

STICKERS.

At the New Depot, Jersey City, late...

People who are staggered with the...

In any inquiry account of a cave...

The Chicago in Chicago are doing...

The above shows that the Chinaman...

PHILADELPHIA, Pa., October 15.—The...

Also, according to the stereotyped...

headed exporters of labor have long...

I have heard that Sir Thomas Lipton...

From a recent daily report of the...

Speculation in cotton was practically...

And so dead to all sense of decency...

Henry Berkowitz is a landlord, having...

But why, Mr. Crosby, why? Why speak...

PHILADELPHIA, Pa., October 15.—The...

Also, according to the stereotyped...

CONVENTION OF AUSTRIAN SOCIALISTS.

The annual convention of the Austrian...

That Socialism is not an altogether...

One of the most important questions...

"In these exciting times of fierce...

In conclusion he said: "We shall follow...

The speech was followed by a lively...

Among the other questions discussed...

"Whereas, the racial strife in Austria...

"Resolved, by this convention, that the...

After enumerating in detail the leading...

"The convention, as the organ of the...

S. L. P. OF OHIO NON-PARTY JONES SQUIRM.

The following letter from Comrade...

On or about September 5, Mr. Jones...

Cleveland, O., Sept. 11, 1899.

Dear Sir:—Replying to your general...

Two days afterwards I received this...

My Dear Sir—My "challenge," as you...

Among the other questions discussed...

"Whereas, the racial strife in Austria...

"Resolved, by this convention, that the...

After enumerating in detail the leading...

"The convention, as the organ of the...

Dear Sir:—I will not undertake to debate...

In the meantime Comrade Henneke...

Toloso, O., Sept. 14, 1899.

Dear Comrade:—The C. L. U., stated...

I do not feel dismayed or undaunted...

The Jonesites having blocked a...

Cleveland, O., Sept. 11, 1899.

Dear Sir:—Yours of the 13th inst. received...

"Resolved, that there is no necessity...

Trusting that you are representing...

On October 1, I received this remarkable...

that he could not attend it. It will be...

To this "conception of fair play" and...

Cleveland, O., Oct. 9, 1899.

Dear Sir:—In reply to yours of the 19th...

If you decide to meet us in debate...

It is almost needless to say that we...

The foregoing correspondence may prove...

Cheer up, comrades!

The price of slaves has gone up in...

"Convict labor is in demand in...

Great State Georgia must be, according...

The acute Telegraph continues to...

Change of mind, and who the...

we were worried, and we were...

Cleveland, O., Oct. 9, 1899.

Dear Sir:—I will not undertake to debate...

The Jonesites having blocked a...

Cleveland, O., Sept. 11, 1899.

My Dear Sir—My "challenge," as you...

Among the other questions discussed...

"Whereas, the racial strife in Austria...

"Resolved, by this convention, that the...

After enumerating in detail the leading...

"The convention, as the organ of the...

Change of mind, and who the...

we were worried, and we were...

Cleveland, O., Oct. 9, 1899.

Dear Sir:—I will not undertake to debate...

The Jonesites having blocked a...

Cleveland, O., Sept. 11, 1899.

My Dear Sir—My "challenge," as you...

Among the other questions discussed...

"Whereas, the racial strife in Austria...

"Resolved, by this convention, that the...

After enumerating in detail the leading...

"The convention, as the organ of the...

THE DOCTRINE OF HATE.

The equality of all men, the right of...

The Socialist Labor Party is the only...

Its conception of this equality and...

The price of slaves has gone up in...

"Convict labor is in demand in...

Great State Georgia must be, according...

The acute Telegraph continues to...

The equality of all men, the right of...

The principal Socialist organ in Germany, the "Vorwaerter," has just published its financial statement for the year 1929. The statement shows a steady increase in the strength of the Socialist Party. During the past twelve months five new Socialist newspapers have been founded, making a total number of 137 papers...

It has now been definitely decided that the International Socialist Congress will be held in Paris in 1930. At the last International Congress, held in London, the prospect of having the next meeting in Germany was discussed but the German Socialists found that in view of the political state of their country, they could not offer their foreign guests either the personal security or the freedom of speech which an international congress presupposes.

The "Volkszeitung" correspondent in Paris says that the great strike in the wage of Schneider & Co. at Creuzot has through the arbitration of Waldeck-Rousseau, President of the Ministry, been settled essentially in favor of the workmen.

