiveness for their sins" were brought to a sudden stop by a young woman, who, stepping forward and laying a tiny baby on the altar, said: "Here is your little burden of sin." The Rev. Hutcherson explained: "The woman tempted me and I fell."

At the very moment when a counterfeiter was being hanged in London, Dr. Johnson relates, his accomplices were circulating counterfeit money at the foot of the gallows. At the very time when Taft is promising woe to "bad Trusts" and Bryan is threatening all Trusts as bad, the Standard Oil is negotiating with the Union Natural Gas Co. for the purchase of the latter's plant. The merger is not yet effected because the Standard Oil offers only \$6,000,000, while the Gas Company demands \$10,000,000. The difference will probably be split, and then Taft and Bryan will be cheered in chorus. So long as the social system of private production lasts, money is needed, and counterfeiters will circulate their wares at the foot of the gallows—and just so long will bad, middling and other Trusts arise and grow at the feet of the Taft and Bryans.

## GILLHAUS' TOUR

GETS UNDER WAY IN SPOKANE, WASHINGTON.

Four Rousing Meetings Held in One Week—Anti-Immigration Subterfuge Shown up, to Discomfiture of Exclusionist Socialists—Presidential Nominee Moving East.

Spokane, Wash., August 26.—The nominee of the Socialist Labor Party for President, August Gillhaus, came to Spokane Sunday morning, August 16, and started in the evening with a street meeting, holding one each evening including Wednesday, speaking to attentive audiences.

Gillhaus spoke forcibly of the necessity of organizing on the political and economic field, also showing up to good advantage the fallacy and subterfuge of Oriental exclusion and the stand taken by the so-called Socialist party at their late convention. For this he was called down by Socialist party men, and asked to verify his statements, which he did by producing the Chicago Daily Socialist and International Socialist Review, to the discomfort of the S. P.'s, who wanted to give a different explanation.

Gillhaus gave good opportunity for questions, quite a few asking the difference between the Socialist Labor Party and the Socialist party. These were answered to their evident satisfaction. There were sold \$8.80 worth of pamphlets and Weekly Peoples at the four meetings. Gillhaus left for Butte, Montana, Thursday afternoon. Committee.

## SILK MILL CLOSES DOWN.

South, Scranton, Pa., August 23.—The Harvey Silk Manufacturing Co., whose mill is located on South Washington avenue, has closed down for an indefinite period. Over 300 employes are idle. Work will be again resumed when business in the silk line takes an upward move. During the idleness of the mill many repairs will be made.

## A PENDING DISGRACE

Jan Pouden, a Lett who was active in the revolution that recently broke out in the Baltic provinces against the Czar, now lies in the Tombs, a prisoner under requisition from the Russian Government. War is not a picnic towards which the several parties contribute goodies. There was war in Russia—with all that that implies. The side on which Pouden fought went under, and Pouden fled to America.

It was legitimate, from the premises of the Czar's régime, for it to massacre its prisoners of war; and it did so, and is still doing so. Barbarism implies barbaric methods. For such conduct this country, neither its people nor its Government, is responsible. The Czar's régime, however, seeks to render this country its accomplice. Not satisfied with massacring its prisoners of war, caught on its own soil, the Czar's régime now seeks the extradition of Pouden. To deliver Pouden is to imbrue the Nation's hand in the blood of the Czar's foes who escaped his soldiery. For such an act this country would be responsible. It

William D. Mahon, the President of the International Association of Street Railway Employes, having been nominated for Congress on the Democratic ticket in Detroit, is given the following credentials by his nominators: "Mr. Mahon is not radical, and his administration of his union has been very successful"—for instance, in the uniformity with which he led his men to the shambles in the Albany-Troy, the Brooklyn, the Buffalo, and all other strikes where they regularly got it in the neck. This last clause does not appear in the credentials, but is implied in a knowing left eye wink that accompanies the document.

None can read intelligently the two-page article of vitriolic abuse dumped upon Mr. Debs by Gompers in his September "American Federationist" without sorrowfully shaking his head—sorrowfully at the thought that such could not possibly have happened, or, if it did happen, could not have the effectiveness for evil it now has, had not Mr. Debs, by his silence, implied consent to similar, almost identical abuse heaped upon the Socialist Labor Party and its officers by spokesmen of Mr. Debs's own Socialist party, and, by his intimacy with such

WILL - FEARST WILL - BRYAN WILL - TAFT



DISINHERITED!

would be a stain on our national escutcheon.

The methods adopted by the Czar's régime in seeking the extradition of Pouden only aggravate the offense that is demanded of us. The papers are not sworn to; worst of all, the Russian protocols are themselves naively, if not brazenly, quoted. The protocols set forth the fact of a state of war having existed at the time of the alleged crimes. We are not afforded even the shelter of a pretext that we know not from what premises the Czar's régime proceeds. The delivery of Pouden is demanded plainly on the ground of his past bellicose activities. If the United States delivers Pouden, the country brands itself a base coward for not having demanded from Great Britain the delivery of Capt. Sems of the Alabama when the Kearsarge sunk the Confederate privateer, and its captain swam for asylum to a British bottom.

There are certain things that civilization should be spared the necessity of arguing. Among these is the sanctity

of asylum for fleeing militants. That away, and all the horrors of the universal empire of Old Rome are upon the world-humanity.

There are things to which it should require no more than to call the popular attention, in order to arouse the popular conscience, and cause the officials to act responsibly. The facts in the Pouden case are of this nature. Whether we be Republicans or Socialists, Democrats or Prohibitionists—whatever differences of opinion divide us, and however radical the differences, these are differences on the plane of civilized man. We all stand upon certain conquests of civilization that we all respect. Among these is the principle that the massacre of the vanquished is barbarism; hence the demand for their extradition is a breath, not of civilization, but of barbarism.

The pending disgrace of Pouden's threatened extradition must be averted. America does not slaughter her prisoners of war. Neither can she tolerate her being made accessory to such a misdeed. Practically all the railroads that cross the State of New York are defendants in an action brought by Attorney-General Jackson charging that they have entered into a contract to restrict the production of anthracite and have agreed upon the price at which coal shall be sold. And yet the directors of these roads are Republicans and Democrats, whose parties have in chorus declared they were against "predatory wealth." Is not the Attorney-General on a false scent?

## DE LEON ON IMMIGRATION.

Calm Reasoning Cogently and Thrillingly Presented.

The large hall of the Young Men's Benevolent Hebrew Association was crowded to its utmost capacity yesterday afternoon when Daniel De Leon was introduced by Chairman Kaminsky to lecture on immigration, upon the invitation of the Federation of Jewish Societies.

It was a quarter to five before De Leon could be introduced on the regular order of business. A hearty applause greeted his introduction, and prolonged was the applause that closed his closing words an hour later.

De Leon took up the question from the economic, the historic and lastly the special Jewish aspect that it presents.

From the economic standpoint he showed that even if immigration could be stopped, more would be lost to the workers than gained. Their attention would be drawn from the real nerve that ached, and which was the real cause of the overstocking of the labor market, that cause being the private ownership of the means of production and the concentration thereof together with the thereupon inevitable displacement of labor. Furthermore, the workers would be thrown backward into the false mental posture that caused so much woe to the old American farmer, who believed American farmers could fix the price of wheat. The price of wheat is fixed in the world's market. Labor, being like wheat, a commodity, its price also is ultimately fixed in the world's market. Even if immigration could be excluded more harm would thus be done to the workers.

But the attempt to restrict immigration was bound to fail. If even in South America, where the old settlers began and succeeded early in excluding immigration, the flood has finally broken down the exclusivist barriers, any such attempt in this country, now, after the current of emigration had cut its bed for over a century, was an impossibility. The destined impossibility of the scheme lies in that it flies in the face of the march of civilization. It was a conquest of civilization to establish the "Right of Emigration" as a civic right. American guns bombarded that right into China. When laws are passed that in their nature are unenforceable, the only practical result is political corruption. Such, indeed, was all that the politicians were after who are anti-immigrationists—they seek self-preservation, the victory of the hour, corruption funds.

Finally, with respect to the special Jewish aspect of the issue, the speaker illustrated that the cry against immigration of Orientals is but a cloak to conceal the dagger with which it is attempted to stab the Jewish immigration—the fear being entertained that that immigration will help to light in America the fires of revolt against despotism which are now lighted in Russia, the counterpart of capitalist America.

The speaker closed with a summary of these points, stating that, for these reasons, the Socialist position the world over condemned anti-immigration and all "backward races" talk and moves, as a crime against the working class, a crime against Science, a crime against conscience, and now, in America, as a perfidious move of ingratitude against the Jewish race, whose refugees from Russia virtually have no haven of refuge other than our country—a life-boat large enough and fertile enough to offer asylum to all who come here to work.

## JERSEY CITY'S GOOD WORK.

Regular Saturday Night Meeting Holds Large Crowd.

Jersey City, N. J., August 24.—The Jersey City Section of the S. L. P. held the third and best meeting so far at Barrow street and Newark avenue, on Saturday, August 22, where its speakers will be found every Saturday night. Members and sympathizers interested in helping in distributing leaflets or selling pamphlets are welcome.

The meeting was composed of a large and attentive audience, who seemed to concur in what was said, judging by the applause rendered. About two hundred leaflets were distributed, and were gladly accepted; three pamphlets were sold.

Watch the label on your paper. It will tell you when your subscription expires. First number indicates the month, second, the day, third, the year.

## LONDON ON POST

SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY WORKERS WIDE AWAKE.

Capital of Ontario Province the Scene of Weekly Meetings—Canadian Pacific Strike and Approaching Elections Swell Audiences—A Wise-Acres Trouble-Maker, and How He Got Worsted.

London, Canada, Aug. 28.—Section London of the Socialist Labor Party of Canada holds regular propaganda meetings on the market square here every Saturday evening, weather permitting, and usually addresses audiences of from one to five hundred people.

On August 22nd it had one of the larger gatherings, as there are now on the Canadian Pacific Railroad strike and an approaching election, both of which help to bring out the workers. F. P. Courtenay, National Secretary was the first speaker of the evening, and his talk was mainly along the line of economic organization from the standpoint of craft unionism. He showed the utter fallacy of such unionism and pointed out the proper form, which would cement the workers into one vast class with class instincts; and then he showed how simple a matter the wiping out of capitalism would be. Courtenay is a promising speaker and a deep thinker, and is always on the firing line.

He was followed by Haselgrove the Section's old warhorse, who said in part that as every original had a counterfeit, so it happened with the S. L. P. The S. L. P. is out to educate the workers to the necessity of forming an organization with the express purpose of abolishing this infernal capitalist system which had created so much misery and so many evils that part of the capitalists themselves were holding up their hands in holy horror at the effects produced. Another party had come on the field avowing the same objects. Haselgrove's argument then was to prove which was the original and which the counterfeit party. He told of an incident which had happened while he was on the road following his business. A member of Parliament got into conversation with him about trade in general, and happened to mention that while in the city of Hamilton he had heard a Socialist speaker state that when the working class were properly organized they would take over the means of production and distribution and the land whereupon to produce. This he, the M. P., considered confiscation. He was somewhat of a Socialist himself, but could not get that far. But he had met one Simpson in Toronto who had been elected to the board of education on the Socialist ticket, and whom he considered a clever fellow. Said meeting had taken place when Simpson had gone lobbying to have all workers' homes not to exceed \$800 exempt from taxes, which he, the M. P., thought was a good and sensible request.

Haselgrove then showed the workers how ridiculous such a request was, coming from a supposed Socialist, who stood, or should have stood, for the overthrow of capitalism. In his explanation he pointed out to the workers that the S. P., whether in Canada or the United States, was the counterfeit party and that any working man who either joined, or supported that party with his vote or otherwise, was not in any way helping to overthrow the present system, but rather the reverse. Haselgrove further pointed out to the workers that if the Socialist party ever secured a majority at a general election, it would be a sad day for the working class, who, without proper organization, would simply be a vast mob at the mercy of the capitalist class, who had such a strong organization that while few in numbers yet they controlled the destiny of the whole people. He advised them to study the literature of the S. L. P., subscribe for the Weekly People, and when they were convinced that the platform, principles and tactics of the S. L. P. were the only ones to follow, to join with it in the overthrow of capitalism.

At this point a person in the audience undertook to get facetious. He had appeared the previous Saturday and wanted to know, Who ran the

(Continued on Page 6.)

# THE S. L. P. PLOUGH

DRIVEN THROUGH ALTON AND EAST ST. LOUIS.

Pierzon the Hustler Rolls in Subs to True Revolutionary Press—How the Glass Works Shield Their Workers from the Contagion of Socialism.

East St. Louis, Ill., August 23.—For the week ending August 22nd, 19 subs were secured for the Weekly People, 2 to the Arbeiter and a like number to the Volksfreund and Arbeiter Zeitung.

Owing to a street carnival in Alton, the two meetings held there were not very successful.

The Alton Glass Works, one of the largest in the country, employing in the neighborhood of three thousand men, is out of commission. A glass blower informs that there is a shut-down every year during the months of July and August in all glass plants. This is done for the purpose of making necessary repairs and incidentally to allow the slaves to recuperate sufficient strength in their wasted lungs to be able to blow out more profits for their masters when the grind begins again. When another glass blower was asked why his organization charged such a high fee to get in, he said that it was done to keep out the foreigners; and he might have added the free born American slaves. The proper name for this outfit should be the Glass Blowers' Job Trust.

I left Alton Saturday morning for Granite City, arriving there in time to witness the Karo Corn Syrup plant at the noon hour. Only half an hour is allowed the poor slaves to eat, but before the whistle blew calling them back to work I succeeded in getting 4 subs.

All the shops located here are surrounded with high board fences, on top of which are stretched two rows of barbed wire, with a watchman stationed at every entrance. Under these conditions it would be about as hard for a Weekly People canvasser to get into one of these places as it would be for a prisoner to break out of prison.

I arrived in East St. Louis in time to hold a meeting last night, Saturday, but had to postpone it on account of rain. I will get the co-operation of Jennings and Bloemsa during my stay here and am in hopes of meeting with success.

Charles Pierzon.

# DIDN'T DOWN THE ASINO

Roman Anti-Clerical Organ Takes up the Suppressor's Gauntlet in Dashing Appeal.

The Roman anti-clerical and revolutionary weekly "L'Asino," which was recently by an executive order debarred from entering this country, issued, in its edition of June 14, the following appeal:

To the Readers of The Asino in America:

Let us briefly review the facts: Last year in November was inaugurated by the Catholic clergy the crusade against The Asino. There were arrests, seizures and prohibitions. Pittsburg, San Francisco, New York were the cities where the campaign against the fighting weekly was most keen, where the censors of morality were working with greatest obstinacy.

Only here in New York, though, our Vanni was bravely withstanding the crusade, facing two trials, in the first of which he won out, while in the second at Washington, the free circulation of The Asino in the country was prevented. It was then that came to light in all its vastness the slick work carried on all over, both at the top and at the bottom, to close the doors of the Land of Liberty to The Asino, which was doing so great a work of education and regeneration among the crowds of Italians driven here by the cruel fatherland to look for bread and work.

The priests and their hirelings used always to tell us that The Asino would never again disturb their quiet sleep, and we at first incredulously smiled, never supposing that the Holy Christian Inquisition would come to raise its stakes in the shade of the Statue of Liberty, which in a day not long gone, the chivalrous people of France offered to this republic of the dollar and prejudice.

After the trial, the New York Post Office first confiscated and then destroyed the thousands copies of the paper that Vanni used to get from Rome.

It is useless, perfectly useless, to inveigh further against this sentence. It would be time all lost, and to us time is dear, because at our flanks a thousand goods are urging us to do things, to act. It is high time to leave the narrow and short field of protests and dissertations

# FOR SHAME, YE MEN!

WILL YE ALLOW YOUR WIVES AND CHILDREN TO BE USED FOR PROFITS, WHEN YOU HAVE POWER TO SAVE THEM?

By Mary Solomon, New York.

Who is he that dares to say that our capitalist brothers do not follow and keep up to at least some of the teachings of the founder of Christianity? Said that preacher of righteousness: "Suffer the little children to come unto me and forbid them not, for of such is the Kingdom of God." Why, do the capitalists not live up to this? To an inch. After exploiting the workmen to the last extreme they have also forced the women out of their homes. Capitalists exploit both men and women to-day, but they are not yet satisfied. They suffer the little children to go to the mines, the mills, the shops and the factories to create wealth, thereby furnishing the means for the establishment of an industrial kingdom for the Capitalist Class.

"Seek and ye shall find, knock and it shall be opened unto you" is also closely observed by the Capitalist Class. By means of the ownership of the great machine the Capitalist Class has been enabled to force the workers into submission. The Capitalists carefully sought after all inventions and improvements made by the workers and wrongfully appropriated them. By owning the means of production they already had the workers in their clutches. But this was not enough. They sought for more and they found it. Competition between the workers, whom the machine was rapidly displacing, forced the price of labor down to the minimum. The workers therefore soon found themselves unable to support their families. Said the liberty-loving Capitalists, knocking at the workingmen's door, "Open and send your wife out, she must be free to earn a living for herself." And the workingmen were powerless and had to submit. "That is a fine idea," thought the Capitalists to themselves, "now we have both workingman and working woman to grind profits out of. Why, this second slave is yet better than the first, she is more submissive, thinks less, knows less, and is satisfied with less than the man is. We will seek again, perhaps there is a chance for more."

So they sought, and, sure enough, they found. They found the little half-starved babes, the little children who hardly had any schooling, or if they had

the chance, were physically unfit for it. They found the little children of the kind that by their own press' assertion, faint in the schools at their desks, and for whom charity kitchens have to be instituted. "Come on, little children," they say, "There is a large field here, much chance for producing wealth for our pockets. We will give you enough to live on in return, and help you out. For of such is the Kingdom of God!" How wretched!

Are the mothers of the nation as well as the fathers going peacefully to submit to, or are they going to unite, fight and struggle against, this brutal, inhuman pressure, this destructive Capitalist system? With our offspring, the foundation of society, enslaved, our last hope is gone, the struggle grows desperate, the day for the revolution is at hand, united action most necessary.

One right is yet left to the workingman—that is, the right to vote. Woman is unfortunately deprived of that right. Fie, shame on you workmen, who wait until the Socialist Labor Party is large enough to be sure your vote is not thrown away, but in the meantime vote for something you do not want, but do get instead of voting for the thing you do want, though you can not immediately get it. I for one (woman though I be!) would act differently. Vote wisely. Remember that the principles of the Socialist Labor Party, the members, and workers for the Industrial Commonwealth are like "a man which built a house, and digged deep and laid the foundation on a rock; and when the flood arose the stream beat vehemently upon that house and could not shake it, for it was founded upon a rock."

Under Capitalism safety is not guaranteed, the position of nearly every member of society is precarious. Capitalism bears destruction within its own bosom. The Industrial Commonwealth on the other hand, assures safety, happiness and plenty to every one of its members. To abolish the one, to establish the other should be the aspiration, the hope, and the purpose of every workingman, woman, and child. Capitalism must be abolished and "twere well 'twere done quickly."

fort does not end up and die in the flaccid and deformed mass of the colony atrophied from apathy; it is necessary that The Asino reach all the villages, all the towns where there are countrymen of ours; and that free thinkers and Socialists join hands with us around that sheet of paper so much loved and so much hated, and all co-operate intensely and tenaciously to have us win the battle into which, with great alacrity, we have flung ourselves, with full conviction of doing our duty.

And our duty we will accomplish to the end, stoutly, calmly, unflinchingly. We will steadfastly remain at our post in the fight, always waving high above everything and everybody the flaming flag which our comrades of Rome have entrusted us with, while around us, in the dark, packs of the enemy are desperately intent upon sharpening their weapons to tear it from our robust hands and trample upon it.

Friends, comrades, our work is begun! Begin and continue your work with the same fervor with which we are beginning and will continue ours. We are awaiting your response with confidence.

Ottorino Ronchi.

## THE SPIRIT OF SOCIALISM.

By A. D., San Jose, Cal.

(Adapted from an old song.)

Fair Socialism! Living still!  
In spite of dungeon, knout and sword:  
Oh! how our hearts beat high with joy,  
When'er we hear that glorious word!  
Hope of each slave who would be free;  
To death we will be true to Thee!

Our brothers chained in dungeons dark  
Are still of all mankind most free;  
And gladly will their comrades pay  
If they, like them, must die for Thee:  
Hope of each slave who would be free;  
To death we will be true to Thee!

Lead on, great Hope, to better days!  
Let Chaos to thy Light give way:  
A myriad souls strain every eye  
To catch thy first bedazzling ray!  
Thou who alone speaks "Liberty,"  
To death we will be true to Thee!

## GOOD MEETING IN BROOKLYN.

Branch 4 Sells One Hundred "Arbeiters" and Many Pamphlets.

Branch 4, Section Kings County, Socialist Labor Party, held a well attended open-air meeting on Saturday, August 22nd, at Osborn and Pitkin avenues, Brooklyn, with Dornblum, Mrs. Chaiken, Koblenz and Finkelstein as speakers. One hundred "Arbeiters" and several pamphlets were sold.

# SMOKE THIS

Put This in Your Pipe and Smoke It.

Falsehood may triumph for a time; individuals may succeed in realizing their ambition for a job—but as sure as the sun rises in a clear sky, so will the truth and honesty of the S. L. P. win in the end.

When you hear a person shrieking for "individual opinion and liberty of thought," etc., in a movement standing for a principle which can not be altered, label him for what he is—a fakir and trimmer.

What are Economic conditions?—The conditions necessary to life and health and enjoyment.

What are the economic conditions at this hour; are they favorable to life and health?

Four-fifths of the people are compelled to pay rent to the other fifth for the privilege of living on the face of the earth, and, having no land on which to produce their necessities of life nor the tools with which to produce them, this same four-fifths are compelled to hire themselves to the other fifth. The chief and most invariable condition of hire is, that the whole product of their labor shall be the absolute property of the one fifth for mere subsistence wages under competitive conditions. The competitor that can work for the least wages is hired in preference to all others, and to do this he must lower his standard of living, or send his wife and children into the industrial arena. We want to alter these economic conditions—do you?

A "Daylight Saving Device" is the latest economic proposal in Britain. By putting the clock forward in summer, work could be performed and finished earlier, with the result of an estimated saving in gas and electric lighting of about two and a half million pounds a year. That would mean throwing out of work thousands of workers, by reducing the output in those two items and indirectly affecting other workers. The economy of capitalism always rebounds against the workers.

The daylight of Socialism must penetrate the now darkened minds of those that toil, before all may work in the sunlight and enjoy life—able to welcome every invention or device to lighten labor and secure the wellbeing of all.

What toads the capitalist class are; especially when they gather together to gorge themselves at a banquet.

The worker will never be given justice; he must take it.

The other day 200 Russian miners were blown to pieces whilst engaged in getting coal, to be owned by the Russian capitalists. We are pleased to know that the owners providentially escaped injury.

The worker only risks his life, but the capitalist risks his capital. Who runs the greater risk?—Sydney, Australia, "People."

## AGITATION IN HIGHLAND FALLS.

Italian Socialist Federation and Socialist Labor Party Hold Splendid Joint Meeting.

Newburgh, N. Y., August 23.—The Italian Socialist Federation branch of Highland Falls and the Section of the Socialist Labor Party here held a joint mass meeting in Mark's Hall, on Sunday, August 18. Mombello was the speaker, and a rousing meeting was the result. The hall was full, and the standing room completely taken up.

The audience readily imbibed the principles of Socialism and the necessity of working class solidarity.

Because of the success of the meeting, it was decided to hold another on Sept. 13. The leader of the hall agreed to give it for the meeting, but before long the hirelings of capitalism found out that the workers were being educated to their own class interests, and forced the hall proprietor to take back his promise.

The meeting will be held, however, if it has to be on the street. An effort will be made to get E. Strobino, Editor of "Il Proletario," for speaker. S. Fazzari, Secretary. A. Sacconmanno, A. Alles.

Committee.

## \$1.00 BOOKS.

Physical Basis of Mind and Morals, Fitch.  
Socialism and Philosophy, Labriola.  
Essays Materialistic Conception of History, Labriola.

# A CRIME OF THE RULING CLASS

THE INHUMAN TREATMENT BY THE LIBERAL PARTY OF THE GREAT CHARTIST, ERNEST JONES.