It is remarkable that not alone does the Socialist press praise the minister, but the whole Radical press joins in a warm acknowledgment of the decision, which the reactionary, nationalistic, middle-class press in the same of capitalism howls most unmercifully, even the "Republique Francaise," organ of the wretched Meliane, sending all the reactionary monarchist sheets in a fury against the friendly attitude to the laboring people of the radical ministry.

On the international question of Socialist tactics, Hyndman, the English leader, says "It is clear to me that the Social Democracy so soon as it takes an active part in the political battle, must take a stand on the vexed question of non-resistance to the predominant party without thereby doing violence to the principle of the class struggle. For instance, in England, Imperialism and the Indian question do not come into the working classes directly, yet we have taken the part of the exploited, oppressed Indians."

The importance of the subject and the mastery clearness, force and dignity with which it is treated, will justify the space given to the following statement by Comrade Job Harriman, first printer in the "Class Struggle," on the reasons for endorsing the overthrow of the former National Executive Committee:

WHEREAS, We, the City Central Committee of the S. L. P., Section San Francisco, being thoroughly convinced that the depositing of the old National Executive Committee was constitutionally performed and being desirous of supporting our regular Party authorities now upheld by an overwhelming vote of the membership in the City of New York, do hereby

As State Organizer of California I supported the above resolutions. For this reason I feel it my duty to state the facts as I see them, and the conclusion to which they have forced me. The cause of this Party trouble is a difference of opinion as to our "trades union policy." See De Leon People Aug. 20, 1929, page 3, col. 1. The opposition insists that the facts concerning the R. T. & L. A. as developed have not been officially set forth, and that they will not warrant the Party in continuing in its present position. They proclaimed their intention of forcing these facts to light. But if our present position is correct toward the R. T. & L. A. and the difference of opinion as to that position is the cause of the Party trouble, then it is pertinent to ask why it is that Comrade De Leon did not rest his case on that issue.

Capitalism has just given the lie to one of its own stock arguments. We have been told in almost fearful accents that Socialism would destroy all incentive to effort, and that, deprived of the stimulating effect of impending poverty, or of the hope of large financial success, the people would sink to a dead level of shiftless inactivity. The race for the cup, just sailed and won, proves that there are other incentives that even hardened capitalists recognize.

The second part contains certain definite resolutions for the better carrying out of the revolutionary, national and social program of the Party. It is the duty of the Party to take part in business undertakings, and especially conducting for public works.

It is a speech of Thillet, in which he endeavored, in furtherance of his own ambitions of the Presidency, to scare the conservative classes by trotting out the Red Spectre, and warning the bloody shirt of the Commune period, declared by the prosecution of all writers and speakers of revolutionary Sweden, whose promoters daily attack the military institutions of the country. Said he: "The aforesaid speeches form, by word and pen, an obstruction in the preparations for the social revolution, that is, the founding of a new and essentially enlarged national government, because extended over the whole of France."

On the international question of Socialist tactics, Hyndman, the English leader, says "It is clear to me that the Social Democracy so soon as it takes an active part in the political battle, must take a stand on the vexed question of non-resistance to the predominant party without thereby doing violence to the principle of the class struggle. For instance, in England, Imperialism and the Indian question do not come into the working classes directly, yet we have taken the part of the exploited, oppressed Indians."

THE CASE CLEARLY.

The Origin of the Party Troubles in Their True Light.

The Tax Question Was Raised by De Leon, Merely as a Pretext to Attack the Volkzeitung Because De Leon's Policy Was Deliberately Fostered—The Constitution Broken and All Precedents Disregarded.

The importance of the subject and the mastery clearness, force and dignity with which it is treated, will justify the space given to the following statement by Comrade Job Harriman, first printer in the "Class Struggle," on the reasons for endorsing the overthrow of the former National Executive Committee:

WHEREAS, We, the City Central Committee of the S. L. P., Section San Francisco, being thoroughly convinced that the depositing of the old National Executive Committee was constitutionally performed and being desirous of supporting our regular Party authorities now upheld by an overwhelming vote of the membership in the City of New York, do hereby

As State Organizer of California I supported the above resolutions. For this reason I feel it my duty to state the facts as I see them, and the conclusion to which they have forced me. The cause of this Party trouble is a difference of opinion as to our "trades union policy." See De Leon People Aug. 20, 1929, page 3, col. 1. The opposition insists that the facts concerning the R. T. & L. A. as developed have not been officially set forth, and that they will not warrant the Party in continuing in its present position.