In the general election of 1841, some of the Chartist leaders had the audacity to do what the Labor Party did at the first General Election in 1900—oppose the false friends of the people. Fergus O'Connor contested Nottingham, and actually beat the Liberal Minister, Sir John Cam Hobhouse, by 1,257 votes to 803. Ernest Jones contested Halifax, the result being: Henry Edwards (Con) 511, Sir Chas. Wood (Lib) 507, Edward Miall (Lib) 349, Ernest Jones (Chartist) 250. History repeated itself in Halifax in 1900, when the stupidity of plutocratic Liberalism resulted in the return of the Tory at the head of the poll. After his terrible crime of letting in the Tory at Halifax, Ernest Jones was a marked man. We are told that there was a coalition between Whigs and Tories at the eleventh hour to keep him from being elected. In May, 1848, the Liberal Government's opportunity came to get rid of a dangerous opponent, and this great freedom-loving party was the means of securing the arrest of a man whose shoes not a single member of the Liberal Party during the last century was worthy to unloose. He was arrested for a speech delivered in Bishop Bonner's Fields, London—the strongest expression of which did not equal those of the Earl (then Lord John) Russell, prior to the Reform Bill of 1832. But Jones was a thorn in the side of Liberalism and must be removed, and he was arrested at the Moseley Arms, Manchester, after delivering a lecture in what was then the Hall of Science, Hampfield. The arrest took place with such needless harshness, that even his wife, who had accompanied him to Manchester, was not allowed to return with him to London, but, alarmed and suffering from illness, she had to travel by herself by a later train, ignorant of what had become of her husband.

The Whig Government left nothing undone to secure a conviction. The harshness with which the sentence was enforced became the subject of universal reprobation, and the press of the country teemed with articles condemnatory thereof. He was kept in solitary confinement on the silent system, enforced with the utmost rigor; for 19 months he was neither allowed pen, ink, nor paper, but confined in a small cell, 13 feet by 6, in utter solitude, varied only by a solitary walk in a small high-walled prison yard. He obeyed all the prison regulations in the most exemplary manner, excepting one, that as to picking oakum, observing that for the sake of public order he would conform to all external form and rules, but would never lend himself to voluntary degradation. Again and again he was imprisoned in a dark cell fed on bread and water in consequence. On one occasion, while the cholera was raging in London—417 having died in one day—this punishment was enforced though he was suffering from dysentery at the time, and he was consigned to a dark cell from which a man dying from cholera had just before been removed. Well

might public opinion gain ground that the Whig oligarchy, finding that they could not break his spirit, resolved on his destruction! But their efforts were in vain, exemplary as his conduct was in all other respects, they never succeeded in making him perform the degrading labor task.

Ernest Jones, in the second year of his imprisonment, was so broken in health that he could no longer stand upright. He was found lying on the floor of his cell, and then only taken to the prison hospital. He was then told that if he would petition for his release and promise to abjure politics for the future, the remainder of his sentence would be remitted; but he refused his liberty on these conditions, saying the work he had once begun he would never turn from, and was accordingly reconsigned to his cell.

As a further illustration of their gratuitous cruelty and petty torture practised towards him, he asked during the period when the cholera was at its height permission to hear whether his wife (who was in most delicate health) and his little children were still alive—and the permission was refused.

My readers may smile perhaps at another illustration of prison discipline as applied to him. After 19 months, he was allowed to receive books to read, subject to the supervision of the prison chaplain, and among the books, the admission of which was refused, were Disraeli's Coningsby, Shakespeare's Tragedies, and Macaulay's Essays.

However, he had mental resources of his own. During his imprisonment, and before pen, ink, and paper were allowed, he wrote some of the finest poems in the English language. The devices by which he obtained writing material were amusing. Pens he got by occasionally a feather from a rook's wing that had dropped in the prison yard. This quill he cut secretly with a razor, when brought to him twice a week to shave; an ink bottle he contrived to make out of a piece of soap he got from the washing shed, and this he filled with ink from the ink bottle when he was allowed to write his quarterly letters; paper was supplied by those quarterly letters, the flyleaves of a Bible, prayer book, and of any books he was, as before stated, allowed to read. But one poem—"The New World"—was composed before he had succeeded in securing ink, and this was written almost entirely with his own blood.—From "Liberal and Tory Hypocrisy in the 19th Century," by C. A. Glyde.

There was almost every type and character in the line of prisoners, men and women, many of them persons who had never faced a Judge before and had no idea of what was going to happen to them.

Johann Jackstrom, a sailor who had deserted from the battleship Rhode Island, pulled out of his pocket a letter from the Secretary of the Navy praising him for making a daring attempt to save a drowning man, Jackstrom shot at a policeman who attempted to arrest him on the Bowery for desertion. The letter didn't save Jackstrom, for Judge Crain sent him away for not less than three years.

Of the batch of prisoners nine went to Sing Sing, seventeen to the penitentiary and eleven to the Elmira Reformatory. The others were disposed of in various ways.

The People is a good broom to brush the cobwebs from the minds of the workers. Buy a copy and pass it around.

# ALL STOLE TO LIVE

CRUSHING CONDITION OF TWENTY-FIVE BRAWNY WORKINGMEN.

Thrown Out of Work by Panic, Theft Was the Only Way They Could Get Anything to Live on—Testimony in General Sessions Court Which Should Drive Socialism Onward.

Thrown out of work, and forced to steal in order to keep a spark of life within them, was the ringing indictment brought against the present system by twenty-five prisoners in Part I. Court of General Sessions, New York, on August 28.

Long before the doors of the Court opened, men, women and children, all witnesses, pressed at the doors. There were sixty-seven prisoners to be sentenced, an unusual number, and in a way it was a housecleaning of the Tombs.

There were more than 200 witnesses and they took up every inch of room in the court room. Each witness was questioned by Judge Crain before a prisoner was sentenced, and it took Assistant District Attorney Garvan hours to run through the line of prisoners.

Then was made apparent the startling feature of the session. From the investigation made by the Court and Garvan it was learned that at least twenty-five of the men were prisoners for the first time. It was the first time they had committed any crime, they said. They were men between 30 and 50 years and they looked like men who had done physical labor and lots of it. And they all said, and Garvan could find nothing to disprove it, that they had become thieves because they had been thrown out of work and had to steal to get money to support themselves.

None of them had taken a large sum of money or used violence. There was no doubt that Judge Crain and the District Attorney sympathized with them and in almost every instance Judge Crain suspended sentence.

There was almost every type and character in the line of prisoners, men and women, many of them persons who had never faced a Judge before and had no idea of what was going to happen to them.

Johann Jackstrom, a sailor who had deserted from the battleship Rhode Island, pulled out of his pocket a letter from the Secretary of the Navy praising him for making a daring attempt to save a drowning man, Jackstrom shot at a policeman who attempted to arrest him on the Bowery for desertion. The letter didn't save Jackstrom, for Judge Crain sent him away for not less than three years. Of the batch of prisoners nine went to Sing Sing, seventeen to the penitentiary and eleven to the Elmira Reformatory. The others were disposed of in various ways.

## Flood the Nation WITH LEAFLETS!

**NINE VARIETIES AS FOLLOWS:**

<p><b>1. THE CAPITALIST SYSTEM.</b> A few observations on it from a Socialist Standpoint.</p> <p><b>2. THE WORKING CLASS.</b> Why it must be the Recruiting Ground for the Socialist Army.</p> <p><b>3. THE GOVERNMENT.</b> This Powerful Agency must be captured by the Working Class</p>	<p><b>4. SOME OBJECTIONS</b> Raised against Socialism by Capitalism—The Answer.</p> <p><b>5. LOGIC OF SOCIALISM.</b> Why it must succeed Capitalism.</p> <p><b>6. CAPITALIST JUGGERNAUT.</b> It grinds out and then grinds down the Wage Worker.</p>	<p><b>7. SOCIAL JUSTICE</b> That will be the cornerstone of Socialist Republic.</p> <p><b>8. HARD TIMES.</b> An address to Workingmen on the Cause of the Crisis.</p> <p><b>9. SOCIALIST UNITY.</b></p>
--	--	---

**PRICE LIST:**

1,000—1 Kind or Assorted \$1.00  
500 " " " " .60  
100 " " " " .15

Postage Prepaid

**CONVENIENT SIZE**

Just the thing for every Comrade and Sympathizer to carry in his pocket. They fill a long felt want. To work, every one. Send for a trial pack. 15c age of 100 at .....

Every Section and Individual Should Take This Matter Up at Once!!

NEW YORK LABOR NEWS CO., 28 City Hall Place, New York

# Woman and the Socialist Movement

By  
**JOHN HALLS,**  
Paris

[This essay is the one which won the prize in the world-wide competition held by the Socialist Women of Greater New York for the best essay on the topic. It is furnished by that organization, to be published in pamphlet form when complete.]

## FOREWORD.

The following pages are addressed more especially to our mothers and sisters, to the woman half of the race. But with special emphasis are they addressed to the woman who toils, be it in family life, in the field, or as is increasingly the case, in the office and factory. An adequate treatment of the subject calls for serious discussion of the greatest problems of life and therefore we make no apology for plain speaking.

This essay will strive to show that woman's condition today is a low one; that whether earning her bread as a wife and mother or as a wage-earner or by other means, her position is that of a dependent, a subject. We shall show that most of the unhappiness that is usually, if not nearly universally the lot of woman is due to this dependence, this condition of subjection. But far from earning the title of pessimists, by briefly telling of woman's story from the earliest times known and by describing the present trend of industrial development, by pointing out the meaning of daily events and of her personal experiences, we expect to demonstrate that there is a bright future for woman, a future where she will not be subject but citizen, not dependent but equal.

There is a world-wide stirring, studying, organizing; a challenge to the powers of oppression, darkness and unhappiness. It is called the Socialist Movement. The subject of this essay is Woman and the Socialist Movement.

That readers may have full confidence in all of the statements we make, all necessary authorities are given. The books mentioned may nearly all be consulted in the public libraries.

In order to properly understand our present condition and what can be, it will be necessary to begin by finding out how we arrived at our present condition; we must trace our history. A woman may ask "Assuming for the moment that our sex is enslaved, that poverty, oppression, insecurity and unhappiness are rampant, has not this always been so; and will the discussion of these evils be of any use?"

In the following brief account of mankind's slow and painful upward march through the ages we shall show that this condition has not always been.

## PRIMITIVE MAN.

The earliest known condition of mankind, discovered by the study of ancient remains and of existing low-type savages, was that of life in small groups, living by hunting and fishing; and sheltered in caves or in rude structures of boughs and mud. In this condition there is reason to believe that there were no permanent marriage relations and that in so far as descent was at all considered, children took their names from their mother. This custom gave rise to the institution that has been called "maternal law." Men and women owned but the primitive stone, wooden and bone tools, and various utensils they had learned to fashion and use. In the conditions most approaching that of animals man knew not the use of fire, nor, in consequence, of metals, and was necessarily but a weak, wandering being before the great phenomena of Nature, storm, thunder and drought. Under stress of hunger he was a cannibal (shipwrecked sailors are occasionally such to this day). His notions of right and wrong were naturally decided for him by his experience and needs (even as are ours in the final analysis). There existed within such groups no more inequalities than exist among the higher animals.

And here we may mention that one of the most remarkable discoveries of the XIXth Century was this, that man's ideas, his morals, and his institutions, such as laws, marriage and property arise out of his experience and needs in obtaining his livelihood, food, clothing and shelter, and in propagating his kind; and that these ideas, customs and institutions, do and will alter and adjust themselves to changes in the manner of getting this livelihood and to the inventions and improvements that induce these changes. The discovery was made in Europe, and used in their writings, by two Socialists, Karl Marx and Frederick Engels, and also in America by Lewis Morgan, to whose studies, including several years residence with the Seneca tribe of Iroquois Indians, we owe much of our knowledge of the life and institutions of ancient and primitive man. It will be seen in tracing man's further history, that this law can alone explain the origin and growth of our modern, nay more, of our future property, political and marital relations.

## PRIMITIVE COMMUNISM (Rise of the family).

To return to our account of man's story. We learn that the earlier changes occurred in the sexual relation and that the enmeshing weakness of the offspring of blood-related parents resulted in the forbidding of such marriage and later to the establishment of groups within the savage tribe, called in the singular, *gens* (Latin). The *gens* consisted of men, women and children, who were descended from the same mother, and marital intercourse amongst whom was forbidden. The marital relation that developed side by side with the *gens*, and was restricted by it, was of course peculiar to that stage and very different from any of our modern institutions. Three or more sisters or women belonging to the same *gens* would be married mutually to a like number of men belonging to other *gentes* (the plural of *gens*). The children of such a marriage under the "maternal law" in force at this time belonged to the mother's *gens*, as did the home and lands. These the wives were at home and all-

important; the husbands only had direction and control in their own sphere of activity, which was war, hunting and fishing. Our savage ancestors had thus, we see, traveled far in point of development from the wild horde we first described. We see that marital relations had thus far brought into existence an institution that became the base of late savage and early barbaric society. For the tribe was composed of a number of *gentes*, each of which had its particular religious ceremonial, land and house property and burial ground. It protected its members whom Morgan calls *gentiles*, elected its official head in peace time and its war chief; but the sovereign power remained with the council which consisted of ALL the adult members of the *gens*. Thus it will be seen that woman with our savage ancestors was the social and political equal of her brother, and within the household, her husband's superior.