Capitalism has just given the lie to one of its own stock arguments. We have been told in almost fearful accents that Socialism would destroy all incentive to effort, and that, deprived of the stimulating effect of impending poverty, or of the hope of large financial success, the people would sink to a dead level of shiftless inactivity. The race for the cup, just sailed and won, proves that there are other incentives that even hardened capitalists recognize.

The second part contains certain definite resolutions for the better carrying out of the revolutionary, national and social program of the Party. It is the duty of the Party to take part in business undertakings, and especially conducting for public works.

It is a speech of Thillet, in which he endeavored, in furtherance of his own ambitions of the Presidency, to scare the conservative classes by trotting out the Red Spectre, and warning the bloody shirt of the Commune period, declared by the prosecution of all writers and speakers of revolutionary Sweden, whose promoters daily attack the military institutions of the country. Said he: "The aforesaid speeches form, by word and pen, an obstruction in the preparations for the social revolution, that is, the founding of a new and essentially enlarged national government, because extended over the whole of France."

They were informed of the meeting and of its program in advance, in that they were organized with 25 general delegates, who were in the office of the People with other and later invited to attend the meeting. The program of the meeting was as follows: To discuss the constitution of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

The question is, Had the General Committee the right or power? The proceedings of the meeting of the N. E. C. were as follows: The meeting was held in the office of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

Now let us watch Comrade De Leon play upon the already inflamed passions and suspicions of the comrades. One certain action in his People, July 18, is taken up by the following words: "STOLEN BY THE SMALL TRADERS, PICKERS, AND SIMPLERS, AND USURIOUS MONEY LENDERS—VOLKSZEITUNG."

Think for a moment what such methods mean to our movement. The old N. E. C. now refuses to surrender their office. De Leon succeeded in doing what Comrade Brown said was his intention, namely, to separate from the Socialist Co-operative Publishing Association. He has succeeded in discrediting the Association in the eyes of a great many comrades, and to his surprise and amazement he has succeeded in splitting the Party, for every comrade who understands the facts will repudiate such conduct.

The organizer (who favors the old N. E. C.) opened the meeting, calling for nominations for chairman. Henry Kuhn and R. Brock were nominated. H. Kuhn received 38. De Leon People, July 16, page 4, col. 3. Evidently the old N. E. C. faction was in the minority, there being over 80 delegates. The same article says that "the organizer then proceeded to count the votes for R. Brock. But Vogt, a member of the Credentials Committee, saw that delegates were voting whose credentials had not been acted upon, and rose to object."

The fact is that the vote for Kuhn showed the old N. E. C. faction to be in the minority, for if delegates were voting against them before their credentials were passed upon, and this was the reason why the old N. E. C. side opposed a permanent chairman being elected. No one has ever denied that this was Hillquit's business on the floor. The fact that Keep went to Hillquit to prevent him from forcing a legitimate point throws the responsibility of the fight on the old N. E. C. faction.

It is not this fact? The report of made and sent to the Board of Appeals by Comrade Kuhn shows the membership of Greater New York to be 1,285 about one year ago. In the meantime the old N. E. C. has been in the office of the Board of Appeals, which showed 1,285 of which were cast in the election of 1929. The report shows that the Board of Appeals was composed of the old N. E. C. and about 100 delegates who were cast in the election of 1929.

There is now a still further and most important fact developed, namely, that the membership of Section New York, by a referendum vote, has decided in favor of deposing the old N. E. C. That they have this power is evident from Article 4, Sec. 1 of the constitution. "Article 4, Sec. 2(a) of our national constitution provides that the 'action of this Board (the Board of Appeals) shall be to settle upon appeal all difficulties in the Party.' Was the matter taken up by this Board on appeal? It is. De Leon's tax question was taken up by this Board on appeal in 1929. Was the decision correct or incorrect? The body known as the old N. E. C. claims that the decision is correct, while the old N. E. C. claims that it is incorrect. Hence the trouble in the Party are not settled. But in each case, does the national constitution provide a method of procedure? If so, we are bound to follow it."

They were informed of the meeting and of its program in advance, in that they were organized with 25 general delegates, who were in the office of the People with other and later invited to attend the meeting. The program of the meeting was as follows: To discuss the constitution of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

The question is, Had the General Committee the right or power? The proceedings of the meeting of the N. E. C. were as follows: The meeting was held in the office of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

Now let us watch Comrade De Leon play upon the already inflamed passions and suspicions of the comrades. One certain action in his People, July 18, is taken up by the following words: "STOLEN BY THE SMALL TRADERS, PICKERS, AND SIMPLERS, AND USURIOUS MONEY LENDERS—VOLKSZEITUNG."