To-day woman has no voice in public affairs (unless the begging petition be such), and the control of the household itself, has really passed into the husband's hands; for does he not control the woman's bread? So we see that in spite of our much vaunted civilization, manly chivalry and so forth, relatively to man, woman has lost in social influence and importance. At best, to gain any social elevation she is reduced to the methods of underhanded intrigue. A further stage of family life and woman's condition is marked by the marriage of just one man with one woman, a relation however not yet permanent and in which the woman and her children still belong to her *gens* and the household remains communal, often consisting of several families. This condition was typical of the American Indians until the white civilization made itself felt. At the same time with this family progress, much had also been gained, partly by the men in improved weapons and implements, in taming domestic animals such as the dog, ox, sheep and goat, thus insuring a better supply of food; and in the case of women, by improvements in the treatment of the raw materials brought by the men. Woman discovered and developed the household arts of cooking, pottery-making, sewing, weaving and even housebuilding, while agriculture itself in its beginnings owes much to woman's assiduous care. The fine groups representing American savage and barbarian peoples in the New York Natural History Museum, very clearly show this condition. (Woman discovered and nurtured the arts, and yet the argument for male supremacy ruins, "Woman has smaller brain-capacity and is naturally the less intelligent.")

## THE HEROIC AGE (Transition from barbarism to civilization).

Up to this point the family relation had progressed (unconsciously) as was required by the need of strong healthy offspring and probably by the desire of women for greater privacy; but from now onward, through the patriarchal family (like that of Abraham and of Isaac), through Greek and Roman monogamy (permanent marriage of one man to one woman) to modern marriage, the changing relations were caused by changes in the way mankind obtained its maintenance.

Now in Asia the accumulation of property, particularly cattle in the hands of the men (since cattle management like other non-household activity was man's business) gave the male side of the race an added importance; and as prisoners of war with the greater resources to hand, could be profitably retained as cattle herders, instead of being killed or eaten, the men accumulated property in slaves and so gained a yet greater importance. This was about the stage of development of the Greeks described by Homer and of the ancient Germans who overran Europe. The men now became desirous of leaving property to their own descendants and with the growing dependence of the women (they had to look to the men for the indispensable and ever-increasing supplies of raw material), the men found means to over-ride the ancient "maternal law" and to extend their property at the expense of the communal, *gens* property. They became masters not only out of doors but in what had been women's domain, the household. Children now became their father's own, and took his name. Their kinship to their mother and their membership in her *gens* ceased to be so sacred. Monogamy was established not from any special regard for woman, but in order that she might provide legitimate children to inherit the father's property. *Woman had become enslaved.*

## ANTIQUITY CIVILIZATION, Greece and Rome, CHATEL-SLAVERY.

Men now began to trade and to travel and intermingle more. Also the establishment of important private property had created conflicting interests within the *gens* organization, with the result that this no longer sufficed to control and maintain order amongst men. The old assemblies lost their authority and were replaced by governments and lawmakers elected from territorial divisions by a suffrage where by the new property owners were sure of control and blood relationship no longer counted for anything. Woman having fallen in importance of possessions, now in consequence lost her voice in the direction of public affairs. But look again, this new controlling institution is practically the STATE as we know it. The new property owners had need of a policing body which should safeguard their interests and prevent any attempted resuscitation of the old democratic, *gens* communism; and with the power that ownership brings they satisfied their need. (For a full explanation of the origin of human institutions a perusal of Lewis Morgan's "Ancient Society" is recommended.)

But what becomes of our old friends "the poor ye have always with you" and "things have always been as they are and always will be?"

We have seen in the above sketch of part of man's story, that he has passed from a condition of communism and com-

parative equality, to private property with riches and poverty existing side by side; we have seen that the state is of comparatively recent development, and that mankind existed and progressed for thousands of years without either the state, or private property, beyond his clothing and a few simple implements. The lesson as ever is, that man's morality and institutions depend upon and change with his methods of getting his livelihood. With the introduction of cattle raising and the consequent addition to man's resources; with the continual improvement of implements and the use of metals, increased working force became very desirable. And since it now paid better to keep the prisoners of war than to kill and even eat them, a new morality on that subject arose and the institution of SLAVERY was established. Woman fell from the position of an equal to that of a superior slave. All property fell into the hands of the men; male descent was established together with private property in land, cattle and houses. Strict monogamy was introduced in order to assure children of undoubted male parentage to carry on the father's name and property; the husband having the power of life and death over his family household, wife, children and slaves (see early Rome). Finally this refashioning of customs and institutions resulting from the advances in the manner of getting sustenance is seen to culminate in the state, which has become indispensable to the stability of the new order.

Thus we have seen mankind pass over from a relation of comparative equality to a relation of master and slave, from primitive communism to dominant private property. Could there be a greater contrast? What a REVOLUTION! And all, as we have shown, resulting from the gradual development and change in the fundamental acts of production of the means of life.

Has the action of this law of "economic determinism," as it is called, been suspended? By no means, it operates to-day as it did under primitive communism, as it did in ancient Egypt, Greece and Rome, and under the baronial landlord's dominion of the Middle Ages. As in the past it has produced great changes in our institutions, profound revolutions, so will it yet and finally, as socialists believe, work out to the greatest benefit of mankind.

But we have not yet finished our story of the great advance. We have passed out of savagery and barbarism into civilization. We have seen come into being the private ownership of land, cattle, men and houses, and the total destruction of the old order of primitive communism, save for some vestiges, such as the "commons" which were grazing lands yet owned and used by the people in common. Also the state has arrived with its police-powers. The monogamous family has been established with woman become the begetter of children of such undoubted male parentage as to be suitable heirs to the father's fame and his recently acquired property, and besides that she is the guardian of his household, in fact the head slave (so said Demosthenes).

But there was a yet more momentous innovation. The institution of private property, commerce, and of chattel-slavery had destroyed the fraternal unity of the old communal society, the *gens*, and had established CLASSES. In ancient Greece were citizens, subdivided and politically influential according to income, and helots or chattel slaves. In Ancient Rome were patricians, rich and poor freemen (plebeians), and again slaves. Thus we see the beginnings of classes and in consequence, of CLASS STRUGGLES. Plebeians fought patricians; these two in turn fought the slaves. There is reason to believe that Christianity had its origin in a movement of the poor free-men and slaves to win their emancipation. The institution of chattel-slavery branded labor as degrading and shameful. Since idleness breeds vice and weakness, Greece and Rome were supplanted by those hardy Gothic barbarians who were as yet uncorrupted by the influence of wealth not earned by honest labor. True, in Rome woman did momentarily regain some of her former dignity, but in the long run the general downfall involved woman also; in fact when the reaction against voluptuous luxury came, Christian asceticism (mortifying of the flesh) held woman responsible for man's troubles; (see the early Christian fathers, Tertullian, Origen, St. Paul, etc.) Said Tertullian, "The sentence of God on this sex of yours lives in this age—the guilt must of necessity live too. You are the Devil's gateway; you are the unsealer of that forbidden tree; you are the first deserter of the divine law; you are she who persuaded him whom the Devil was not valiant enough to attack. You destroyed so easily God's image, man. On account of your desert, that is, death, even the son of God had to die" (from "Woman, Her Position and Influence in Ancient Greece and Rome" by Prof. Donaldson, M.A., LL.D.); and again "Woman! thou oughtest always to walk in mourning and rags, thine eyes filled with tears of repentance, to make men forget that thou hast been the destruction of the race. Woman! thou art the gate of Hell" ("Woman, in the Past, Present and Future," by August Bebel). Hear also St. Paul, "Let the woman learn in silence, with all subjection, but suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence."

## THE MIDDLE AGES (Serfdom).

With the downfall of the classic civilization of Greece and Rome, based on slave-labor, we have reached a new era called variously, the age of faith, the middle or dark ages, or the feudal epoch. It was the time of the iron-clad knight, of the gloomy castle, the torture chamber, the attempted ecclesiastical dominion and of the crusades. In such a brutal system, where the baron's "rights" held no vassal's home sacred, how could already dependent woman become aught else than an illogical, emotional "child of a larger growth" and in extreme cases, a debased, slant-browed, turnip-hoed, field animal or that martyred shadow of womanhood, a nun.

The best that could be said or done for woman was to represent her either as the suffering mother Mary, or the "ladye faere" (read toy) of some more or less gallant knight.

During the decline of Rome the great estates had fallen into decay, and the slaves becoming unprofitable, were allowed to run free and to live upon small holdings they cultivated.

Now the military chieftains of the barbarian conquerors of Rome quickly acquired hereditary rights and titles of nobility. They assumed lordship and finally ownership over the lands under a shallow pretence of protecting the cultivators, and compelled these to pay them tribute, to work for them on certain days, and to render military service whenever called upon. These serfs could no more leave the land than the chattel-slave could leave his owner. These cultivators, these who worked and fed the feudal lord and his brutal soldiery became known as SERFS; and the workingmen and women of to-day who know of these things, feeling some sympathy of kinship with the toilers of the middle ages, call that time and condition SERFDOM.

## THE FEUDAL SYSTEM.

The serfs were vassals of the barons. The barons were vassals of the most powerful noble, the King. Here we have the classes again, the toiling wretched serfs and the idle, brutal, landowning lords, both lay and ecclesiastical, with the too evident opposing interests and in consequence occasional revolts of the serfs met by bloody suppression.

## ORIGIN OF THE BOURGEOISIE.

However around the feudal castle there gradually gathered little communities of craftsmen and merchants, and these also suffering pillage and oppression at the baron's hands proceeded to band together for their mutual protection. They either by purchase or by force of arms succeeded in obtaining charters for their cities, freeing them from baronial interference, and thus opposing a serious class opposition to the nobles. While the nobles mutually decimated one another by wars, the independent craftsmen of that time, so skilled of hand (for then there did not yet exist the wonderful machinery which we use to-day, and tools were simple, and in consequence gave rise to no great manufactures) were building the magnificent cathedrals, yet the wonder of the world, and producing rich stuffs, woollens and also metal tools and weapons which they exchanged with the precious metals, spices and silks of the east. The enterprising merchants sent ships and explorers all over the known world and presently discovered America and the sea-route around the Cape of Good Hope to India.

## THE REFORMATION (the Renaissance).

Thus extending their markets and commerce the artisans and merchants increased in wealth and enlightenment, making possible that famous 16th century rebirth of science and of art; at the same time their growing power made itself felt in religion and in politics. They resisted more strenuously the impositions and restrictions of the nobles and the king.

The great mediæval church stood always for the king and nobles, for its bishops and cardinals were themselves feudal lords, owned lands and ruled serfs, thus profiting materially by the dominion of the nobles. The protestant movement afforded the merchant and artisan class a spiritual enthusiasm and a further spur to aggressive action, and so strong did the towns folk come to feel themselves to be, that in England in Cromwell's time they were able to impose their will and interests upon the rest of the people, thus compelling the landed nobles to cede first place.

Woman, however, during all these centuries had been closely confined to housework, was not allowed to participate at all in public affairs and consequently was physically enfeebled and her mind had naturally adapted itself to the narrow and routine nature of her home conditions. During this period woman figures in the historic records but little; (kings' mistresses apparently claiming most attention)

(To Be Continued.)

## THE BURNING QUESTION OF TRADES UNIONISM

Price  
Five  
Cents

A Lecture Delivered at  
Newark, New Jersey, on  
April 21st, 1904, by  
**DANIEL DE LEON**



NEW YORK LABOR NEWS CO.

NEW YORK, N. Y.

**WEEKLY PEOPLE**

28 City Hall Place, New York.  
P. O. Box 1576. Tel. 129 New York.  
Published every Saturday by the  
**SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY.**  
Paul Augustine, National Secretary.  
Adolph Orange, National Treasurer.

Entered as second-class matter at the  
New York Post Office, July 13, 1900.  
Owing to the limitations of this office,  
correspondents are requested to keep a copy  
of their articles, and not to expect them to  
be returned. Consequently, no stamps  
should be sent for return.

**SOCIALIST VOTE IN THE UNITED STATES.**

In 1888	2,068
In 1892	21,157
In 1896	36,664
In 1900	74,191
In 1904	34,172

Subscription Rates: One year, \$1.00;  
six months, 50c.; three months, 25c.

All communications for the Weekly  
People, whether for editorial or business  
departments, must be addressed to: The  
Weekly People, P. O. Box 1576, New York  
City.

Subscribers should watch the labels on  
their papers and renew promptly in order  
not to miss any copies.

Subscribers will begin to get the paper  
regularly in two weeks from the date when  
their subscriptions are sent in.

**SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1908.**



**SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY  
PRESIDENTIAL TICKET.**

**For President:**  
**AUGUST GILLHAUS,**  
Engineer, New York, as Proxy for  
**MORRIE E. PRESTON,**  
Now in the Nevada State Penitentiary  
for the legitimate exercise of the right  
of self-defense on picket-duty, and  
whom delicacy prevents from personally  
appearing on the ballot.

**For Vice-President:**  
**DONALD L. MUNRO,**  
Machinist, Virginia.

We owe allegiance to the State: but  
deeper, truer, more,  
to the sympathies that God hath set  
within our spirit's core;  
Our country claims our fealty; we grant  
it so, but then  
Before Man made us citizens, great Na-  
ture made us men.

—LOWELL.

**FANATICS! ONCE MORE.**

The nomination of Preston by the  
Socialist Labor Party convention has  
opened the sluices for a fresh set of  
cries of "Fanaticism!" and "Fanatics!"  
Does the S. L. P. nominate a candidate  
whose condition sums up the sound  
principle—"No picketing, no Union; no  
Union, no Socialist Republic"—every  
believer in hocus pocus as a social sol-  
vent grows "Fanaticism!"

Does the S. L. P. insist that dickers  
and deals with Democratic and Repub-  
lican politicians are corrupt, corrupting  
and debasing to the Movement?—every  
worshipper at the shrine of Make Money  
While the Sun-Shines sets up the snarl:  
"Fanatics!"

Does the S. L. P. contend that the  
Labor or Socialist Movement has the  
sacred duty to strive to unify the pro-  
letariat of all lands, to resist all capital-  
ist attempts at raising false race issues,  
and to hew close to the line which re-  
cognizes but two nations to-day, the  
Capitalist nation of Plunderers, and the  
Proletariat nation of the Plundered?—  
every remarkable intellect of the family  
of Freak-Fraud sets up the howl:  
"Fanatics!"

Does the S. L. P. prove that bogus  
capitalist make-money-quick schemes,  
such as gold-brick mines, are not legiti-  
mate sources of income for anyone active  
in the Labor or Socialist Movement,  
and that activity in such lines on the  
part of officers is conclusive evidence of  
betrayal of the working class—every  
obsessive being in the land whose guiding  
star is "Make money wherever you can,  
crookedly in preference," emits a long,  
loud and prolonged yelp: "F-a-n-a-t-i-c-  
i-s-m!"

Does the S. L. P. denounce as an out-  
rage to sense and decency silence to-  
ward the twin iniquities of the pluck-  
me store and the check-off on the part  
of men active in the Labor or Socialist  
Movement?—every dealer in the flesh  
and blood of the proletariat quivers  
with wrathful: "Fanatics!"

Does the S. L. P. expose as cowardly  
the shutting the eyes to such a crime  
as was perpetrated upon Preston and  
Smith, and scorn as unworthy the lying  
low before such issues, lest votes be  
scared away, and funds for speakers to  
make tours cease to flow—every wretch

with the soul of a small trader who  
sands his sugar and waters his molasses  
furiously screams: "Fanaticism!"

It is to the echoing and re-echoing of  
reverberations of "Fanatics!" and "Fa-  
naticism!" that the hosts of Labor's  
emancipation will be gathered and  
drilled, and that the Plunderbund, to-  
gether with its nasty smaller parasites,  
will be rolled in the dust.

The louder the cry, the merrier. It  
is an inspiring sound to the "Fanatics."  
It is proof positive that their scent is  
true, and the game feels crowded.

**BRYAN AND MONOPOLY.**

From the way Mr. Bryan uses the  
word "monopoly," which occurs frequent-  
ly in his otherwise brilliant speech on the  
Trusts, the conclusion is obvious that  
the gentleman is hazy upon the subject.

What is a monopoly?  
Does a "monopoly" arise when only  
one combine is in the field and is able  
to exclude all the others? And does  
"monopoly" cease to be where two, or  
three, or say a hundred combines exist  
and compete with one another?

According to Mr. Bryan such is the  
test of "monopoly"—does one combine  
render all others impossible, or practi-  
cally so, then there is "monopoly," and  
that is bad; is there no such one combine,  
and are there a number of them com-  
peting, then there is no "monopoly," and  
that insures freedom, democracy, etc.  
That this is Mr. Bryan's concept of  
"monopoly" appears clearly from the  
passage: "The Democratic party does  
not oppose all corporations," etc.

What is a monopoly?  
A monopoly is that which incapacitates  
even one man from exercising his powers  
of production. If but one man can not  
produce because of the power held by  
another, there is monopoly. It matters  
not whether hundreds or even thousands  
have a free field. If all the inhabitants  
enjoy not an equally free field there is  
monopoly interfering with their freedom.

To recognize the Standard Oil as a  
"monopoly" and to deny "monopoly"  
power to a single capitalist is as absurd  
and as pregnant with dangerously false  
conclusions as it would be to recognize  
the "animal" in an elephant and be blind  
to the "animal" in a mouse. They are  
both rodents.

The essence of "monopoly" is that it  
subjects others to itself. The private  
ownership of the essentials for work sub-  
jects to itself those who are stripped  
of such essentials. In this condition is  
the tool-less working class. The individ-  
ual holder of an independent oil refinery,  
harrassed for existence by the  
Standard Oil, is a monopolist towards  
his workmen, an infinitely more  
cruelly grinding monopolist than is the  
Standard Oil towards him, even though  
he has a score of fellow harrasses.

The difference between Mr. Taft and  
Mr. Bryan on the subject of monopoly  
is not that the one approves of the beast,  
and the other does not. They are both  
upholders of monopoly. The difference is  
that Taft's motto declares:

"Blessings upon monopoly—and the  
devil take both the small fry capitalists  
and the whole pack of the working class."  
While Bryan's motto declares:  
"Blessings upon monopoly, provided it  
be the monopoly of the small fry capital-  
ists towards the workers—but the devil  
take the monopoly of the plutocratic  
capitalists towards the small fry ones."

**THE MOULTING EAGLE.**

Newport furnishes a bit of society  
news that is head and shoulders above  
the news that usually blows from that  
quarter. As a rule Newport society  
news is trivial. This one is weighted.  
It is to the effect that the deputy sheriff  
of the town was seen walking leisurely  
the Casino grounds, while the elite were  
at play, carefully "scanning the faces of  
the rich." The item further states that  
thousands of dollars had been collected  
by the deputy sheriff for the town trau-  
sters from the "Summer colony," and adds  
that hundreds of eyes, some curious and  
other anxious, followed the deputy sheriff  
to see whom he was after.

This is a bit of news pregnant with  
sociologic significance. It denotes Pro-  
gress—the Progress made by "the rich"  
in their evolution.

Everybody who knows anything knows  
that, to-day, the word "nobelman" in  
Europe is not synonymous with the  
wealth the word implies and once went  
with it. There was a time when the  
nobelman was a man of means. Even  
then, some nobleman or other squandered  
his income and was in need; never, how-  
ever was he a "dead beat." The then  
social institutions preserved him from  
the disgrace. He retained possession over  
his serfs: the same well from which  
he drew the bucketfuls of wealth which  
he squandered would furnish him later  
with more. Those days have gone by.  
What with the semi-bourgeois revolu-  
tions that took place in European coun-  
tries, and what with the natural process  
of concentration that had preceded the  
bourgeois revolution, rafts of nobleman  
became paupers. They kept their titles,  
but their poverty and their habits earned  
for them the title of "beggars." By that  
name they are known now in Europe, as

many of our heiresses have learned from  
personal experience. Such was the de-  
velopment or evolution of the feudal  
"nobelman."

The Newport society news informs the  
observer that the identical development  
has taken place among our bourgeois  
"the rich." Time was when to be of  
capitalist extraction was to be "rich."  
"Rich" was the bourgeois title of nobility.  
A squanderer might be put to it to  
pay his debts, temporarily. But he re-  
tained possession. The source of his  
previous squanderings was certain to  
furnish fresh wealth at the next regular  
dividends day; in the meantime he could  
fight off the vulgar tradesman and the  
unfeeling Sheriff. That those days are  
gone by, the Newport item attests. The  
concentration of capital has played  
among many a "rich family" the havoc  
that events played in Europe among  
many a "noble family." The modern  
"beggar" of European "noble families"  
has been overtaken by the "dead beat"  
of American "rich families."

This is progress; welcome progress.  
The eagle when he moults is sickly.  
Before the fresh new feathers can bud,  
the old must decay and rot. Our rich  
are rotting. The Socialist feather is  
budding.

**DODGING FATE.**

The third of Mr. Bryan's brilliant  
campaign addresses, the one on Trusts,  
delivered in Indianapolis on August 25,  
partakes of a feature of the previous  
two. It is proof and encouragement to  
the Socialist that Socialism is unan-  
swerable.

Mr. Bryan cites the Republican plat-  
form and Mr. Taft's notification speech  
on the Trust Question; he proves con-  
clusively that the one and the other  
contain simply general statements that  
promise nothing in particular; and he  
justly asks: "If Mr. Taft is not yet  
conscious of what is going on, and not yet  
aroused to the iniquity of these Trusts,  
how can the country hope for relief  
through his election?" With so clean  
cut a background, Mr. Bryan proceeds to  
state the Democratic position. By con-  
trast, the Democratic party is expected  
to be specific. It proposes to extirpate  
"private monopoly." At reading this  
the reader's heart leaps with expectation,  
and the question rises to his lips—How?  
Disappointment follows. The gist of the  
method proposed to extirpate private  
monopoly is to prevent the duplication  
of directors among competing corpora-  
tions. A license scheme that shall con-  
trol the corporations doing interstate  
business is attached to the anti-duplica-  
tion of directors idea. The latter is  
pivoted upon the former. The pivot is  
worthless.

There is no legislation imaginable that  
will prevent the directors of one com-  
peting corporation from placing their  
"cousins, their sisters and their aunts"  
as directors in other competing corpora-  
tions with which merger is contem-  
plated. The only legislation remotely con-  
ceivable would require a swarm of se-  
cret service men to pry into the family  
and other relations of one director with  
another. Even if such legislation were  
indeed feasible, it would be ineffective.  
The identical conditions that breed the  
spy balk him.

Taft may or may not know this; he  
loves the Trust; and he cautiously ab-  
stains from being specific in the mention  
of "remedial legislation"—an impos-  
sibility. Bryan, who poses as an anti-  
Trustist, unqualifiedly, is less cautious.  
He attempts to be specific, with the in-  
evitable result that his proposition  
breaks down upon inquiry—the fate of  
all propositions that are unresponsive to  
the issue they tackle. The final conse-  
quence is that Bryan lands, self-floored,  
on the identical spot where he floored  
Taft.

What but gratification can the Social-  
ist derive from the spectacle of so able  
a man as Bryan concentrating all the  
power of his intellect and of his cap-  
acity for expression in an effort to dodge  
fate—the Socialist Republic—and failing  
so signally?

If the Trust were the unqualified  
calamity that Bryan holds it for, and  
which he correctly proclaims Taft to cher-  
ish with its present calamitous incident  
of private property—if such were the  
case with the Trust, then mankind  
would have no alternative other than to  
bow and make the best of it, as before  
an earthquake. Fact is that the con-  
centration implied in the Trust can not  
be prevented, even if we would. Con-  
centration of production is necessary for  
that amplex of production that civil-  
ization strives after and needs; being  
necessary, it is inevitable. The Trust  
must be collective property. Only that  
will extirpate private monopoly.

The real difference between Taft and  
Bryan is not that the one believes in  
Trusts and the other is against them. The  
difference is, that, both being against  
Socialism, they are each performing, dif-  
ferent stunts to dodge the fate that  
awaits them. Taft prizes the privately  
owned Trust and minimizes its iniqui-  
ties, thinking that by shutting his  
eyes to the facts they will dis-  
appear; Bryan denounces the Trust and

imagines he can escape Socialism by  
plasters on wooden legs.

Neither dodge will stand. In the ripe-  
ness of time the logic of Socialism will  
triumph.

**NEUTRAL GROUND.**

Whichever way one looks at the  
Pouren case the conclusion can not be  
"escaped that all the practices of civil-  
ized nations combine in damning the  
conduct of the Czar's Government for  
demanding the extradition of Jan Jan-  
off Pouren; combine in damning the  
action of Commissioner Shields for  
holding Pouren, and combine in warn-  
ing the higher authorities that this  
outrage has gone far enough.

There is no question about the nature  
of Pouren's "offense" in Russia. What-  
ever the Russian Government may  
claim, and its lawyers the Coudérets  
may repeat for pay, the very Russian  
documents in the case prove that Pou-  
ren fought the Czar arms in hand dur-  
ing the recent revolution. The asso-  
ciates of Pouren who were captured  
were not tried like common felons and  
hanged, they were court-martialed and  
shot. Pouren, accordingly is a defeated  
combatant. How are defeated comba-  
tants treated by civilized nations  
into whose territory they flee?

Numerous events in the recent Rus-  
so-Japanese war answer the question.  
Wherever the Russian fleets met the  
Japanese the Russians were routed,  
culminating with the great naval bat-  
tle of the Sea of Japan. The ships  
that were not sunk or captured, and  
which could not reach a friendly port,  
made full steam to the nearest avail-  
able neutral port. Once there they  
were safe from pursuit, provided they  
dismantled themselves and therefore  
offered a guarantee that they would  
take no further part in the conflict.  
There were some thirty-six such "in-  
terned" Russian ships of war when  
hostilities ceased; and when the treaty  
of Portsmouth was signed the disposal  
of these ships lay, not with the Gov-  
ernments in whose territory they took  
shelter; it lay with their own Govern-  
ment itself.

When Pouren arrived in America he  
was not even armed; no army or navy  
was in hot-pursuit of him as was the  
case with the interned ships of Russia.  
A defeated combatant, he hurried to  
our shores, found shelter here, and set-  
tled down to earn his living.

It never occurred to Japan to place  
upon the Governments of the neutral  
ports, to which the Russian ships of  
war worsted in battle fled for asylum,  
the insult of demanding the surrender  
of the ships. Russia has dared to in-  
sult the Government of the United  
States by demanding the surrender of  
a defeated soldier who fled unarmed to  
the altars of our national independence.

There is but one answer to such a  
demand: "No! We are not barbarians;  
neither are we fools to be tricked with  
false pretence!"

**RESOLUTIONS ON POUREN AFFAIR.**

**Sub-Executive Committee of the Social-  
ist Labor Party Calls on Workmen  
of America to Protest against Con-  
templated Outrage.**

The following resolutions on the Jan  
Pouren affair were adopted at the August  
26 session of the Sub-Committee of  
the National Executive Committee of  
the Socialist Labor Party:

Whereas, Jan Janoff Pouren—a Let-  
tish revolutionist now in the United  
States as a refugee after the suppression  
by the Czar of the revolt by the Russian  
people against his revolting régime—is  
demanded from this Government by the  
Czar;

Whereas, The pretence, that the re-  
quisition for Pouren is on the ground of  
his having committed common felonies,  
will not bear inquiry, and is more trans-  
parent than it was feared, at the time  
of the extradition treaty with Russia,  
that the Muscovite government would  
resort to in order to persecute men and  
women whose political offence consists  
in giving utterance by word and deed to  
their indignation at seeing the country  
ruled by the methods of barbarism;

Whereas, Civilized society holds sacred  
the right of asylum for political offend-  
ers, and the surrender of Pouren would  
be tantamount to the annexation of this  
country to the Czar's private domain;  
therefore be it

Resolved, That this Sub-Committee  
of the National Executive Committee of  
the Socialist Labor Party emphatically  
condemns the conduct of Commissioner  
Shields in lending himself to the schemes  
of the Czar by holding Pouren to be  
guilty of extraditable offences; and

Resolved, That we urge all work-  
men of the land who have the integrity  
of the country at heart to raise their  
voice in protest against the contem-  
plated outrage, and thus, by breaking  
the conspiracy of silence in which the  
Czar's government, aided by the sub-  
sidized press of the land, has sought to  
wrap this affair, prevent the consumma-  
tion of the deed.

**THE ACCOUNT OF THEIR  
STEWARDSHIP**

Financial reports have it that the  
Governors of the Stock Exchange are  
just now sitting on a bunch of net-  
tles with fear lest the confessedly scan-  
dalous manipulations of the stock market  
on August 22 result in laws being passed  
against stock gambling, the same as they  
have been against race track gambling.  
The latter laws having removed its reason  
for existence and made it unprofit-  
able, the famous—or infamous—Brighton  
Beach race track is now to be cut up  
into building lots. The Stock Exchange  
Governors seem to have their worries  
lest the same fate befall a certain valu-  
able piece of territory on Broad street,  
near the corner of Wall.

All of which fearsomeness ought to be  
quite unnecessary on their part. Have  
not the capitalists told us that they are  
a necessary part of industry? Have they  
not repeated and reiterated the state-  
ment that without them the working  
class could get no work and would  
starve? Did they not import Prof. Mal-  
lock to tell us that the capitalist was  
a sort of semi-godlike being, whose func-  
tion in the universe was to "impose the  
intelligence and knowledge resident in  
an exceptionally gifted minority [them-  
selves], on the manual operations of the  
average majority of mankind"? Did  
they not go further and import the Rev.  
Dr. Aked and the Rt. Rev. Foley Win-  
nington Ingram, to tell us that wealth  
was a stewardship, and the capitalists  
the stewards?

Why then this worry? Surely it is  
not for a good steward, with the con-  
sciousness of his good stewardship strong  
about him, to lose sleep over the con-  
tinuance of his job. Such uneasiness  
can be nothing but the quaking terror  
with which a bad steward sees his master  
about to return and kick him out of his  
well-lined berth. Can this be it?

It is the duty of stewards to keep  
notes on their stewardships, as a cap-  
tain keeps the log of his vessel at sea.  
From this log one can judge the cap-  
abilities of the captain. So, likewise,  
from these notes one is enabled to judge  
the quality of the stewardship. Let us  
take these capitalist stewards at their own  
word and call for the account of their  
stewardship. We turn over the pages  
of their diary at random. We stop,  
also at random, at a certain leaf. It is  
the record of this very New York Stock  
Exchange for this past August 12. It  
reads in part (for a longer extract would  
prove unbearable):

Local traction stocks suffered in the  
early trading because of the order by the  
Public Service Commission to resume the  
exchange of transfers on the cross-town  
lines. Wall Street was quick to remem-  
ber that these roads are in the hands of  
the Federal Courts and action of these  
courts in the Consolidated Gas litigation  
persuaded the Street that a State  
Commission's orders would be ignored  
and the upward movement was quickly  
resumed. They did not all recover the  
entire loss, but substantial progress was  
made in that direction. The pool behind  
them is one of the strongest Wall Street  
has seen for many months.

Coppers were weak in the early trad-  
ing because of a reduction of one-eighth  
of a cent a pound in the price of the  
metal, but they recovered at the close  
under leadership of Amalgamated. Smel-  
ters and Lead enjoyed a sharp recovery  
after the early decline. The campaign  
in Lead is understood to be only well  
begun. Absurd prices are being talked  
for it.

Morgan stocks took part in the market  
to a greater extent than usual and Nor-  
thern Pacific is now looked upon to do  
something very soon. Reading recovered  
sharply and the Steel shares were firm  
and active at the close. One theory of  
the recent inactivity of Northern Pacific  
and Great Northern has been that the  
Morgans knew Harriman was selling and  
did not care to make a market for his  
benefit. The fact that they are taking  
up their stocks now would indicate that  
Harriman is pretty well out of his line.

On the curb market Nipissing and Co-  
balt Central were the leaders, these two  
and La Rose establishing new high re-  
cords for the year. The campaign in sil-  
ver stocks now beginning is based on a  
belief that silver metal is as low as it  
can go. It recovered one-eighth yester-  
day from its depression. The Guggen-  
heim shares were active and Bay State  
Gas and Yukon Gold were steady. Gold-  
field Consolidated is quietly moving to  
a higher level.

Selling of wheat by Patten caused a  
break of more than two cents a bushel  
in Chicago. Corn and oats declined  
slightly in sympathy.

In the cotton market there was ex-  
citement when Livermore tried to un-  
load his October options. Just before the  
selling began there was a sharp advance.  
Between high and low was a range of  
forty-three points, but a recovery took  
place so that the net loss for the day was  
only fourteen points. Livermore's opera-  
tions have been greatly exaggerated.  
There was no big pool.

And this they call "directing indus-

try"! This juggling, manipulating, fast-  
and-loose, hot-and-cold sleight-of-hand  
with the wealth produced by the workers  
is hailed as a display of "exceptional  
knowledge and intelligence"! Is not the  
fraud transparent enough? No more  
than the shell-game man produces either  
the bean or the three shells he uses in  
his swindle or the money he pockets from  
his victim, do these capitalists produce  
the wealth they wallow in. The world's  
workers create it—the world's workers  
must have it.

**"ECONOMY HOSPITAL."**

**Why the New York Central Has Gone  
into the Medical Game at Its New  
Terminal.**

By S. D. L.

An emergency hospital, advertised as  
costing several thousand dollars, and  
represented as "complete in every de-  
tail," is announced as one of the special  
features of the New York Central Rail-  
road's \$70,000,000 terminal at Lexington  
avenue and Thirty-fourth street.

This hospital is a clever dodge of  
the road for saving itself from damage  
suits by employes or patrons. It has  
now been in operation several months  
as an experiment, and said to have al-  
ready conclusively demonstrated its  
value to the company.

The forty or fifty patients it now  
treats a month are mainly men more  
or less severely maimed in the work  
of excavation now going on at the ter-  
minus, where a double level track sys-  
tem is being laid. For two years  
blasting and dangerous rock lifting  
have been going on, with serious re-  
sults to those employed at it, and it is  
likely to continue for a year more, with  
the same results. Hence the beauty  
of the hospital.

It is openly admitted by C. W.  
Brown, senior vice-president of the  
road and other officers, that it is the  
great number of accidents to workmen  
in the excavations which has led to  
the installation of the hospital. Al-  
most daily some poor workman, under  
orders from a foreman or contractor,  
is compelled to take risks which make  
him the victim of some flying rock or  
falling timber. That more fatal catas-  
trophes have not occurred is looked  
upon by the officials as a remarkable  
circumstance, due in no part to them-  
selves. Still, the "minor" cases are so many  
and so serious that the hospital is a  
paying institution.

Apart from injuries to excavators,  
trainmen and switching crews are con-  
tinually getting hurt, due to shortness  
of crews and other causes well known  
to railroad men and equally well ig-  
nored by the company. In the bag-  
gage department the men are frequent-  
ly strained by heavy loads, or hurt by  
falling baggage when they pile things  
too high in the endeavor to save time.  
The only excuse offered for these con-  
ditions by the company is "that it is  
the same on every road." That, and  
a shrug of the shoulders, is considered  
justification enough for disabling men  
right and left.

Another reason for the hospital is  
that it gives the road a greater hold  
on their Italian track laborers. No  
other road has such a hospital yet, and  
the men, not seeing behind the scheme,  
are glad to get a chance to work for  
the Central. This only gives the Cen-  
tral a larger reserve army to draw  
upon for track men, thus allowing it  
to treat more harshly and to cut the  
wages of the men it already employs.  
Everything works back to the com-  
pany's benefit not the men's.

"A great economy" is the praise-  
ful way the officials of the road speak  
of the new venture. One secret of the  
economy is that it keeps the injured  
man working, if at all possible. The  
trackman with an injured hand is  
made to take a turn as signalman,  
waving flags with the other; the ex-  
cavator with a mashed foot is given  
a standing job running a freight ele-  
vator; the baggage man with a  
wrenched side is made checkman;  
everybody whose well side can be ex-  
ploited is made to keep on the job  
while his injured side is recovering  
from its taste of company care for  
its employes.

In spite of all attempts to prevent it,  
and all further attempts to keep the  
knowledge secret, it is known that sev-  
eral deaths have already occurred in  
the hospital. During the hot weather  
cases of collapse were frequently  
treated.

No money is ever taken for the  
treatment received here. Yet the hos-  
pital is a great "economy." The officers  
of the road themselves say it, and they  
ought to know.

Behold the disinterestedness of even  
hospital work, under capitalism.

One hundred and seventy-one cases  
is the record for July. Forty-nine of  
these were new cases. All the rest  
were men injured in June or even as  
far back as May, whose hurts were so  
serious as to require continued treat-  
ment and dressing up through July.



**UNCLE SAM AND BROTHER JONATHAN**

BROTHER JONATHAN—I don't see  
the consistency of you Socialists ridicul-  
ing the idea that Capital and Labor are  
brothers.

UNCLE SAM—Probably because you  
are not yet able to be consistent your-  
self.

B. J.—I don't think.  
U. S.—You hit it that time.  
B. J.—What do you mean?  
U. S.—You don't think. You only  
think that you think.

B. J.—But I do think. Is it not a  
fact that Capital and Labor are brothers?  
U. S.—No, sir; not by a long shot.  
B. J.—What?