Think for a moment what such methods mean to our movement. The old N. E. C. now refuses to surrender their office. De Leon succeeded in doing what Comrade Brown said was his intention, namely, to separate from the Socialist Co-operative Publishing Association. He has succeeded in discrediting the Association in the eyes of a great many comrades, and to his surprise and amazement he has succeeded in splitting the Party, for every comrade who understands the facts will repudiate such conduct.

The organizer (who favors the old N. E. C.) opened the meeting, calling for nominations for chairman. Henry Kuhn and R. Brock were nominated. H. Kuhn received 38. De Leon People, July 16, page 4, col. 3. Evidently the old N. E. C. faction was in the minority, there being over 80 delegates. The same article says that "the organizer then proceeded to count the votes for R. Brock. But Vogt, a member of the Credentials Committee, saw that delegates were voting whose credentials had not been acted upon, and rose to object."

The fact is that the vote for Kuhn showed the old N. E. C. faction to be in the minority, for if delegates were voting against them before their credentials were passed upon, and this was the reason why the old N. E. C. side opposed a permanent chairman being elected. No one has ever denied that this was Hillquit's business on the floor. The fact that Keep went to Hillquit to prevent him from forcing a legitimate point throws the responsibility of the fight on the old N. E. C. faction.

It is not this fact? The report of made and sent to the Board of Appeals by Comrade Kuhn shows the membership of Greater New York to be 1,285 about one year ago. In the meantime the old N. E. C. has been in the office of the Board of Appeals, which showed 1,285 of which were cast in the election of 1929. The report shows that the Board of Appeals was composed of the old N. E. C. and about 100 delegates who were cast in the election of 1929.

There is now a still further and most important fact developed, namely, that the membership of Section New York, by a referendum vote, has decided in favor of deposing the old N. E. C. That they have this power is evident from Article 4, Sec. 1 of the constitution. "Article 4, Sec. 2(a) of our national constitution provides that the 'action of this Board (the Board of Appeals) shall be to settle upon appeal all difficulties in the Party.' Was the matter taken up by this Board on appeal? It is. De Leon's tax question was taken up by this Board on appeal in 1929. Was the decision correct or incorrect? The body known as the old N. E. C. claims that the decision is correct, while the old N. E. C. claims that it is incorrect. Hence the trouble in the Party are not settled. But in each case, does the national constitution provide a method of procedure? If so, we are bound to follow it."

They were informed of the meeting and of its program in advance, in that they were organized with 25 general delegates, who were in the office of the People with other and later invited to attend the meeting. The program of the meeting was as follows: To discuss the constitution of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

The question is, Had the General Committee the right or power? The proceedings of the meeting of the N. E. C. were as follows: The meeting was held in the office of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

Now let us watch Comrade De Leon play upon the already inflamed passions and suspicions of the comrades. One certain action in his People, July 18, is taken up by the following words: "STOLEN BY THE SMALL TRADERS, PICKERS, AND SIMPLERS, AND USURIOUS MONEY LENDERS—VOLKSZEITUNG."

Think for a moment what such methods mean to our movement. The old N. E. C. now refuses to surrender their office. De Leon succeeded in doing what Comrade Brown said was his intention, namely, to separate from the Socialist Co-operative Publishing Association. He has succeeded in discrediting the Association in the eyes of a great many comrades, and to his surprise and amazement he has succeeded in splitting the Party, for every comrade who understands the facts will repudiate such conduct.

The organizer (who favors the old N. E. C.) opened the meeting, calling for nominations for chairman. Henry Kuhn and R. Brock were nominated. H. Kuhn received 38. De Leon People, July 16, page 4, col. 3. Evidently the old N. E. C. faction was in the minority, there being over 80 delegates. The same article says that "the organizer then proceeded to count the votes for R. Brock. But Vogt, a member of the Credentials Committee, saw that delegates were voting whose credentials had not been acted upon, and rose to object."

The fact is that the vote for Kuhn showed the old N. E. C. faction to be in the minority, for if delegates were voting against them before their credentials were passed upon, and this was the reason why the old N. E. C. side opposed a permanent chairman being elected. No one has ever denied that this was Hillquit's business on the floor. The fact that Keep went to Hillquit to prevent him from forcing a legitimate point throws the responsibility of the fight on the old N. E. C. faction.

It is not this fact? The report of made and sent to the Board of Appeals by Comrade Kuhn shows the membership of Greater New York to be 1,285 about one year ago. In the meantime the old N. E. C. has been in the office of the Board of Appeals, which showed 1,285 of which were cast in the election of 1929. The report shows that the Board of Appeals was composed of the old N. E. C. and about 100 delegates who were cast in the election of 1929.

There is now a still further and most important fact developed, namely, that the membership of Section New York, by a referendum vote, has decided in favor of deposing the old N. E. C. That they have this power is evident from Article 4, Sec. 1 of the constitution. "Article 4, Sec. 2(a) of our national constitution provides that the 'action of this Board (the Board of Appeals) shall be to settle upon appeal all difficulties in the Party.' Was the matter taken up by this Board on appeal? It is. De Leon's tax question was taken up by this Board on appeal in 1929. Was the decision correct or incorrect? The body known as the old N. E. C. claims that the decision is correct, while the old N. E. C. claims that it is incorrect. Hence the trouble in the Party are not settled. But in each case, does the national constitution provide a method of procedure? If so, we are bound to follow it."

They were informed of the meeting and of its program in advance, in that they were organized with 25 general delegates, who were in the office of the People with other and later invited to attend the meeting. The program of the meeting was as follows: To discuss the constitution of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

The question is, Had the General Committee the right or power? The proceedings of the meeting of the N. E. C. were as follows: The meeting was held in the office of the Greater New York shall state the N. E. C. Article 4, section 1 of constitution provides that "The N. E. C. shall be elected by a general vote of the Section or Sections of the place selected as the seat of the same."

Now let us watch Comrade De Leon play upon the already inflamed passions and suspicions of the comrades. One certain action in his People, July 18, is taken up by the following words: "STOLEN BY THE SMALL TRADERS, PICKERS, AND SIMPLERS, AND USURIOUS MONEY LENDERS—VOLKSZEITUNG."

Think for a moment what such methods mean to our movement. The old N. E. C. now refuses to surrender their office. De Leon succeeded in doing what Comrade Brown said was his intention, namely, to separate from the Socialist Co-operative Publishing Association. He has succeeded in discrediting the Association in the eyes of a great many comrades, and to his surprise and amazement he has succeeded in splitting the Party, for every comrade who understands the facts will repudiate such conduct.

The organizer (who favors the old N. E. C.) opened the meeting, calling for nominations for chairman. Henry Kuhn and R. Brock were nominated. H. Kuhn received 38. De Leon People, July 16, page 4, col. 3. Evidently the old N. E. C. faction was in the minority, there being over 80 delegates. The same article says that "the organizer then proceeded to count the votes for R. Brock. But Vogt, a member of the Credentials Committee, saw that delegates were voting whose credentials had not been acted upon, and rose to object."

The fact is that the vote for Kuhn showed the old N. E. C. faction to be in the minority, for if delegates were voting against them before their credentials were passed upon, and this was the reason why the old N. E. C. side opposed a permanent chairman being elected. No one has ever denied that this was Hillquit's business on the floor. The fact that Keep went to Hillquit to prevent him from forcing a legitimate point throws the responsibility of the fight on the old N. E. C. faction.

It is not this fact? The report of made and sent to the Board of Appeals by Comrade Kuhn shows the membership of Greater New York to be 1,285 about one year ago. In the meantime the old N. E. C. has been in the office of the Board of Appeals, which showed 1,285 of which were cast in the election of 1929. The report shows that the Board of Appeals was composed of the old N. E. C. and about 100 delegates who were cast in the election of 1929.

There is now a still further and most important fact developed, namely, that the membership of Section New York, by a referendum vote, has decided in favor of deposing the old N. E. C. That they have this power is evident from Article 4, Sec. 1 of the constitution. "Article 4, Sec. 2(a) of our national constitution provides that the 'action of this Board (the Board of Appeals) shall be to settle upon appeal all difficulties in the Party.' Was the matter taken up by this Board on appeal? It is. De Leon's tax question was taken up by this Board on appeal in 1929. Was the decision correct or incorrect? The body known as the old N. E. C. claims that the decision is correct, while the old N. E. C. claims that it is incorrect. Hence the trouble in the Party are not settled. But in each case, does the national constitution provide a method of procedure? If so, we are bound to follow it."

STICKERS.