U. S.—I mean what I say, and say  
what I mean.

B. J.—You Socialists are such con-  
founded sticklers.

U. S.—We Socialists insist upon the  
correct use of terms.

B. J.—Indeed? Explain yourself.

U. S.—Certainly. You admit, do you  
not, that Labor produces all wealth?

B. J.—To be sure. Any fool would  
concede that.

U. S.—Not excepting yourself?

B. J.—No. But what of it?

U. S.—Just this, Jonathan. Labor  
is the producer of all wealth, Capital is  
a part of wealth.

B. J.—Granted.

U. S.—Capital being the product of  
Labor, wherein is your consistency in  
saying that it is the brother of its cre-  
ator?

B. J.—The devil!

U. S.—No devil about it. You muddle-  
heads who think you think are absolute-  
ly unable to be consistent. Labor being  
the creator of all wealth it would be  
much better sense to say that Labor is  
the Father of Capital. There would be  
some consistency in claiming such re-  
lationship, but to say that the creator  
and the creature are brothers is an ab-  
s

# CORRESPONDENCE

[Correspondents who prefer to appear in print under an assumed name will attach such name to their communications, besides their own signature and address. None other will be recognized.]

## WAITING FOR GILLHAUS IN CHICAGO.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—We are anxiously awaiting the arrival here of our Presidential nominee, August Gillhaus. There ought to be some running meetings when he gets here. Section Cook County held a very successful picnic last Sunday. A large and cosmopolitan crowd of Socialists enjoyed the day thoroughly.

An effort is being made to get Pierson to go through this section of the mining district, accompanied by an Italian speaker. If it works out well, much good material ought to be brought into the movement.

D. Rudnick.

Chicago, Ill., August 18.

## APPROVES NOMINATION OF PRESTON.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—We, members of Allegheny County, Pa., in regular meeting assembled this Sunday, August 23, 1908, do hereby express our approbation of the act of our convention wherein they nominated as candidate for President of the United States, M. R. Preston, who is now serving a term of twenty-five years in prison for standing for the right of the working class to act as pickets, thereby furthering the interest of the working class against the capitalist class, emphasizing the fact that if there is no picket there can be no Union, and if no Union no Socialist Republic.

F. Weber, Secretary.  
W. Thomas, Chairman.

## ERA OF RAILROAD CONSOLIDATION COMING.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—A shrewd student of railroad conditions and one whose name is well known to the public and who has had access to the inside history of not a few of the smaller and some of the larger railroads in the United States, gave out this interview the other day. He said:

"I predict that we are entering up a further period of concentration of the railroads in this country. I do believe that after the election is over with some of the most gigantic consolidations of large railroad systems that this or any other country has ever seen will take place.

"The big railroad men of the United States have been looking over the field for a long time with a view to eliminating the weak roads, and I think that the large interests who have these matters in hand regard the present improvement in securities as a favorable time in which to clear up the whole railroad situation. The only thing now in the way is the election. With that phase of our life decided, nothing in my opinion can stop a tremendous series of railroad mergings that will surely eclipse all of its predecessors."

T. W.

Jamaica, L. I., August 21.

## TRAFFICKING ON IRISH FLESH.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—I deem it my imperative duty to expose the disruption of the Irish Socialist Federation by Mr. James Connolly and his confederate J. E. C. Donnelly, his treachery to sound principles and in favor of reaction.

The Irish Socialist Federation was organized in March 1907, and on the 4th of that month set out on its stalwart mission by celebrating the anniversary of Robert Emmet, the Irish patriot. The members were all members of the S. L. P., who were animated by a spirit of class consciousness and eagerness to educate the Irish workingmen and women by spreading the economics and philosophy of scientific Socialism among them. In order to be effective they organized independent of both the S. P. and S. L. P., to avoid opposition, but it was believed to anchor and rest on the Industrial Workers of the World. It was an appeal to the Irish race without race lines, but on a basis of unity with the wage-working class.

Now for the old story—privately owned press. Connolly wanted to be an Editor, therefore "The Harp." "The Harp" appeared last January as the monthly official organ of the I. S. F. But it did not have enough subscribers to make it pay two more issues (a panic was on); and it was proposed to let it die in March when Mr. Donnelly—a wise guy—asked to have it

given to him, and got it.

The middle of May was reached, and the Socialist party convention was held in Chicago. A few bundles of back numbers of "The Harp" were sent on and bought up at the convention. Besides Robert Hunter, the millionaire, gave "The Harp" a hand-out of a few dollars.

This brought visions of wealth to Connolly and Donnelly, and made of them conspirators against the independence of the Irish Federation. At the meeting of June 21st, Connolly moved for a special meeting on Friday, June 26, to endorse a Socialist party. Out of about forty or fifty members, one third showed up. Three of those were buttonholed, and two were S. P. men. With Donnelly in the chair it was a majority. Connolly read his resolution to endorse the Socialist party, pitting it against the S. L. P. for proposing unity, "and why shouldn't we?" This position was assailed with unanswerable arguments. John Walsh moved to endorse the I. W. W., but was told the I. W. W. was not nominating candidates. We tried to reason with the two S. P. men, but they took advantage of Connolly's coup-d'etat. Six votes were cast to kangaroo; with the chairman it was a majority. There were twelve present, and like the Apostles of old, one was a Judas.

This letter may serve for future history.

Michael P. Cody.

235 Eighth avenue,  
New York, August 14.

## ONE OF MANY.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—For some time the following notice has been appearing in the "World":

### "THE PEOPLE'S FORUM."

"The World invites letters from its readers expressing their Presidential preference, regardless of whether they belong to the Democratic, Republican, Independence, Populist, Prohibition, Socialist, or Socialist Labor party. 'The People's Forum' is open to all, the one restriction being that such letters must be brief and subject to condensation, if necessary, because of space."

Up to two weeks ago the Socialist Labor Party was not mentioned. It read "Socialist or Labor party." Since then it has read "Socialist or Socialist Labor Party."

On Sunday, August 9th, I sent the enclosed letter to the World, expressing my Presidential preference. Not being affiliated with any organization, I felt free to do so. I am 28 years of age, and my first vote was cast for the Socialist Labor Party, and since have voted nothing else, although for the past three years I have been disfranchised on account of changing masters in an effort to better my condition, which has been getting worse in spite of my efforts. I realize every day more and more the necessity of working for Socialism, because it is the one and only remedy for existing conditions.

When a paper like the World comes out and praises the nomination of a candidate of a political party claiming to be a Socialist party, as it did in the case of Stokes, and invites letters from Socialist and Socialist Labor Party voters, and publishes the letters from the former and ignores the latter, I think that it is time that people began to open their eyes as to the difference between the two.

The World is apparently in league with the Volkzeitung gang in trying to make it appear that the Socialist Labor Party is dead.

A. E. R.

Brooklyn, N. Y., August 24.

## (ENCLOSURE.)

Editor World:  
What shall it profit a man if he doesn't lose his vote and get it in the neck?

Of the seven political parties there is but one that never in its history has fused with any other, proving conclusively that it is the one sincere and only one entitled to an honest man's vote. It is composed of men with a backbone of solid principle and hearts of steel; men who have the courage of their convictions and who are not afraid of a laugh or a sneer, and whose motto is: "We will never compromise with error to make a friend; we will never refrain from striking a blow through fear of making an enemy." I refer to the Socialist Labor Party, whose ticket is headed by Preston and Munro.

The press may attempt to ridicule it, pulp-it-pounding sky pilots may denounce it, poll clerks under instructions from their masters may not credit its vote, politicians may organize Socialist (!) parties of their own to overthrow it, but altogether they can't change it. Truth is like a rubber ball, bounded

to the earth comes back again." You may monkey with the thermometer, but you can't change the temperature.

In the world of July 31, Debs says: "It seems perfectly clear that the Independence party was brought into existence from motives of pique and revenge." The same can be said of his organization. The Socialist Labor Party has been in the field since 1890, and the Debs party made its appearance in 1900, under the name of Social Democratic party. In 1899 some Democratic politicians in "Humpty" Hanover's saloon in what was then the 16th Assembly District, New York, made the statement that if the Socialist Labor vote continued to increase in that District that they would organize a Socialist party of their own to defeat it.

In the "People's Forum" of July 31, one George Barnes Penrock divided society into two classes. He puts the Republicans, Democrats and Debs Socialists in the respectable class, and all others he designates as rag-tag and bob-tail. No doubt he is right in placing his party with the Republican and Democratic parties, but he forgets to state that it occupies the same relation to them that an ash barrel does to a well kept house.

"Let liars fear, let cowards shrink; Let traitors turn away. Whatever we have dared to think, That dare we also say.

Dare to have a purpose firm,  
Dare to make it known."  
A Rag-Tag De Leonite.  
Brooklyn, August 9, 1908.

## WELCOME INFORMATION.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—The "Song of the Unfranchised" signed "Anonymous" in the Weekly People of August 8th is by Ernest Jones, about 1842. He was a worker for freedom whose name shall be kept green. He led an earnest and vigorous life and I am sure the American Socialists will hold him in honor.

We sing the song here to the tune of "My old friend John."

F. W. Sanderson.

Brighton, England, August 17.  
[An article recounting the sufferings of Ernest Jones, when imprisoned for a political offense, sent by our correspondent, above, was published in the Daily People of August 29.]

## ON THE LUSTY TRAIL OF THE SIGMER.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—If a political party in this, the State of Rhode Island, does not secure two per cent. of the total vote cast at the previous election, its candidates can secure a place on the official ballot only through the signing of nomination papers by five hundred registered voters.

That "five hundred voters" sounds easy, but when one knows that we have a property qualification here, and that one must have his name registered before the first of July to vote at the elections of the following November, and that a residence of two years is necessary if a man is not a realty holder, you will realize that these five hundred names have to be hustled after.

Membership in the S. L. P. necessitates work, and the organization has no place for shirkers. Yelling about the shortcomings of this man or the policy of the other in a nice warm room is a cinch compared to going through the streets of a strange city asking men to sign nomination papers, and 'tis instructive to note that the loudest critics are anything but hustlers at the "show down."

The seven men who tramped the streets of a certain city in this State last Sunday, seeking names of voters—a city where over seven-eighths of the inhabitants know but one language—French—found that the S. L. P. is not thought to be dead, nor even forgotten.

It was interesting to hear the various answers to our oft-repeated request, "Will you kindly sign the nomination papers of the S. L. P.," followed by our explanation of the State law.

"Yes, I'll sign that. I believe in giving you fellows a fair show."  
Of course one met a great many ignorant dubs, who were worse than Yahoos. One misbegotten yap told me he was a "good" Socialist, but he was going to vote the Independence ticket. No, he didn't sign.

We didn't argue, it does not pay when one is seeking signatures. If they sign, thank the man. If a man won't sign, thank him too. One poor wretch got real angry when I politely thanked him for calling me "a damned crank." "What for," said he, but we were tackling another man, for our time was precious.

If the weather is fair we'll go out again next Sunday, and prove to the voters of the southern part of our state that the S. L. P. is a "lively corpse."

E. A. See.  
Providence, R. I., August 26.

The People is a good broom to brush the cobwebs from the minds of the workers. Buy a copy and pass it around.

## "ISSUES" vs. JOBS.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—Yesterday morning, August 22, I was cheered by the arrival of an old friend from whom I have been parted for three months. I find him as instructive and intelligent as ever, and he has promised to visit me weekly after this. As soon as I am in a position to do so, I shall introduce him to some of my fellow-slaves.

Since I lost my master in May, I have tried pretty hard to get another, but up to date without avail. I have made eight applications in widely separated parts of the "free" American nation. I have tried—to be specific—in the states of Minnesota, Montana, Nevada and California; and from all those I approached I received the common answer, "Can't use you." If the employer "can't use you" you must either starve, or, if lucky, do as I am doing, live on some slave more fortunate.

So the fighting S. L. P. has nominated Preston for President. The capitalists are "disgusted" by our action. But that is natural. Any show of class intelligence by the exploited "disgusts" them. About the first news of Preston's nomination was given to me by a cartoon which pictured the man as a bestripped convict, leering out at the world between his prison bars. Underneath was the statement, "S. L. P. candidate for President of the United States." I endorse the action of the political organization, "the S. L. P."

By the daily papers I see that there are doings in the political world. W. Bryan and W. Taft are at the old hood-wink game. Four years ago I considered such actions seriously, now I take them as a burlesque. Bryan says, "The issue is: Shall the People rule?" "Surely the people do rule," answers Vice-Presidential nominee Sherman.

W. Bryan poses, then hollers back, "I tell about the Prince of Peace. The issue is: Shall S. Gompers get his injunction plank?" "Gents, gents," says I, Satan take your injunction plank; give me a job!"

But nobody noticed me, and the palayer went on. Vice-Presidential nominee Sherman vociferates, "Ye are a gang of experimenting rumpies. Look into the history book where it says you stole your name. The wise and prosperous American workingman knows full well that the issue is: Shall the policies of the great Theodore Roosevelt be continued?"

Says I when I reads, "I don't care anything about the policies, if they'll only give me a job!" But none of these big guns notice me, only the hack driver on election day.

But seriously now, I as a wage slave repudiate the sayings and doings of W. J. Bryan and W. H. Taft. In the past there has been but one issue, there is but one issue, there will be but one issue until the establishment of the Socialist Republic. That issue is: "Shall the producer enjoy the fruits of his toil?" That issue establishes the common ground on which I can stand the exploited classes of all capitalist nations. So important is this issue that it should be blazoned across the blue skies like the cross of Constantine. In the light of this issue I shall cast my vote, and may many another proletarian do likewise.

Gerald I. Sherwood.

Sparks, Nev., August 21.

## A \$100,000 THEFT.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—In a visit to the center of the city last week I met an old friend whom I had not seen for a long time, a man of about seventy years, but well preserved. I call him Job because he was always so steady, patient and persevering under all circumstances.

He had been many months out of work and appeared very thoughtful and wanted to talk with me, so we sought a comfortable seat upon a public square. Job did not appear at all natural to me, which I attributed to his long term of idleness, so I asked how it seemed, to have a good long vacation. He stretched his limbs, recovered his feet, and seemed to consider his answer, then said:

"I thought I would go wild if I did not find some work to do, but I have got so I don't mind it at all. You see, for more'n fifty years I had been working pretty steady and didn't know what to do with myself without working, but I got to thinking and found so much to think about I didn't care for anything else."

At this, I sat up and gave especial attention, so Job was never suspected of having a thought except pertaining to his work. I looked at him with astonishment depicted on my countenance, doubtless, which he evidently noticed. He put his fingers in his vest pocket, slowly drew out a \$5 bill and carefully smoothed it out upon his knee, as if admiring it as a thing of beauty. After a few moments he looked up and said:

"Did you ever know me to squander any money?" I certainly had not, and cheerfully bore testimony to his uniform frugality and asked him, "Why?"

He looked up quite fiercely for one so quiet a nature, and exclaimed, "I have been robbed in the most outrageous man-

ner." I was surprised at this outburst on the part of my patient friend, and fearing he had lost his balance, asked him quietly "how much he was pinched for." After a few moments reflection, he said, "About \$100,000."

"Clean mad," I exclaimed, mentally, and decided to encourage him to talk, so asked him if he had reported this robbery to the police. "Oh, no," he said, "they couldn't help, and they wouldn't if they could." So I asked him how he managed to get such a large sum of money together.

He said, "I didn't; that is where the shoe pinches; I'll explain: for more'n fifty years I have been at work pretty steady and that \$5 bill is all I've got to show for it. According to the reports the average value produced by those working for wages is \$2,500 a year and the average paid as wages is less than \$500. I've had more than the average amount of wages, but reckon the value of my work was more than the average because it required more than the average of care and skill, so there is yet \$2,000 a year that I'm eeked out of, and in fifty years that makes \$100,000, doesn't it?"

I couldn't find it in my heart to find any fault with the reasoning of my friend, but to make him feel more comfortable pointed to the thousands upon thousands who are already old at half his age, who have nothing to show for the labor of their lives except debts and a horde of neglected children, having followed the recommendation of Roosevelt too literally. He shook his head gloomily, then exclaimed:

"The cursed system, and it can't be improved. It must be destroyed to make room for another, which may be as bad but can't be worse; this is Hell."

H. H. Lane.

New Haven, Conn., August 26.

## A PROTEST BY SECTION RICHMOND, VA.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—Section Richmond, Va., S. L. P., hereby enters its earnest protest against the proposed elimination from the party's constitution of Section 7 of Article 2, which provides that "No officer of a pure and simple trade or labor organization shall be a member of a section."

It is eight years since the National Convention and the membership found it necessary to incorporate this provision in its constitution, and we have all along, and do still, regard it as a wise and consistent action. The arguments employed in its behalf in 1900 are as timely and correct to-day as ever before. We therefore express our emphatic disapproval of the reactionary disposition which manifested itself in the recent National Convention by declaring, as it did, against the retention of this very important provision of the constitution.

Section Richmond now calls upon the membership to vote down this proposition for change when it comes to them for decision, and thus to say that there shall not be any compromise on the part of the S. L. P. with labor fakirism and with pure and simple Unionism.

H. Adolph Muller, Secretary.

Richmond, Va., Aug. 20.

## ART METAL WORKER WANTS INFORMATION.

To the Daily and Weekly People:—I have had an inquiry from my brother in England asking how the "art metal work for electrical fittings is in the United States." If any comrade will post me regarding which cities the work is carried on, conditions, wages, etc., I would be pleased.

Harry Gwynne.

918 Franklin St.,  
Olympia, Wash., Aug. 16.

## "ALL THE WRITING ALWAYS IN SIGHT"



Ball-Bearings—wear-defying, frictionless. Every typebar of the  
**L. C. SMITH & BROS. TYPEWRITER**

has the Gardner ball-bearing joint. Adds years of profitable efficiency to life of the machine.

Send for the Free Book.  
**L. C. SMITH & BROS. TYPEWRITER CO.**  
311 Broadway, New York City

Watch the label on your paper. It will tell you when your subscription expires. First number indicates the month, second, the day, third, the year.

## LETTER-BOX

### OFF-HAND ANSWERS TO CORRESPONDENTS.

NO QUESTIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED THAT COME IN ANONYMOUS LETTERS. ALL LETTERS MUST CARRY A BONA FIDE SIGNATURE AND ADDRESS.

T. H. SAN ANTONIO, TEX.—Pensions cost nearly as much as the average wage received by Labor, and they accomplish less.  
Next question next week.

A. S. MILWAUKEE, WIS.—The author of the expression: "Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" is Dr. Samuel Johnson, an English author and lexicographer.

G. H. C. WINONA, MINN.—Donald L. Munro was a member of the K. of L., then of the S. T. & L. A., and now of the I. W. W.

M. B. PASADENA, CAL.—Wishire was a member of the S. L. P. until 1892.

W. O. CINCINNATI, O.—Robert Hunter's book is called "Poverty." There is much that is good in the book. It compiles the facts as to actual poverty in a conservative shape. It only flies off the handle when it attempts to formulate theories, like the theory of immigration, for which Mr. Hunter is not qualified.

J. H. LEOMINSTER, MASS.—Neither in New Jersey nor in New York did the I. W. W. take the places of striking hatters.

J. D. G. NEW YORK.—The proper, courteous as well as safe, thing to do is to express to the magazine your wish to translate the article. Ten to one consent will be readily granted, probably with the condition that you credit the magazine with having published the original.

G. L. B. ELIZABETH, N. J.—Perhaps that's so. Perhaps The People does "betray a good deal of bitterness towards the Prohibitionist party." If so it is to The People's credit. The People believes in sobriety. The People knows that drunkenness demoralizes. The People is aware that a drunkard is not a man on whom to rely. All this The People knows, and for that very reason The People feels hot in the collar at a movement that makes a burlesque of a virtue—Sobriety—by the claim that the cause of involuntary poverty is liquor. The falseness of such economics is so mischievous that it seriously injures the virtue of Sobriety.

Next question next week.

K. K. KNOXVILLE, TENN.—The address the organ of the British S. L. P., "The Socialist," is Edinburg, 28 Front street.

J. U. SPRINGFIELD, ILL.—Are you a labor-employer? Seems so. If a workingman's son, having been deprived of all opportunities, finding all other avenues shut to him, and being hungry, takes the first job that comes along—then he is "a man without ambition." If he strikes for higher pay—then he is a "rioter." And if he originally refused the miserable job on the principle that he might as well starve idle than starve at work—then, why then he is a "loafer." Such people as you are "economic Presbyterians"—the workingman is damned if he does, and is damned if he don't.

P. L. C. PHOENIX, ARIZ.—Matter is kept in mind. To be postponed is not to be given up. Much other matter of immediate urgency crowds all else aside.

C. R. H. DENVER, COLO.—The success of Taft would mean the people's acquiescence in present conditions. The success of Bryan would indicate at least intelligence enough to be discontented. A worker's vote for Taft comes from a sense of helplessness; his vote for Bryan comes from blind anger. In neither case does help come. A vote for Preston's proxy, Gillhaus, is a vote that builds.

S. A. E. BEARMOUTH, MONT.—"Plans" to unify the political parties of Socialism can not be undertaken by the I. W. W. What its duty is transpires from its preamble—convey such correct instruction as will cause the workers' minds to converge upon the same political principles. In that way existing political parties of Labor would be unified, and the rise of new ones would be prevented.

T. W. BRIDGEPORT, CONN.—Scratch a man who talks Socialism and acts the opposite and you have a man who is not really a traitor, but who has no faith in the success of Socialism—at least not in his days. Such people are, of course, double

facied. To them Socialism is a means to personal ends. Tho' they be not traitors, in the strict sense of the word, they are weak men, too weak to be honest and stand straight.

L. O. TACOMA, WASH.—Repeat the question as to the particular passage, and add the name and date of the edition. First you gave the passage only. Now you give the edition only. Takes too long to hunt up letters.

L. N. D. NEW YORK.—"Material interests" is a technical term that implies "class interests." The burglar who breaks into a house, the betrayer of workmen who does so for a job, etc., these men are not acting obedient to their "class interests." On the contrary they do violence to material interests of their class. The attempt to sanctify crime on the ground of the "material interests" of the criminal, who is hungry, is a burlesque on the principle of the class struggle. Material class interests are one thing; individual "interests" are another.

Next question next week.

E. C. PROVIDENCE, R. I.—If anyone considers The People "tactless" because of its opposition to the anti-Negro sentiment, why then The People will have to remain "tactless," and will remain so until it will have taught the erring brother out of his error. Then both he and The People will be "tactless," i. e., sound in reasoning and utterance.

E. G. NEW BEDFORD, MASS.—Malthusianism is the theory that population grows faster than the power to produce food. Malthusianism claims that wholesale death is necessary to keep people from overcrowding the earth. It is a remarkable fact that those who are firmest believers in Malthus are the ones who produce congested cities by keeping land unoccupied for higher prices.

A. C. W. BARSTOW, CAL.—Whoever denies that Grover Cleveland sent the military under Gen. Miles into Chicago at the time of the A. R. U. strike, should get an almanac of 1895 and pore himself on the facts. Curious it is that certain people, readiest to accept any slander against Socialism and Socialists, are ever on the alert to demand "proof" of what Socialists claim, and which every average well informed man knows all about.

Next question next week.

M. I. S. TARRYTOWN, N. Y.—Whichever way the election goes we shall have an extra session of Congress. The Republican platform and Taft promise one to revise the tariff; Bryan and the Democratic platform promise one to revise the Constitution so that Federal Senators shall be elected by popular vote.

C. F. ELIZABETH, N. J.—At the time of the split in 1899 the members of the National Executive Committee of the S. L. P. were: Patrick Murphy, John J. Kinneally, Lucien Sanial, C. H. Matchet, Alvan S. Brown, Arthur Keep and Henry Stahl. There is no William Arnold among them. The name William Arnold is not known in this office.

R. G. EDINBURG, SCOT.—James Connolly resigned officially and dropped out of the S. L. P. on April 15, 1905.

M. R. A. PITTSBURG, PA.—Of course Carnegie speeches on the beauties of peace. He needs such speeches to mask the fact that he is the hungriest iron master after battle ships.

M. B. HAMILTON, CANADA.—The criticism is correct. Price can not equal the cost of production and yet leave a profit. The passage you criticize is from the Communist Manifesto, where it is probably loosely translated, the looseness being due to the circumstance that the subject described is the workingman, and he, poor fellow, steadily loses on the cost of the production of his own labor-power. The Manifesto was by Marx and Engels, the omission of Engels's name was a typographical negligence.

H. L. PATERSON, N. J.; G. A. M. TORONTO, CANADA; H. T. S. TON-OPAH, NEV.; E. B. COLUMBUS, O.; J. P. MOUNTAIN VIEW, WASH.; J. A. L. PHOENIX, ARIZ.; R. S. SAN ANTONIO, TEX.; D. L. TACOMA, WASH.; F. R. HENDERSON, N. C.; C. J. K. NEWARK, N. J.; M. B. BROOKLYN, N. Y.; A. R. BROOKLYN, N. Y.—Matter received

